Population Representation in the Military Services

Fiscal Year 2002

March 2004

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the 29th annual Department of Defense (DoD) report on social representation in the U.S. Military Services, including the Coast Guard. The seven chapters and accompanying technical appendices provide data and comments on demographic, educational, and aptitude characteristics of applicants, new recruits, and enlisted and officer members of the Active and Reserve Components. Except where otherwise noted, data are provided by Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC). Due to differences in data flow and definitions, values provided will not always match official figures reported by the Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, other Department of Defense agencies, or the military services. This report covers fiscal year (FY) 2002, from October 1, 2001, to September 30, 2002. The report is also available on the worldwide web at http://www.dod.mil/prhome/poprep2002.

The FY 2002 end-strength of the Active Components was slightly less than 1.4 million and the Selected Reserve (comprising the Army National Guard, Army Reserve, Naval Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, Air National Guard, and Air Force Reserve) totaled more than 874,000. Additionally, there were more than 312,000 people in the Individual Ready Reserve/Inactive National Guard. In FY 2002, approximately 182,000 non-prior service (NPS) recruits were enlisted and nearly 13,000 prior service recruits were returned to the ranks. Almost 22,000 newly commissioned officers reported for active duty. Furthermore, about 73,000 recruits without and about 81,000 with prior military experience were enlisted in the Selected Reserve. Close to 15,000 commissioned officers entered the National Guard or Reserves this past fiscal year as well. The salient characteristics of these personnel are described in this summary.

FY 2002 Highlights

Age. The active duty military comprises a younger workforce than the civilian sector. Service policies and legal restrictions account for the relative youthfulness of the military. In FY 2002 86 percent of new active duty recruits were 18 through 24 years of age compared to 38 percent of comparable civilians. The mean age of new active duty recruits was nearly 20. Almost half (49 percent) of the active duty enlisted force was 17-24 years old, in contrast to about 15 percent of the civilian labor force. Officers were older than those in the enlisted ranks (mean ages 34 and 27, respectively), but they too were younger than their civilian counterparts, college graduates in the workforce 21-49 years old (mean age 36).

The data for enlisted personnel in the Selected Reserve similarly showed a more youthful composition than that of the civilian labor force. Among enlisted Reserve Components members, 57 percent of NPS accessions were between the ages of 17 and 19, but only 17 percent of civilians within the 17-35 year age range fell within this age subgroup. Of course, prior service Reserve Components enlisted accessions were older than those without prior service, but still younger than the civilian workforce (e.g., 57 percent versus 46 percent were under 30 years of age).

Race/Ethnicity. In FY 2002, African Americans were equitably represented in the military overall. In the enlisted force, African Americans were slightly overrepresented among NPS active duty accessions (16 percent) relative to the 18-24 year-old civilian population (14 percent). Hispanics, on the other hand, continued to be underrepresented, with 11 percent among

NPS accessions compared with nearly 16 percent for comparable civilians. FY 2002 representation of "Other" minority enlisted accessions (Native Americans, Asians, and Pacific Islanders) stood at approximately 6 percent, slightly more than in the civilian population (5 percent). Though there was a significant decrease in African American enlistment during FY 2002 African Americans are still overrepresented when compared to their civilian cohorts. Higher retention rates among African Americans continue to boost their representation among Active Components enlisted members – 22 percent in contrast to the 13 percent of African Americans among 18-44 year-old civilians in the workforce. With 10 percent of active duty enlisted members counted as Hispanic, this ethnic minority remained underrepresented relative to the comparable civilian population (14 percent).

Over the years African Americans have been overrepresented, whereas Hispanics and "Other" minorities have been underrepresented. However, the proportion of active duty accessions with Hispanic and "Other" backgrounds has increased during the past 18 years. Marine Corps and Navy have generally recruited greater proportions of Hispanics than the Army and Air Force. The Marine Corps has retained more Hispanics, as evidenced by larger percentages of Hispanic Marines in the enlisted force.

Minorities appear to be proportionately represented and not on the decline within the commissioned officer corps. Although African Americans comprised a much smaller proportion of officers (8 percent) than of enlistees (22 percent), when compared to college graduates in the civilian workforce 21-49 years old (8 percent African American), African Americans are equitably represented in the officer ranks. Hispanic officers, at 4 percent, are comparable to the civilian comparison group (5 percent Hispanic). Those of "Other" minority subgroups are underrepresented, with 5 percent of the officer corps and 8 percent of 21-49 year-old employed, college graduates.

Warrant officers account for 9 percent of active duty officer accessions and 7 percent of the officer corps. The Air Force does not have warrant officers. Warrant officers on active duty have greater representation of African Americans and Hispanics than among commissioned officers (17 and 5 percent warrant officers versus 8 and 4 percent commissioned officers, respectively). African American warrant officers are overrepresented and Hispanic warrant officers are underrepresented in comparison with the civilian labor force.

Racial/ethnic findings for the Reserve Components were similar. African Americans were overrepresented and Hispanics were underrepresented at population benchmark levels among NPS and prior service Selected Reserve accessions. The "Others" ethnic groups were equitably represented among NPS and slightly overrepresented among prior service Selected Reserve accessions. As with the Active Components, the proportions of minorities among Selected Reserve officers were smaller than for enlisted personnel. The percentages of Hispanic and "Other" Reserve officers demonstrate continued underrepresentation, while African American Reserve officers are more on par with their cohorts in the comparable civilian labor force.

Warrant officers account for 5 percent of Selected Reserve officer accessions and 8 percent of the officer corps. The Air National Guard and the Air Force Reserve do not have warrant officers. There are fewer minorities in the National Guard and Reserve warrant ranks as

compared to commissioned officers. As with the Active Components, minority warrant officers in the Selected Reserve are underrepresented compared with the civilian labor force.

Gender. Women comprised about 17 percent of NPS active duty accessions and 24 percent of NPS accessions to the Selected Reserve compared to 50 percent of 18- to 24- year-old civilians. Among enlisted members on active duty, 15 percent were women. For enlisted members in the Selected Reserves, the female composition was 17 percent. Among the Reserve Components, the National Guard components were less female at 13 percent. This is generally due to the Army National Guard's heavier combat arms mix, which precludes women from many of the positions in those units. The representation of women among active duty officer accessions and within the officer corps was 19 and 16 percent, respectively. Similar percentages were seen among Selected Reserve officers (19 percent for each).

Military women, across the enlisted force and officer corps in both the Active and Reserve Components, are more likely to be members of a racial/ethnic minority group than are military men. In fact, slightly more than half of the women in the Active Components enlisted force are members of minority groups.

Women are still a minority of the Total Force. However, their representation has grown greatly since the inception of the All Volunteer Force. In FY 1994, when the direct ground combat rule replaced the risk rule, new jobs were opened to women. Since the introduction of that policy, nearly all career fields (92 percent) have been opened to women. Accordingly, the percentage of Active Component women increased by nearly 3 percentage points since the implementation of the direct combat rule. For FY 2002, however, there was almost no change in the percentage of Active Component women compared to FY 2001.

Marital Status. In addition to the growing presence of women in the military, the occurrence of marriage among Servicemembers has also increased. However, unlike the growing percentages of women, the rise in marriage among Servicemembers has not maintained a steady growth. In FY 1973, approximately 40 percent of enlisted members were married. That statistic hit its high point in 1994 at 57 percent married, but has decreased steadily to the FY 2002 rate of 49 percent. In fact, the proportion of married Servicemembers in FY 2002 is virtually identical to the proportion in 1977 when just over 49 percent of enlisted members were also married. Nevertheless, in FY 2002 nearly half of all soldiers, sailors, marines, and airmen are married, an increase of approximately 10 percentage points since the early 1970s.

Newcomers to the military are less likely than their civilian age counterparts to be married. Similarly, military members are less likely to be married than those in the civilian sector; however, the difference is less pronounced in the total active force than it is with accessions. Among enlisted members, 48 percent of those on active duty and in the Reserve Components were married as of the end of FY 2002. In the military, men were more likely to be married than women.

As one might expect, owing to their being older and financially more secure on average, officers were more likely to be married (68 percent of the Active Component and 73 percent of the Reserve Component officer corps were married) than enlisted personnel. Again, women officers were less likely than their male colleagues to be married.

Education Level. The Military Services value and support the education of their members. The emphasis on education was evident in the data for FY 2002. Practically all active duty and Selected Reserve enlisted accessions had a high school diploma or equivalent, well above civilian youth proportions (79 percent of 18-24 year-olds). More important, excluding accessions enlisting in the Army or Army Reserve under the GED+ program (an experimental program of individuals with a GED or no credential who have met special screening criteria for enlisting), 92 percent of NPS active duty and 87 percent of NPS Selected Reserve enlisted recruits were high school diploma graduates.

Given that most officers are required to possess at least a baccalaureate college degree upon or soon after commissioning and that colleges and universities are among the Services' main commissioning sources (i.e., Service academies and ROTC), the academic standing of officers is not surprising. The fact that 87 percent of active duty officer accessions and 95 percent of the officer corps (both excluding those with unknown education credentials) were degree holders (approximately 17 and 38 percent advanced degrees) is in keeping with policy and the professional status and expectations of officers. Likewise, 81 percent of Reserve Component officer accessions and 91 percent of the total Reserve Component officer corps held at least a bachelor's degree, with 23 and 34 percent possessing advanced degrees, respectively.

Armed Forces Qualification Test (AFQT) Scores. Enlisted members tend to have higher cognitive aptitude than the civilian youth population, as measured by scores on the military's enlistment test. Persons who score in Categories I and II (65th to 99th percentiles) tend to be above average in trainability; those in Category III (31st to 64th percentiles), average; those in Category IV (10th to 30th percentiles), below average; and those in Category V (1st to 9th percentiles), markedly below average. The percentage of new recruits in Categories I and II (41 percent) was slightly higher than for their civilian counterparts (36 percent). Category III new accessions (58 percent) greatly exceeded—in fact, were nearly double the proportion of—the civilian group (34 percent), while the percentage of recruits in Category IV (1 percent) was much lower than in the civilian population (21 percent). No enlistees were in Category V, whereas 9 percent of the civilian population scored in this category.

Test score data were not reported for officers because of test variation by Service and commissioning source. Tough entry requirements (e.g., SAT scores) for the commissioning programs as well as the college degree hurdle ensure quality among officers.

High-Quality Recruits. To predict recruit quality in areas such as persistence, training outcome, and job performance in the enlisted ranks, the Services use level of education and AFQT scores. Because high school diploma graduates are more likely to complete their contracted enlistment terms and higher AFQT-scoring recruits perform better in training and on the job, the Services strive to enlist AFQT Category I-IIIA (50th percentile and above on the AFQT) high school diploma graduates.

The drawdown in the 1990s led the Services to redesign jobs so that Servicemembers assume more diverse workloads and greater responsibilities. Incumbents must perform more tasks and tasks of greater complexity. The Services need more personnel of high-quality levels to meet these job demands. In FY 2002, the proportion of NPS high-quality recruits ranged from 57 percent in the Army and Navy to 75 percent in the Air Force.

Reading Ability. Like aptitude levels, reading levels were higher in the enlisted military than in the non-military sector. FY 2002 NPS active duty enlisted accessions had a mean reading level typical of an 11th grade student whereas the mean for civilian youth was within the 10th grade range.

Geographic Representation. During the past several years, the percentage of new recruits from the Northeast region has decreased with a corresponding increase in the percentage of recruits from the West region. The geographic distribution of enlisted active accessions for FY 2002 shows that the South, and in particular the West South Central and South Atlantic Divisions of this region, continued to have the greatest representation. More than 40 percent of NPS accessions hailed from the South. In fact, this was the only region to be slightly overrepresented among enlisted accessions compared to its proportion of 18-24 year-olds. The representation ratio (percentage of accessions divided by percentage of 18-24 year-olds from the region) for NPS active accessions from the South was 1.2, compared to 0.8 for the Northeast and 0.9 for the North Central and West.

Representation in Occupations. The Services need a steady supply of combat and combat support personnel; they rely heavily on mechanics and infantrymen and guncrew specialists. In addition, the Services require technicians, health care specialists, and other support personnel. Assignment to and training in one of the military's many occupational specialties, which carry varying cognitive and noncognitive demands, is part of the enlistment or commissioning package. Less than one-third (29 percent) of FY 2002 active duty enlisted personnel were in occupations such as infantry, craftsmen, and service and supply handling. A plurality of enlisted members (43 percent) served in mid-level skill jobs in medical and dental, functional support and administration, and electrical/mechanical equipment repair. The remainder were in high-skill areas (22 percent), including electronic equipment repair, communications and intelligence, and other allied specialties, or in non-occupational categories (6 percent).

During the last two decades, assignment patterns for women have shifted to increase their presence in "non-traditional" jobs. Previously, most enlisted women were in either functional support and administration or medical and dental jobs. By FY 2002, smaller proportions (33 and 15 percent, respectively) served in these jobs. Women were more than two and a half times more likely than men to serve in the "traditional" female occupations, functional support and administration and medical/dental specialties. Women are excluded from infantry and other assignments in which the primary mission is to physically engage the enemy. However, the direct ground combat rule allows women to serve on aircraft and ships engaged in combat. The proportion of women serving in such operational positions (i.e., gun crews and seamanship specialties) in FY 2002 was 5 percent. In contrast, the percentage of men in these occupations was approximately 19 percent.

In FY 2002, the proportions of African Americans and Whites were similar in four of the nine occupational areas (communications and intelligence, medical and dental, other allied specialists, and craftsmen). In three areas (infantry, electronic equipment repairers, and electrical/mechanical equipment repair) the proportions of Whites were higher. African Americans were still more heavily represented in the functional support and administration and the service and supply areas.

The most common occupational area for active duty officers was tactical operations (e.g., fighter pilots, combat commanders; 36 percent) with health care a distant second (18 percent). Assignment patterns differed between men and women. Greater percentages of men were in tactical operations (41 percent), whereas greater percentages of women were in health care (39 percent) and administration (11 percent). In FY 2002, racial and ethnic groups of officers generally had similar assignment patterns across occupational areas although there was a lower percentage of African Americans in tactical operations, a lower percentage of Hispanics in health care, and a greater percentage of African Americans in administration.

The occupational distributions among Active and Reserve Components varied somewhat. In FY 2002, 9 percent of enlisted Active Component members were in electronic equipment repair occupations in contrast to 5 percent of enlisted Selected Reserve members. The Reserve Components are somewhat "lighter" in technical occupational areas such as electronic and electrical/mechanical equipment repair, and communications and intelligence, and somewhat "heavier" in functional support and administration, craftsmen, and supply. There were also some occupational differences between Active and Reserve officers; the Reserve Components had slightly smaller proportions in tactical operations but slightly larger proportions in the supply, procurement, and allied occupations. However, differences were greater between Services than between Active and Reserve members.

U.S. Coast Guard. The Coast Guard is the smallest of the Armed Forces. It is a part of the Department of Homeland Security during peacetime, but during times of war it becomes a part of the Department of Defense. Compared to the other Services, the Coast Guard is very similar on demographic variables, with slightly greater proportions of males and Whites.

Conclusions

The FY 2002 *Population Representation* report shows both the diversity and the quality of the Total Force. Men and women of various racial and ethnic groups of divergent backgrounds, from every state in our country, serve as Active and Selected Reserve enlisted members and officers of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and Coast Guard. The mean cognitive ability and educational levels of these soldiers, sailors, marines, and airmen are above the average of comparably-aged U.S. citizens.

Although the force is diverse, it is not an exact replica of society as a whole. The military way of life is more attractive to some members of society than to others. Among the enlisted ranks, the proportion of African Americans continues to exceed population counts of the civilian labor force. Hispanics are underrepresented in the military, but their percentages have increased over the years. Minorities comprise proportionally less of the officer corps; however, their representation levels are in keeping with minority statistics among the pool of college graduates from which second lieutenants and ensigns are drawn. Women continue to be underrepresented in the military, compared to their proportion in civilian society. However, accession statistics show that women continue to gain in both numerical and proportional strength.

The All Volunteer Force is now facing increased recruiting goals amid changing and expanding roles, with greater competition from colleges, universities, and private employers

(compared to the early 1990s). Population representation can be affected by such external events. Thus, there is a continuing need to track demographic changes and to monitor the balance of military benefits and burdens across the varied segments of society. Attention to human resource issues beyond numerical representation is necessary to manage recruiting and to promote readiness.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	Fiscal Year 2002: Military Opportunities and Combat Experiences	
	Data Sources	
•	A COUNTY COMPONIENT THE ESTABLISHED A DRIVE CANDES AND A CORRESPOND	.c. 0.1
2	ACTIVE COMPONENT ENLISTED APPLICANTS AND ACCESSION	
	The Accounting Process	
	The Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery	
	Educational Credentials	
	Physical Examinations	
	Moral Character Standards	
	Occupational Area Counseling	
	The Delayed Entry Program (DEP)	
	Characteristics of Active Component Non-Prior Service Applicants	
	Characteristics of Active Component Accessions	
	Age	
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Gender	
	Marital Status	
	Education	
	AFQT	
	High Quality	
	Reading Ability	2-23
	Geography	2-24
3	ACTIVE COMPONENT ENLISTED FORCE	
	Characteristics of Active Component Enlisted Force	
	Age	
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Gender	
	Marital Status	
	Education	
	Representation Within Occupations	
	Pay Grade	3-14
4	ACTIVE COMPONENT OFFICERS	<i>1</i> , 1
7	Characteristics of Active Component Officers	
	Pay Grade	
	Source of Commission	
	Source of Commission	4-3

		Page
	Age	4-6
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Gender	4-12
	Marital Status	4-13
	Education	4-15
	Representation Within Occupations	4-15
	Warrant Officers	4-17
5	SELECTED RESERVE ENLISTED ACCESSIONS AND ENLISTED FORCE	5.1
	The Selected Reserve Recruiting Process	
	Characteristics of Selected Reserve Accessions	
	Age	
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Gender	
	Marital Status	
	Education	
	AFQT	
	Characteristics of the Selected Reserve Enlisted Force	
	Age	
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Gender	
	Marital Status	
	Education	
	Representation Within Occupations	
	r	
6	SELECTED RESERVE OFFICER ACCESSIONS AND	
	OFFICER CORPS	6-1
	Characteristics of Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and	
	Officer Corps	6-2
	Age	6-2
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Gender	6-3
	Marital Status	6-3
	Source of Commission	6-4
	Education	6-6
	Representation Within Occupations	6-7

U. S. COAST GUARD	
Characteristics of Active Component Non-Prior Service Applicants	
Characteristics of Active Component Non-Prior Service Accessions	
Age	
Education	
Characteristics of Active Component Enlisted Force	
Race/Ethnicity	
Age	
Representation Within Occupations	
Characteristics of Active Component Officers	
Source of Commission	
Race/Ethnicity and Gender	
Representation Within Occupations	
Warrant Officers	
Characteristics of USCG Reserve Enlisted Accessions	7-
Race/Ethnicity and Gender	
Characteristics of Reserve Component Enlisted Force	
Race/Ethnicity and Gender	7-1
Age	
Characteristics of Reserve Component Officers	7-1
Source of Commission	7-1
Reserve Component Warrant Officers	7-1
Closing	7-1
]
Appendix A - Active Component Applicant Tables	
Appendix B - Active Component Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted	
Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables	•••••
Appendix C - Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables	•••••
Appendix D - Longitudinal Data Tables	•••••

List of Tables

Table		Page
2.1	Armed Forces Qualification Test (AFQT) Categories and	
	Corresponding Percentile Score Ranges	2-3
2.2	Race/Ethnicity and Gender of FY 2002 Active Component	
	NPS Applicants, by Service	2-7
2.3	FY 2002 Active Component Non-Prior Service (NPS) and Prior	
	Service Enlisted Accessions	2-8
2.4	Age of FY 2002 Active Component NPS Accessions, by Service,	
	and Civilians 17–35 Years Old	2-9
2.5	Race/Ethnicity and Gender of FY 2002 Active Component NPS	
	Accessions, by Service, and Civilians 18–24 Years Old	2-10
2.6	FY 2002 Active Component NPS Accessions Who Are Married,	
	by Gender and Service, and Civilians 18–24 Years Old	2-15
2.7	Levels of Education of FY 2002 Active Component NPS Accessions,	
	by Service, and Civilians 18–24 Years Old	2-17
2.8	AFQT Scores of FY 2002 Active Component NPS Accessions, by	
	Gender and Service	2-22
2.9	Mean Reading Grade Level of FY 1984–2002 Active Component NPS	
	Accessions, by Service, and 1980 Civilians 18–23 Years Old	2-24
2.10	Selected Statistics for FY 2002 NPS Accessions by Region, Division,	
	and State, and Civilians 18–24 Years Old	2-26
2.1		
3.1	FY 2002 Pay Grade of Active Component Enlisted Members,	2.2
2.2	by Service	3-3
3.2	FY 2002 Age of Active Component Enlisted Members, by Service,	2.4
2.2	and Civilian Labor Force 17 and Older	3-4
3.3	FY 2002 Race/Ethnicity of Active Component Enlisted Members,	2.4
2.4	by Service, and Civilian Labor Force 18–44 Years Old	3-4
3.4	FY 2002 Gender of Active Component Enlisted Members, by Service,	2.0
2 -	and Civilian Labor Force 18–44 Years Old	
3.5	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members Who Were Married, by	
	Gender and Service, and Civilian Labor Force 18–44 Years Old	3-9
3.6	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Personnel Who Were Married, and in	
	Dual-Service Marriages, by Gender and Service	3-10
3.7	FY 2002 Education of Active Component Enlisted Members, by Service,	
	and Civilian Labor Force 18–44 Years Old	3-11
3.8	FY 2002 Occupational Areas of Active Component Enlisted Personnel by	
	Gender	3-13
3.9	FY 2002 Occupational Areas of Active Component Enlisted Personnel	
	by Race/Ethnicity	3-15

Table		Page
3.10	FY 2002 Pay Grade of Active Component Enlisted Members,	
	by Race/Ethnicity	3-16
3.11	FY 2002 Pay Grade of Active Component Enlisted Personnel,	2.16
	by Gender	3-16
4.1	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
4.2	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Corps, by Rank/Pay Grade and Service	4-4
4.3	FY 2002 Source of Commission of Active Component Officer Accessions	
	and Officer Corps, by Service	4-5
4.4	FY 2002 Mean Age of Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer	
	Corps in Comparison to Enlisted Personnel	4-6
4.5	FY 2002 Active Component Minority Officer Accessions and Officer	
	Corps, by Service	4-9
4.6	FY 2002 Source of Commission of Active Component Officer Accessions,	
4.5	by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	4-10
4.7	FY 2002 Source of Commission of Active Component Officer Corps,	4.10
4.0	by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	4-10
4.8	FY 2002 Pay Grade of Active Component Officers, by Service and	4 11
4.0	Race/Ethnicity	
4.9	FY 2002 Active Component Female Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	4-12
4.10	FY 2002 Pay Grade of Active Component Officers, by Service	4 12
4.11	and Gender	4-13
4.11	by Genderby	1 11
4.12	FY 2002 Active Component Officers Who Were Married, and in	4-14
4.12	Dual-Service Marriages, by Gender and Service	4-14
4.13	FY 2002 Educational Attainment of Active Component Officer Accessions	4-14
7.13	and Officer Corps, by Service	4-15
4.14	FY 2002 Occupational Areas of Active Component Officer Corps,	+ 13
r,17	by Gender	4-16
4.15	FY 2002 Occupational Areas of Active Component Officer Corps,	+ 10
	by Race/Ethnicity	4-17
4.16	FY 2002 Active Component Warrant Officer Accessions and Officer	1 1/
	Corps, by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Service	4-18
	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_

Table		Page
5.1	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service (NPS) and Prior	
	Service Enlisted Accessions and End-Strengths	5-4
5.2	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service Enlisted Accessions,	
	by Age and Component, and Civilian Labor Force 17-35 Years Old	5-5
5.3	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service and Prior Service	
	Enlisted Accessions, by Race/Ethnicity, and Civilians	5-6
5.4	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service and Prior Service	
	Accessions, by Gender	5-7
5.5	FY 2002 Married Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service and Prior Service	
	Enlisted Accessions and Active Component Non-Prior Service	
	Enlisted Accessions and Enlisted Members, by Gender, and Civilians	5-7
5.6	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service Enlisted Accessions,	
	by Education Tier and Component, and Civilians 18-24 Years Old	5-8
5.7	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service Enlisted Accessions,	
	by AFQT Category and Component	5-9
5.8	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members, by Age and Component,	
	and Civilian Labor Force Over 16 Years Old	5-10
5.9	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members, by Race/Ethnicity, Gender,	
	and Component, and Civilian Labor Force 18-49 Years Old	5-11
5.10	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members, by Gender and Component,	
	and Civilian Labor Force 18–49 Years Old	5-12
5.11	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members who are Married and in Dual-	
	Service Marriages, by Gender, and Civilian Labor Force 18-49 Years Old	5-12
5.12	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members, by Education Levels	
	and Component, and Civilian Labor Force 18-49 Years Old	5-13
5.13	Comparison of FY 2002 Reserve and Active Enlisted Occupational Areas	5-13
5.14	Comparison of FY 2002 Occupational Area Distribution of Enlisted	
	Members, by Active and Reserve Components	5-14
5.15	FY 2002 Occupational Areas of Selected Reserve Enlisted Personnel	
	within Race/Ethnicity	5-14
5.16	FY 2002 Occupational Areas of Selected Reserve Enlisted Personnel,	
	by Gender	5-15
6.1	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
	End-Strength	6-2
6.2	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps,	
	by Race/Ethnicity	6-4
6.3	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Female Officer Accessions and	
	Officer Corps	6-4

Table		Page
6.4	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officers and Enlisted Members who were Married, and in Dual-Service Marriages, by Gender, and Civilians	6-5
6.5 6.6	FY 2002 Source of Commission of Selected Reserve Officer Accessions	
6.7	Accessions and Officer Corps	6-6
	Officer Corps	6-7
6.8	Comparison of FY 2002 Occupational Area Distribution of Officers, by Active and Reserve Component	6-8
6.9	FY 2002 Occupational Areas of Selected Reserve Officer Corps, by Gender	
6.10	FY 2002 Occupational Areas of Selected Reserve Officer Corps,	
	by Race/Ethnicity	0-9
7.1	Race/Ethnicity by Gender of FY 2002 USCG and DoD Active Component NPS Applicants and Accessions, and Civilians 18-24 Years Old	7-2
7.2	Education Levels and AFQT Categories of FY 2002 USCG and DoD Active Component NPS Accessions and Civilians 18-24 Years Old	
7.3	Race/Ethnicity by Gender of FY 2002 USCG and DoD Active Component Enlisted Members and Civilians 18-24 Years Old	
7.4	Age of FY 2002 USCG and DoD Active Component Enlisted Members and Civilians	
7.5	Occupational Areas of FY 2002 USCG and DoD Active Component Enlisted Personnel by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	
7.6	FY 2002 USCG and DoD Active Component Officer Accessions and	
7.7	Officer Corps by Source of Commission	
7.8	Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps Occupational Areas of FY 2002 USCG and DoD Active Component	
7.9	Officer Personnel by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	
7.10	and Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	7-8
7.11	Enlisted Accessions and Civilians	7-9
/ • I I	Enlisted Members and Civilian Labor Force 18-49 Years Old	7-10

Table		Page
7.12	Age of FY 2002 USCG and DoD Reserve Component Enlisted Members	
	and Civilians	7-10
7.13	Race/Ethnicity and Gender of FY 2002 USCG and DoD Reserve Component	
	Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	7-11
7.14	FY 2002 USCG and DoD Reserve Component Officer Accessions and	
	Officer Corps by Source of Commission	7-11
7.15	FY 2002 USCG and DoD Reserve Component Warrant Officer Accessions	
	and Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	7-12

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1.1	The population of 18-year-old males and Active Component non-prior service (NPS) recruiting requirements for fiscal years	
	1950–2010 (projected)	1-2
2.1	Number of accessions and applicants with ratio of accessions to	
	applicants, FYs 1976–2002	2-8
2.2	Race/ethnicity of Active Component NPS accessions, FYs 1973–2002	2-12
2.3	Women as a percentage of Active Component NPS accessions, FYs 1973–2002	2-14
2.4	Marital status trends of Active Component NPS accessions, by Service,	
	FYs 1976–2002	2-15
2.5	Active Component NPS accessions with high school diplomas,	
	FYs 1973–2002	2-18
2.6	FY 2002 accessions and 18- to 24-year-old civilians who earned high school	
	diplomas (Tier 1) or alternative credentials (Tier 2),	
	by gender and race/ethnicity	2-19
2.7	Percentage of NPS accessions in AFQT categories I–IIIA, FYs 1973–2002	
2.8	Percentage of NPS accessions in AFQT categories I–IV, FYs 1973–2002	
2.9	Percentage of high-quality NPS accessions, FYs 1973–2002	
2.10	NPS accessions by geographic region, FYs 1973–2002	2-23
3.1	Active Component enlisted force end-strength, by Service, FYs 1973–2002	3-1
3.2	Active Component enlisted force average age and months in	
	service, FYs 1973–2002	3-2
3.3	Blacks as a percentage of Active Component enlisted members, by	
	Service, FYs 1973–2002	3-5
3.4	Hispanics as a percentage of Active Component enlisted members, by	
	Service, with the civilian labor force, FYs 1977-2002	3-6
3.5	Women as a percentage of Active Component enlisted members, by	2.7
26	Service, FYs 1973–2002	3-7
3.6	Percentage of Active Component enlisted members who were married, by Service, FYs 1973–2002	3-9
4.1	Active Component officer end-strength, by Service, FYs 1973–2002	
4.2	Active Component officer accessions, by Service, FYs 1973–2002	
4.3	Age of FY 2002 Active Component officer accessions, by Service	
4.4	Age of FY 2002 Active Component officer corps, by Service	4-7
4.5	Active Component officers' mean years of age and months of service,	
	FYs 1973–2002	4-8

List of Figures

Figure		Page
5.1	FY 2002 composition of the Selected Reserve within the Ready Reserve	5-1
5.2	Reserve Component enlisted end-strength, FYs 1974–2002	
6.1	Reserve Components officer corps end-strength, FYs 1974–2002	6-1
6.2	Percent of Selected Reserve officer corps by age group, FY 2002	6-3

Appendix A - Active Component Applicant Tables

Table		Page
A-1	FY 2002 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Age, Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	Δ_1
A-2	FY 2002 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Age,	
11 2	Marital Status, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	A-2
A-3	FY 2002 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Race/Ethnicity,	
110	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	A-4
A-4	FY 2002 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Ethnicity	
	and Service	A-5
A-5	FY 2002 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by AFQT	
	Category, Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	A-6
A-6	FY 2002 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by AFQT	
	Category, Service, and Race/Ethnicity	A-8
A-7	FY 2002 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Education,	
	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	A-10
A-8	FY 2002 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Education,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian Comparison Group	A-11
	Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables	
B-1	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Age, Service,	
D-1	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	R-1
B-2	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Age, Marital	Б 1
D Z	Status, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-2
B-3	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity,	10 2
2 3	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-4
B-4	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Ethnicity	
	and Service	B-5
B-5	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT	
	Category, Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-6
B-6	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT Category,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-8
B-7	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Education,	
	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-10
B-8	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Education,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian Comparison Group	B-11
B-9	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Quality,	
	Service, and Gender	B-12

Appendix B (continued) - Active Component Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
B-10	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Quality,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-13
B-11	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Census Region,	
	Division, State, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-14
B-12	FY 2002 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Census Region,	
	Division, State, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian	
	Comparison Group	B-17
B-13	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Age, Service,	5.6 0
	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-20
B-14	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Age, Marital	D 01
D 15	Status, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-21
B-15	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity,	D 22
D 16	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-23
B-16	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Ethnicity	D 24
D 17	and Service	B-24
B-17	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT	D 25
D 10	Category, Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	D -23
B-18	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT Category, Service, and Race/Ethnicity	D 27
B-19	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Education,	D- 2/
D-19	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	R 20
B-20	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Education,	D-23
D-20	Service, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian Comparison Group	B-30
B-21	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Quality,	D -30
D 21	Service, and Gender	B-31
B-22	FY 2002 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Quality,	D 31
D 22	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-32
B-23	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members by Age Group, Service,	2 02
2 -0	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-33
B-24	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members by Age, Marital Status,	
	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-34
B-25	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members by Race/Ethnicity,	
	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-36
B-26	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members by Ethnicity	
	and Service	B-37
B-27	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members by Education,	
	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-38
B-28	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members by Education,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian Comparison Group	B-39
	TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)	

Appendix B (continued) - Active Component Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
B-29	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members by Occupational Area, Service, and Gender	B-40
B-30	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members by Occupational Area, Service, and Race/Ethnicity	
B-31	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
D 22	by Age and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-44
B-32	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps by Gender and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-45
B-33	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps by	
D 24	Marital Status, Gender, and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-46
B-34	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-47
B-35	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	В т/
	by Education and Service	B-48
B-36	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Accessions by Occupational Area	D 40
B-37	and Service	В-49
D 37	Service	B-50
B-38	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Corps by Occupational Area,	
D 20	Service, and Gender	B-51
B-39	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Corps by Occupational Area, Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-53
B-40	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Accessions by Source of	D 33
	Commission, Service, and Gender	B-55
B-41	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Corps by Source of Commission,	D 57
B-42	Service, and Gender	В-5/
D 12	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-59
B-43	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Corps by Source of Commission,	
D 44	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	
B-44	FY 2002 Active Component Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant Officer Corps by Gender and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	
B-45	FY 2002 Active Component Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant Officer	D 03
	Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-64
B-46	FY 2002 Active Component Enlisted Members by Pay Grade,	D 65
B-47	Service, and Gender	B-65
וז ע	and Race/Ethnicity	B-67

Appendix B (continued) - Active Component Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
B-48	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Corps by Pay Grade, Service, and Gender	B-69
B-49	FY 2002 Active Component Officer Corps by Pay Grade, Service, and Race/Ethnicity	
	Appendix C - Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables	
C-1	FY 2002 NPS Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Age Group, Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	C-1
C-2	FY 2002 NPS Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Age, Marital Status, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-3	FY 2002 NPS Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity, Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-4	FY 2002 NPS Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Ethnicity and	
C-5	Component	
C-6	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-7	Component, and Race/Ethnicity	
C-8	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-9	Component, and Race/Ethnicity	
C-10	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-11	FY 2002 Prior Service Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity,	
C-12	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-13	Ethnicity and Component	
C-14	FY 2002 Prior Service Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by	
C-15	Education, Component, and Race/Ethnicity	
	Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	C-21

Appendix C (continued) - Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
C-16	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Age, Marital Status,	
	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	C-23
C-17	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Race/Ethnicity,	
	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	C-25
C-18	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Ethnicity and	
	Component	C-26
C-19	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Education, Component,	
	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	C-27
C-20	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Education, Component,	
	and Race/Ethnicity	C-28
C-21	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Occupational Area,	
	Component, and Gender	C-29
C-22	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Occupational Area,	
	Component, and Race/Ethnicity	C-31
C-23	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions by Age Group and Component	
	with Civilian Comparison Group	C-33
C-24	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officers by Age Group and Component with Civilian	
	Comparison Group	C-34
C-25	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officers by Gender	
	and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	C-35
C-26	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officers by Gender,	
	Marital Status, and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	C-36
C-27	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officers by	
	Race/Ethnicity and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	C-37
C-28	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officers by Education	
	and Component	C-38
C-29	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions by Occupational Area and	
	Component	C-39
C-30	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officers by Occupational Area and	
	Component	C-40
C-31	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officers by Occupational Area, Component,	
	and Gender	C-41
C-32	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officers by Occupational Area, Component,	
	and Race/Ethnicity	C-43
C-33	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions by Source of Commission	
	and Component	C-45
C-34	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Officers by Source of Commission	
	and Component	C-46
	1	-

Appendix C (continued) - Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
C-35	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant Officers by Gender and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	C-47
C-36	FY 2002 Selected Reserve Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant Officers by Race/Ethnicity and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	
	by Race/Edimerty and Component with Civinan Comparison Groups	C-40
	Appendix D - Longitudinal Data Tables	
D-1	Number of 18-Year-Old Youth by Gender and Military NPS Accession Requirements, 1950-2010	D-1
D-2	Ratio of NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions to Applicants, FYs 1976-2002	
D-3	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service, FYs 1973-2002	
D-4	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	D-4
D-5	White NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service with Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	D-6
D-6	Black NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service with Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	
D-7	Hispanic NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service with Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	
D-8	Other Race NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service with Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	
D-9	Female NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1964, 1970-2002	D-10
D-10	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Marital Status and Service with Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1976-2002	D-11
D-11	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions with High School Diplomas by Service with Civilian Comparison Group,	
	FYs 1973-2002	D-12
D-12	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT Category,	D 10
D 12	FYs 1973-2002	D-13
D-13	High Quality NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service, FYs 1973-2002	D 14
D-14	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Geographical	D-14
<i>ו</i> ר-14	Region, FYs 1973-2002	D-15
D-15	Active Component Enlisted Strength, FYs 1964, 1973-2002	
D-16	Mean Age and Months of Service of Active Component Enlisted	
	Members, FYs 1973-2002	D-17

Appendix D (continued) - Longitudinal Data Tables

Table		Page
D-17	Black Active Component Enlisted Members by Service with Civilian	
5 40	Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	D-18
D-18	Hispanic Active Component Enlisted Members by Service with	D 10
D 10	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	D-19
D-19	Female Active Component Enlisted Members by Service with	D 20
D 20	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1964, 1970-2002	D-20
D-20	Active Component Enlisted Members by Marital Status and Service	D 01
- 41	with Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	
D-21	Active Component Officer Accessions, FYs 1973-2002	D-22
D-22	Black Active Component Officer Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	D-23
D-23	Hispanic Active Component Officer Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	D-24
D-24	Female Active Component Officer Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	
D-25	Active Component Officer Strength, FYs 1973-2002	D-26
D-26	Mean Age and Months of Service of Active Component Officers,	
	FYs 1973-2002	D-27
D-27	Black Active Component Officers by Service with Civilian	
	Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	D-28
D-28	Hispanic Active Component Officers by Service with Civilian	
	Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	D-29
D-29	Female Active Component Officers by Service with Civilian	
	Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2002	
D-30	Reserve Component Enlisted Strength, FYs 1974-2002	
D-31	Reserve Component Officer Strength, FYs 1974-2002	D-32
	endix E – Coast Guard Active Component Applicant, and Active Component	
and S	Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and	
	Officer Corps Tables	
E-1	FY 2002 Coast Guard Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by	
	Age and Gender	E-1
E-2	FY 2002 Coast Guard Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by	
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-2
E-3	FY 2002 Coast Guard Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by	
	AFQT Category, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-3
E-4	FY 2002 Coast Guard Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by	
	Education, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-4

Appendix E (continued) - Coast Guard Active Component Applicant, and Active Component and Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
E-5	FY 2002 Coast Guard NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Age and Gender	E-5
E-6	FY 2002 Coast Guard NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-6
E-7	FY 2002 Coast Guard NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	AFQT Category, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-7
E-8	FY 2002 Coast Guard NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Education, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-8
E-9	FY 2002 Coast Guard NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Quality, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-9
E-10	FY 2002 Coast Guard PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Age and Gender	E-10
E-11	FY 2002 Coast Guard PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-11
E-12	FY 2002 Coast Guard PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Quality, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-12
E-13	FY 2002 Coast Guard PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Education, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-13
E-14	FY 2002 Coast Guard Active Component Enlisted Members by	
	Age Group and Gender	E-14
E-15	FY 2002 Coast Guard Active Component Enlisted Members by	
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-15
E-16	FY 2002 Coast Guard Active Component Enlisted Members by	
	Occupational Area, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-16
E-17	FY 2002 Coast Guard Active Component Officer Accessions and	
	Officer Corps by Age	E-17
E-18	FY 2002 Coast Guard Active Component Officer Accessions and	
	Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-18
E-19	FY 2002 Coast Guard Active Component Officer Corps by	
	Occupational Area, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity	E-19
E-20	FY 2002 Coast Guard Active Component Officer Accessions and	
	Officer Corps by Source of Commission, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity	E-20
E-21	FY 2002 Coast Guard Active Component Warrant Officer Accessions and	
	Warrant Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-21
E-22	FY 2002 NPS Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Age	
	Group and Gender	E-22

Appendix E (continued) - Coast Guard Active Component Applicant, and Active Component and Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
E-23	FY 2002 NPS Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-23
E-24	FY 2002 Prior Service Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Age Group and Gender	E-24
E-25	FY 2002 Prior Service Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-25
E-26	FY 2002 Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Members by Age Group and Gender	E-26
E-27	FY 2002 Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Members by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-27
E-28	FY 2002 Coast Guard Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps by Age Group	E-28
E-29	FY 2002 Coast Guard Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-29
E-30	FY 2002 Coast Guard Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps by Source of Commission	E-30
E-31	FY 2002 Coast Guard Reserve Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant Officers by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	