

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE



NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015

March 2014

**NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT
REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015**

(NGRER FY 2015)

(In Accordance with Section 10541, Title 10, United States Code)

March 2014

**Prepared by
Department of Defense
Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Materiel and Facilities)**

**COL Denise L. Loring, Editor
Washington, DC 20301-1500**

The estimated cost of this report for the Department of Defense is approximately \$292,000 in Fiscal Years 2013–2014.

Generated on 2014Feb28

RefID: 0-43F5A8A



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
1500 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1500

FOREWORD

The Reserve Component (RC) allows for expanded capacity and capability as a cost-effective part of the Total Force with global reach and flexibility. Since 2001, the RC has supported more than 875,000 mobilizations worldwide.

Budgetary pressures will continue to require astute management of reduced resources to mitigate their effects. The RC provides capability and capacity at a reduced cost in a time of funding reductions across all components. The RC, when integrated as part of the operational force during armed conflict and peacetime, provides for a cost-effective force mix at acceptable levels of risk to support the defense strategy.

The RC equipment levels are at some of the highest levels in history; however this era of postwar fiscal reductions will bring significant equipping challenges over the next several years. The Department has made strides in enhancing equipment transparency and accountability, but has not yet attained transparency into the Services procurement and distribution processes and outcomes. The Department must explore other options, such as separating the RC procurement funding, to meet the intent of the Commission on the National Guard and Reserve initiatives on equipping inadequacies between Active Component (AC) and RC. Unless the RC continues to be considered an indispensable part of the Total Force and resourced appropriately, equipment levels are likely to wane with potentially dire consequences.

Since 1982, Congress has funded the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) for procurement of aircraft, missiles, tracked combat vehicles, ammunition, weapons, and other procurement for the RC. We are extremely grateful to Congress for this supplement, but the Department needs to be cautious that the supplement does not become the primary means of equipping the RC. We will continue to emphasize increased communication with Congress to garner a common understanding concerning RC equipment on-hand and modernization levels.

Going forward, we will continue to strive to find an appropriate balance between the AC and a well-equipped and well-trained RC ready to assume full mission responsibility when called.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R.O. Wightman, Jr.", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Richard O. Wightman, Jr.
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Reserve Affairs)
Performing the Duties of the Assistant
Secretary of Defense (Reserve Affairs)

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Overview

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. Strategic Context | 1-1 |
| II. Scope of the Report | 1-1 |
| III. Equipment Shortages | 1-2 |
| IV. Equipment Procurement | 1-3 |
| V. The Reserve Components' Equipping Concerns | 1-4 |
| A. The Army National Guard (ARNG) | 1-4 |
| B. The Army Reserve (AR) | 1-5 |
| C. The United States Marine Corps Reserve (USMCR) | 1-6 |
| D. The United States Navy Reserve (USNR) | 1-7 |
| E. The Air National Guard (ANG) | 1-7 |
| F. The Air Force Reserve (AFR) | 1-8 |
| G. The United States Coast Guard Reserve (USCGR) | 1-8 |

Chapter 2 United States Army Reserve Components

| | |
|---|------|
| I. Army Overview | 2-1 |
| A. Army Planning Guidance | 2-1 |
| B. Army Equipping Policy | 2-1 |
| C. Plan to Fill Mobilization Shortages in the RC | 2-3 |
| D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment | 2-4 |
| E. Plan to Achieve Full Compatibility Between AC and RC | 2-8 |
| II. Army National Guard Overview | 2-9 |
| A. Current Status of the Army National Guard | 2-9 |
| B. Changes since the Last NGRER | 2-15 |
| C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017) | 2-16 |
| D. Summary | 2-23 |

Table 1: ARNG Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

Table 2: ARNG Average Age of Equipment

Table 3: ARNG Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

Table 4: ARNG NGREA Procurements

Table 5: ARNG Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

Table 6: ARNG FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

Table 7: ARNG Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

Table 8: ARNG Significant Major Item Shortages

| | |
|---|------|
| III. United States Army Reserve Overview | 2-24 |
| A. Current Status of the Army Reserve | 2-24 |
| B. Changes since the Last NGRER | 2-28 |
| C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017) | 2-30 |
| D. Summary | 2-35 |

| | |
|--|--|
| Table 1: USAR Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements | |
| Table 2: USAR Average Age of Equipment | |
| Table 3: USAR Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R) | |
| Table 4: USAR NGREA Procurements | |
| Table 5: USAR Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities | |
| Table 6: USAR FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers | |
| Table 7: USAR Major Item of Equipment Substitution List | |
| Table 8: USAR Significant Major Item Shortages | |

Chapter 3 United States Marine Corps Reserve

| | |
|---|------|
| I. Marine Corps Overview | 3-1 |
| A. Marine Corps Planning Guidance | 3-2 |
| B. Marine Corps Equipping Policy | 3-2 |
| C. Plan to Fill Mobilization Shortages in the RC | 3-3 |
| D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment | 3-3 |
| E. Plan to Achieve Full Compatibility between AC and RC | 3-3 |
| II. Marine Corps Reserve Overview | 3-4 |
| A. Current Status of the Marine Corps Reserve | 3-4 |
| B. Changes since the Last NGRER | 3-7 |
| C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017) | 3-7 |
| D. Summary | 3-10 |

| | |
|---|--|
| Table 1: USMCR Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements | |
| Table 2: USMCR Average Age of Equipment | |
| Table 3: USMCR Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R) | |
| Table 4: USMCR NGREA Procurements | |
| Table 5: USMCR Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities | |
| Table 6: USMCR FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers | |
| Table 7: USMCR Major Item of Equipment Substitution List | |
| Table 8: USMCR Significant Major Item Shortages | |

Chapter 4 United States Navy Reserve

| | |
|---|------|
| I. Navy Overview | 4-1 |
| A. Navy Planning Guidance | 4-1 |
| B. Navy Equipping Policy | 4-2 |
| C. Plan to Fill Mobilization Shortages in the RC | 4-2 |
| D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment | 4-3 |
| E. Plan to Achieve Full Compatibility Between AC and RC | 4-5 |
| II. Navy Reserve Overview | 4-6 |
| A. Current Status of the Navy Reserve | 4-6 |
| B. Changes since the Last NGRER | 4-15 |
| C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017) | 4-15 |
| D. Summary | 4-15 |

| | |
|--|--|
| Table 1: USNR Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements | |
| Table 2: USNR Average Age of Equipment | |
| Table 3: USNR Service Procurement Program Reserve (P-1R) | |
| Table 4: USNR NGREA Procurements | |
| Table 5: USNR Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities | |
| Table 6: USNR FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers | |
| Table 7: USNR Major Item of Equipment Substitution List | |
| Table 8: USNR Significant Major Item Shortages | |

Chapter 5 United States Air Reserve Components

| | |
|---|------|
| I. United States Air Force Overview | 5-1 |
| A. Air Force Planning Guidance | 5-1 |
| B. Air Force Equipping Policy | 5-1 |
| C. Plan to Fill Modernization Shortages in the RC | 5-2 |
| D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment | 5-2 |
| E. Plan to Achieve Full Compatibility between AC and RC | 5-3 |
| II. Air National Guard Overview | 5-5 |
| A. Current Status of the Air National Guard | 5-5 |
| B. Changes since the Last NGRER | 5-5 |
| C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017) | 5-7 |
| D. Summary | 5-17 |

| | |
|---|--|
| Table 1: ANG Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements | |
| Table 2: ANG Average Age of Equipment | |
| Table 3: ANG Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R) | |
| Table 4: ANG NGREA Procurements | |
| Table 5: ANG Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities | |
| Table 6: ANG FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers | |
| Table 7: ANG Major Item of Equipment Substitution List | |
| Table 8: ANG Significant Major Item Shortages | |

| | |
|--|------|
| III. Air Force Reserve Overview | 5-18 |
| A. Current Status of the Air Force Reserve | 5-18 |
| B. Changes since the Last NGRER | 5-26 |
| C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017) | 5-27 |
| D. Summary | 5-28 |

| | |
|---|--|
| Table 1: AFR Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements | |
| Table 2: AFR Average Age of Equipment | |
| Table 3: AFR Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R) | |
| Table 4: AFR NGREA Procurements | |
| Table 5: AFR Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities | |
| Table 6: AFR FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers | |
| Table 7: AFR Major Item of Equipment Substitution List | |
| Table 8: AFR Significant Major Item Shortages | |

Chapter 6 United States Coast Guard Reserve

| | |
|--|------|
| I. Coast Guard Overview | 6-1 |
| A. Coast Guard Planning Guidance | 6-3 |
| B. Coast Guard Equipping Policy | 6-3 |
| C. Plan to Fill Mobilization Shortages in the RC | 6-3 |
| D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment | 6-4 |
| II. Coast Guard Reserve Overview | 6-5 |
| A. Current Status of the Coast Guard Reserve | 6-5 |
| B. Changes since the Last NGRER | 6-8 |
| C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017) | 6-8 |
| D. Summary | 6-10 |

Table 1: USCGR Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

Table 2: USCGR Average Age of Equipment

Table 3: USCGR Service Procurement Program- Reserve (P-1R)

Table 4: USCGR NGREA Procurements

Table 5: USCGR Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

Table 6: USCGR FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

Table 7: USCGR Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

Table 8: USCGR Significant Major Item Shortages

Appendix A: Report Requirements, Terminology, and Definitions

Appendix B: National Guard Readiness for Emergencies and Major Disasters

Appendix C: Points of Contact

Appendix D: Acronym Glossary

Chapter 1 Overview

I. Strategic Context

A mission-ready Reserve Component (RC) is critical to our National Security Strategy. To maintain mission readiness in today's fiscal environment, a Total Force policy supporting employment of the RC in both operational and strategic roles is essential for ensuring a relevant well-equipped and well-trained RC. Future force planning initiatives will focus Military Departments on best Total Force mix determination through organizational assessment based on capability, capacity, cost and readiness.

Current budget reductions will also impact future Total Force mix determination. These reductions will drive careful consideration of appropriate Active Component (AC)/RC structure based on resource optimization. A merging of military capabilities, across the AC/RC, increases the overall capability and capacity with ready-trained forces at a more efficient cost. In a post-Afghanistan environment, using RC forces as a rotational presence, rather than maintaining a forward-based force facilitates an AC/RC partnership geared to support combatant commander requirements. A strategic AC/RC mix based on operation plans also promotes an enduring relationship between units. Maintaining manning levels, training programs, and equipment availability will ensure an operationally ready RC.

II. Scope of the Report

The National Guard and Reserve Equipment Report (NGRER), mandated in section 10541 of title 10, United States Code, is a statutory requirement that reflects Congressional interest in ensuring a well-equipped and robust RC capability within the armed forces. The NGRER identifies major items of equipment in the RC inventories that are important to the Services, DoD, and Congress, and also outlines how that equipment is being acquired and disposed of by the Reserves for the budget year and the two succeeding years. Data on equipment included in the report consist of high-value, mission-essential equipment requirements, critical equipment shortages, Service procurements, supplemental funding for the RC, and items procured with National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) funding.

The FY 2008 National Defense Authorization Act directed new equipment reporting requirements for the National Guard's capability to perform its Federal responsibilities (e.g., suppress insurrections, provide assistance in cases of weapons of mass destruction or terrorist attacks, or execute the laws of the United States) in response to an emergency or major disaster. This guidance is highlighted in its entirety in Appendix A, and the National Guard Bureau responds to the requirements in Appendix B.

The three charts in this chapter present a broad overview of previous major items reported in the NGRER, major item shortages in terms of dollar amounts, and the recent tracking through the current budget year of procurement funding for the RC. These introductory charts are summary and historical in nature and do not indicate the comprehensive dollar requirement that would be needed to fully fund Reserve capabilities. Detail on potential costs, such as modernization of existing systems is contained, where appropriate, in the chapters on the respective individual RC.

RC inventories include thousands of different types of equipment. The FY 2015 NGRER highlights 913 major equipment types whose total dollar value comprises approximately 85 percent of the value of all RC equipment. This report presents the results of analysis of RC inventories based primarily on the dollar value of the equipment, which allows the aggregation, comparison, and summary of diverse types of equipment. The procurement costs are from the Services' official data and are either the latest procurement cost adjusted for inflation or the current replacement cost.

Chart 1-1 shows the number of types of equipment included in previous NGRERs to Congress. These numbers are provided for perspective and comparison with previous reports and do not represent the entire inventory of RC major items.

Chart 1-1. Items of Equipment Reported in Recent NGRERs

| Reserve Component | FY 2010 NGRER | FY 2011 NGRER | FY 2012 NGRER | FY 2013 NGRER | FY 2014 NGRER | FY 2015 NGRER |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| ARNG | 411 | 404 | 396 | 365 | 271 | 320 |
| AR | 220 | 212 | 208 | 215 | 230 | 231 |
| USMCR | 101 | 195 | 213 | 150 | 212 | 201 |
| USNR | 35 | 36 | 44 | 42 | 42 | 40 |
| ANG | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 |
| AFR | 17 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 18 | 17 |
| USCGR | 19 | 19 | 19 | 53 | 74 | 75 |
| Total | 834 | 914 | 927 | 875 | 877 | 913 |

III. Equipment Shortages

Chart 1-2 shows the dollar value of the current total major equipment requirements and inventories for each RC. The information this chart displays indicates the requirement for new procurement for the RC; however, it does not indicate capabilities, shortfalls, or compatibility mismatch with the AC due to modernization requirements.

The Army National Guard (ARNG) and Army Reserve (AR) equipment shortages are not included in Chart 1-2. The Army Chart 1-2 shortage data submitted was not transparent enough to determine shortfalls for the purpose of this chart. The Army data as submitted requires further analysis and calls into question the definition of modernized equipment. The FY 2014 NGRER displayed an Army RC aggregate shortage of \$38.6B.

The Air National Guard (ANG) indicates a 5.5% shortage of its major items as of the beginning of FY 2014. However, the ANG has indicated an additional \$8.26B of needs for modernization and recapitalization of the ANG aircraft fleet and associated equipment in its *2013 Weapons Systems Modernization Priorities* book.

The Marine Corps Reserve (USMCR) reflects a 13.3 percent shortage of its major items; however, the USMCR is equipped to a home station training allowance only. More information on the

Marine Corps equipping strategy and the USMCR's use of a training allowance can be found in the Service's chapter.

Chart 1-2. Beginning FY 2014 Reserve Component Equipment Shortages

| Reserve Component | Requirements (\$M) | On-hand (\$M) | Shortage (\$M) | Shortage (% of Req'd \$s) |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| ARNG | 115,511.2 | * | * | * |
| AR | 31,400.6 | * | * | * |
| USMCR | 6,711.0 | 5,818.0 | 893.0 | 13.3% |
| USNR | 9,102.7 | 8,249.1 | 853.6 | 9.4% |
| ANG | 49,811.9 | 47,071.9 | 2,740.0 | 5.5% |
| AFR | 25,467.0 | 23,357.5 | 2,109.5 | 8.3% |
| USCGR | 52.8 | 40.5 | 12.3 | 23.2% |

Note: Requirements, on-hand, and shortage entries are total equipment value, excluding substitutes.
 * ARNG and AR shortage values could not be determined based on the data provided.

IV. Equipment Procurement

Chart 1-3 shows funding levels from RC procurement sources for FY 2009–FY 2015. The FY 2015 funding does not include any NGREA or Congressional additions, since those funding amounts are not established until after the publication of the FY 2015 NGRER.

Chart 1-3. Reserve Component Procurement Funding

| FY | Procurement Funding Source | RC Procurement Funding (\$M) | | | | | | | Total | Grand Total |
|------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|-------|-------------|
| | | ARNG | AR | USMCR | USNR | ANG | AFR | | | |
| 2009 | President's Budget P-1R Submit | 5,443.4 | 1,235.2 | 109.5 | 201.9 | 1,214.2 | 445.0 | 8,649.2 | | |
| | Congressional Adds to AC Accts for RC | 75.1 | | | 3.2 | 16.7 | | 95.0 | | |
| | NGREA | 778.6 | 127.3 | 62.4 | 62.4 | 154.7 | 62.4 | 1,247.8 | | |
| | Total | 6,297.1 | 1,362.6 | 171.8 | 267.5 | 1,385.6 | 507.4 | \$9,991.9 | | |
| 2010 | President's Budget P-1R Submit | 3,315.9 | 1,596.8 | 40.8 | 123.5 | 706.7 | 215.8 | 5,999.5 | | |
| | Congressional Adds to AC Accts for RC | 82.3 | | | 3.2 | 123.5 | 1.2 | 210.2 | | |
| | NGREA | 575.0 | 85.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 135.0 | 55.0 | 950.0 | | |
| | Total | 3,973.2 | 1,681.8 | 85.8 | 181.7 | 965.2 | 272.0 | \$7,159.7 | | |
| 2011 | President's Budget P-1R Submit | 3,822.4 | 1,671.8 | 24.5 | 73.8 | 615.3 | 95.2 | 6,303.0 | | |
| | Congressional Adds to AC Accts for RC | 535.0 | | | 73.7 | 183.4 | | 792.1 | | |
| | NGREA | 250.0 | 137.6 | 69.0 | 70.0 | 250.0 | 68.2 | 844.8 | | |
| | Total | 4,607.4 | 1,809.3 | 93.5 | 217.5 | 1,048.7 | 163.4 | \$7,939.8 | | |
| 2012 | President's Budget P-1R Submit | 3,447.6 | 764.5 | 8.5 | 194.2 | 262.3 | 137.1 | 4,814.2 | | |
| | Congressional Adds to AC Accts for RC | | | | | 47.2 | | 47.2 | | |
| | NGREA | 320.3 | 145.0 | 63.0 | 75.0 | 315.0 | 75.0 | 993.3 | | |
| | Total | 3,767.8 | 909.5 | 71.5 | 269.2 | 624.5 | 212.1 | \$5,854.7 | | |
| 2013 | President's Budget P-1R Submit | 1,612.1 | 611.4 | 19.2 | 119.7 | 267.5 | 318.5 | 2,948.5 | | |
| | Congressional Adds to AC Accts for RC | 417.0 | | | | 377.6 | | 794.6 | | |
| | NGREA | 460.0 | 240.0 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 455.0 | 130.0 | 1,495.0 | | |
| | Total | 2,489.1 | 851.4 | 139.2 | 209.7 | 1,100.0 | 448.5 | \$5,238.0 | | |
| 2014 | President's Budget P-1R Submit | 2,288.1 | 431.1 | 64.5 | 253.0 | 286.4 | 754.1 | 4,077.3 | | |
| | Congressional Adds to AC Accts for RC | 247.0 | | | | 40.0 | | 287.0 | | |
| | NGREA | 315.0 | 175.0 | 60.0 | 65.0 | 315.0 | 70.0 | 1,000.0 | | |
| | Total | 2,850.1 | 606.1 | 124.5 | 318.0 | 641.4 | 824.1 | \$5,364.3 | | |
| 2015 | President's Budget P-1R Submit | 1,869.5 | 591.2 | 65.3 | 149.4 | 169.2 | 322.0 | 3,166.6 | | |
| | Congressional Adds to AC Accts for RC | | | | | | | | | |
| | NGREA | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total | | | | | | | \$3,166.6 | | |

Note 1: The above figures do not include Ammunition procured for the RC.
 Note 2: USNR figures include USMCR aircraft procurement funds.
 Note 3: 2011-2013 NGREA reduced by \$16.9M FY2013 Sequestration Reduction.
 Note 4: 2015 Congressional Adds and NGREA values will not be available until 2015 appropriation bills are passed.

V. The Reserve Components' Equipping Concerns

This segment briefly summarizes the principal equipping concerns of each RC. The components' individual chapters treat these subjects in more detail.

A. The Army National Guard (ARNG)

The past decade of conflict has forged the ARNG into an operational force capable of rapid and effective employment at home or abroad. The ARNG of 2013 is the best-equipped force in its long history. ARNG equipment on-hand levels have increased from 77 percent just four years ago to 91 percent today.

The ARNG's top equipping focus areas are:

1. **Modernize the ARNG helicopter fleet:** The aviation modernization effort is generally on track. The modernization of the ARNG UH-60 Blackhawk fleet is of concern, being the oldest in the Army. The H-60 series modernization is delayed by budget reductions and slowing cascades from the AC. CH-47D conversion to CH-47F is fully funded. The ARNG AH-64D fleet will be Block II-pure in FY 2017.
2. **Modernize the ARNG tactical wheeled vehicle fleet:** Tactical wheeled vehicles (TWV) have seen dramatic increases to modernized equipment on-hand levels since 2001, with 82 percent of the TWV fleet considered modern in 2013.
3. **Procure engineer equipment to fill shortfalls in modernization equipment:** Equipment shortfalls due to modernization levels of legacy equipment include general engineering equipment consisting of firefighting, support, and construction equipment.
4. **Maintain the ARNG to no less than 80 percent of Critical Dual Use equipment on-hand:** Critical Dual Use (CDU) equipment items are those Army items determined critical to the support of homeland defense and Defense Support of Civil Authorities missions. Current CDU equipment on-hand levels are at 92 percent, an increase from 65 percent at the time of the 2005 Hurricane Katrina response.
5. **Improve the ARNG command and control capability by focusing on fielding Army mission command systems to ARNG brigade combat teams:** Joint Capabilities Release–Blue Force Tracker (JCR-BFT) is a key situational awareness and command and control system. Currently, the JCR-BFT vehicular system is only being fielded to deploying units at reduced authorizations.
6. **Build essential field-level maintenance facilities to effectively repair, service, and maintain ARNG equipment:** Many ARNG shop facilities are more than 50 years old and are neither designed nor equipped to provide a safe, environmentally-friendly workplace, capable of meeting the demands of the Army’s two-level maintenance doctrine to support and maintain a modern and complex, up-armored vehicle fleet.

B. The Army Reserve (AR)

The Army Reserve provides a critical portion of the Army’s contingency response force as an operationally adaptive capability focused on combat support and combat service support. The Army Reserve is leaning forward to play a vital role in support of homeland defense and Defense Support of Civil Authorities missions.

The Army Reserves’ top equipping focus areas are:

1. **Obtain affordable and cost-effective equipping solutions:** Over the past several years, the Army has greatly improved the compatibility and modernization of Army Reserve equipment. However, some units will continue to have legacy equipment. While the Army Reserve has explored initiatives to mitigate these compatibility differences for deploying units through internal cross-leveling during pre-mobilization preparations,

redistributing equipment is not an affordable or cost-effective solution since it consistently consumes limited financial resources.

- 2. Resource and sustain critical equipment and support infrastructure to maintain the Army Reserve as part of an operational force:** For the Army Reserve to remain a ready and operational force, it must be funded and equipped appropriately. A lack of adequate resources risks the Army Reserve's ability to conduct effective, timely, sustained operations.
- 3. Refine equipping strategy to support homeland defense (HD) and Defense Support of Civil Authorities (DSCA):** The quality and quantity of Army Reserve equipment received enhances interoperability with joint, interagency, intergovernmental, and multi-national partners, in support of global operations. In addition, efforts to improve and sustain modernization of CDU equipment enables the Army Reserve to employ unique capabilities required to execute HD missions and serve as a lifesaving and life sustaining force for the Nation in response to DSCA missions.
- 4. Reestablish Light Tactical Vehicle (LTV) recapitalization program:** A significant modernization shortfall is developing within the Army Reserve LTV fleet, including armor-capable and ambulance high mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicles (HMMWVs). The Army Reserve is projected to maintain the HMMWV within the LTV fleet through FY 2045. The absence of a recapitalization program to modernize the LTV fleet will generate a significant increase in sustainment costs and degrade readiness.

C. The United States Marine Corps (USMCR)

The Marine Corps Reserve is an integral element of the Marine Corps total force providing support to operations in Afghanistan, while concurrently sourcing combatant commander requirements for a variety of missions, easily transitioning from a strategic force to an operational force at the peak of readiness.

The Marine Corps Reserve's top equipping challenges are:

- 1. Transition to the KC-130J Super Hercules:** Compatibility differences between the KC-130J and KC-130T are creating significant challenges in training, manning, and logistical support of the KC-130T. Accelerating the RC transition to the KC-130J is a priority for the Marine Corps Reserve.
- 2. Procurement of the RQ-21A Blackjack Small Tactical Unmanned Aircraft System (STUAS):** The RQ-21A will provide the Marine expeditionary force a dedicated intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance system capable of delivering intelligence products directly to the tactical commander in real time. This program is still in low rate initial production with AC procurement beginning in FY 2014. The RC is scheduled to receive the RQ-21A at the end of the current fielding plan but falls outside of the Future Years Defense Plan. Lack of these systems creates a significant capability gap between RC and AC forces.

D. The United States Navy Reserve (USNR)

The Navy has established a seamless and fully integrated Total Force. The Navy Reserve is a force multiplier that can be used periodically and predictably, providing operational support when and where it is needed at a cost-savings to the Navy. Within the Navy, senior leadership identifies RC requirements and priorities for new equipment as part of the Navy's resource allocation process. This equipment is used to generate and sustain fleet readiness during at-home training and deployed operations and is ready to surge forward in response to a request for forces from the Navy.

The Navy Reserve's top equipping challenges continue to be:

- 1. Aircraft procurement (C-40A, E/A-18G, P-8A, and F/A-18E):** Replacing aging aircraft and upgrading current platforms are critical for the Navy Reserve to continue operational support as a highly-valued part of the Navy total force.
- 2. Expeditionary equipment procurement (Coastal Riverine Force (CRF), Naval Construction Force (NCF), and Navy Expeditionary Logistics Support Group [NAVELSG]):** Achieving equipment compatibility with the AC is one of the Navy Reserve's top priorities. Procurement and upgrade programs, as well as Congressional funding additions, have improved RC equipment capability and compatibility. For the NCF, NAVELSG, and especially CRF units, the ability to fully fund equipment requirements remains a challenge. The most critical needs for Navy Reserve CRF continue to be acquisition of MK VI Patrol Boats and Riverine Command Boats for its squadrons.

E. The Air National Guard (ANG)

Presently, the ANG provides almost half of the Air Force's tactical airlift support, combat communications functions, aeromedical evacuations, and aerial refueling. The ANG's aging aircraft fleet faces significant sustainment and support costs. Modernizing, maintaining, and sustaining capabilities are among the current and future challenges the ANG faces.

The ANG's top equipping challenges are:

- 1. Adequate funding for weapon system modernization efforts:** With the need to fully fund ongoing operations and continued pressure on defense budgets, obtaining adequate funding for both procuring new equipment and modernizing existing equipment continues to be a challenge. In its "*Air National Guard 2013 Weapons Systems Modernization Priorities*" book, ANG has identified \$8.26B of capability shortfall needs for modernization and recapitalization of the ANG aircraft fleet and associated equipment.
- 2. Sustaining legacy weapon systems:** The ANG operates and maintains the oldest aircraft in the Air Force. Overall, the average age of aircraft within the ANG is 25 years. The ANG's Weapon Systems Sustainment Working Group has identified maintenance needs that include procurement of new advanced support equipment to replace the obsolete equipment built with 1970s and 1980s technology, modern leak detection equipment,

satellite communications radio support capability, and new C-130 Isochronal Inspection Stands meeting Air Force safety standards.

3. Adequate funding for dual-use capabilities to support DSCA and state missions:

ANG is concerned with its ability to satisfy requests to support DSCA and state missions with its dual-use equipment. Changes to unit missions, coupled with organizational changes to classic associations, have created a decrease in authorizations for ANG equipment, which decreases the ability to respond to requests to perform DSCA and state missions.

F. The Air Force Reserve (AFR)

The Air Force Reserve is fully engaged across the full spectrum of operations, providing the strategic capacity to respond to national crises and the day-to-day operational capability to maintain ongoing missions. In 2003, the AFR surged, with 23 percent of its force activated in response to 9/11 and support for Operation Iraqi Freedom, and again in 2010, with 12 percent of its Airmen activated to support Operation Enduring Freedom.

The AFR's top equipping challenges are:

- 1. Defensive systems:** Aircraft upgrades are in the process now to fully integrate all defensive systems. The AFR's top priority unfunded shortfall is additional C-130 Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM) to provide increased protection against advanced and emerging infrared missile threats.
- 2. Data link and secure communications:** The AFR's list of modernization shortfalls stresses aircraft defense, safety, and data link communications. The AFR will continue to equip C-130s in FY 2014 with the Real Time Information in the Cockpit (RTIC) data link system. These are upgrades with ARC-210 and Situational Awareness Data Link (SADL) radios to provide crews with advanced secure line-of-sight (SLOS) and beyond line-of-sight (BLOS) communications situational awareness and the ability to be dynamically mission re-tasked.

G. The United States Coast Guard Reserve (USCGR)

The Coast Guard also performs global missions in support of DoD combatant command operational plans. The Coast Guard's primary expeditionary resources are the eight Coast Guard Port Security Units (PSUs) that operate under the Navy Expeditionary Combat Command and are often embedded within the Navy's Coastal Riverine Force. These Coast Guard PSUs are unique because they are principally Reserve-staffed units, consisting of only six AC personnel within a 150 total complement.

The Coast Guard Reserve's top equipping concern is the recapitalization and sustainment of Port Security Unit (PSU) weapons and communications:

- 1. PSU weapon standardization – alignment with DoD and NATO:** Reserve program staff and capability managers are working towards a major weapons transition for PSUs. PSUs are currently issued the M16A2 rifle, which is no longer used or supported by DoD and provides severe logistical challenges while deployed in support of joint DoD and host nation operations. Similarly, PSUs must transition to the 9mm pistol to meet North

Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) weapons requirements and align with DoD components while deployed overseas.

- 2. PSU communications equipment – compatibility with DoD forces:** Modernization and upgrades to communications equipment will ensure interoperability with DoD. Secure and non-secure data in the field is a required capability in modern military operations, and PSUs require the organic capability to transmit, receive, and process both unclassified and classified data.

Chapter 2 United States Army Reserve Components

I. Army Overview

A. Army Planning Guidance

As the Nation's senior Military Service, the United States Army has always been indispensable to the security of the United States. The Army is the only Military Service that is charged with and capable of conducting prompt and sustained combat operations on land. The deployment of U.S. Soldiers is an unambiguous symbol of national resolve and commitment. As the Army emerges from over a decade of war, it is aggressively adjusting to meet future security threats to the Nation.

Prevent, Shape, and Win frames the Army's role as part of the Joint Force. The Army *prevents* conflict and destabilizing activities through its credibility as a modern, combat-ready, globally-deployable force. The Army also *shapes* security conditions favorable to U.S. and allied interests. If prevention fails and shaping is insufficient, the Army remains ready to decisively defeat the enemy and *win* a campaign as part of the joint force.

The Army operates in the human domain. Soldiers seize, retain, and exploit the initiative to gain and maintain a position of relative advantage through simultaneous offensive, defensive, and stability operations. The two core competencies of Army forces are *combined arms maneuver* and *wide area security*.

Over the next several years, the Army will move to become a regionally-aligned force, with units *assigned* and *allocated* to combatant commands. Once complete, Soldiers will be even more responsive to theater security cooperation and contingency response requirements, deploying from the squad to joint task force level.

The year 2013 marks the 40th anniversary of the Total Force Policy. The Army will continue to seamlessly integrate the Active, Guard, Reserve, and Civilian components. Over the past decade, the Army National Guard (ARNG) and United States Army Reserve (USAR) transformed from a strategic reserve to an operational reserve. The Army remains committed to ensuring the Total Force is manned, trained, organized, sustained, equipped, and employed to support combatant command requirements.

(Adapted from *Army Strategic Planning Guidance 2013* and Army Doctrine Publication 3-0, *Unified Land Operations*).

B. Army Equipping Policy

The Army Equipping Guidance describes the strategic environment through 2016 and how it relates to equipping the force. The guidance explains how it is synchronized and nested with the Army Equipment Modernization Strategy and the Army Equipment Modernization Plan to achieve an Army that is versatile, tailorable, and affordable. It also tells how the Army will equip during the transition from the current Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) model to the future force generation model, including the policy and goals for equipping the operational force, the

generating force, and the Reserve Components. It describes how the Army can redistribute equipment to achieve Equipment On-hand (EOH) equilibrium across the force and the means to get the most from every budget dollar. The underlying foundation of the guidance is to identify and minimize equipping risks and costs as the Army transitions from Afghanistan, through sequestration, toward regionally-aligned and mission-tailored forces.

The scope of the guidance includes the Total Army: the Active Component (AC) and the Reserve Components (RCs). It is a dynamic and flexible document that identifies the equipping challenges the Army faces and provides ideas and solutions for meeting those challenges. The guidance encompasses three lines of effort: equipping units for their missions, increasing readiness by redistributing equipment, and saving money.

1. Equipping Units for Their Missions

Equipping units for their missions is the main effort. Since 2006, the Army has used the ARFORGEN model (structured progression of readiness over time) to produce trained, ready, and cohesive units. It was, and for the immediate future will remain, the primary method for equipping rotational AC and RC units for deployment and contingency missions. The Army is developing a future force generation model that, in accordance with the *Army Strategic Planning Guidance 2013*, will sustain the Army's ability to provide a manned, trained, and equipped Total Force to meet the full range of current and emerging combatant commander requirements. It will enable the Army to better tailor a versatile mix of AC and RC capabilities and deploy them rapidly for unified land operations of various durations.

The guidance provides units increasing levels of equipment at critical equipping points based on their ARFORGEN phase or future force generation pool. It focuses on placing equipment into the hands of units. It also equips non-rotational units, such as the generating forces that train Soldiers, and it ensures that the RCs have equipment to support homeland defense (HD) and Defense Support of Civil Authorities (DSCA) responsibilities.

In accordance with Department of Defense Directive (DoDD) 1200.17, *Managing the Reserve Components as an Operational Force*, this line of effort equips the RCs with the operational capabilities and strategic depth required of an operational force. It ensures they will be "consistently and predictably equipped" and that the "priority for the distribution of new and combat serviceable equipment, with associated support and test equipment, shall be given to units scheduled for mission deployment or employment first, regardless of component."

2. Increasing Readiness by Redistributing Equipment

This supporting effort's focus is to move equipment we already own or will procure in the near-term to increase overall unit readiness. While the Army has just less than 90 percent of its Modified Table of Organization and Equipment (MTOE) equipment on-hand, at the individual unit level they either have too much or not enough. As the Army draws down in Afghanistan, it has to transition away from a theater-provided equipment model to a pre-positioned and training activity set.

Shortages must be filled at the lowest level, within units and installations when possible. Theater excess equipment can be given to redeploying units that serve as conduits for getting the equipment from theater to installations where it is needed.

The guidance also ensures continuing equipment paybacks to the RCs in accordance with Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 1225.06, *Equipping the Reserve Forces*. To date, the Army has reconciled approximately 78,200 pieces of equipment of the 85,000 transferred out of the RCs since 2003.

3. Saving Money

Our processes and policies must take into account the significant reduction in funding under which we must operate. Every dollar not spent wisely directly diminishes the opportunity to reduce risk elsewhere. Sequestration, higher than expected war costs in Afghanistan, and potential reductions in 2014 and beyond will reduce both the Operation and Maintenance and Procurement funding needed to keep our formations ready for their assigned missions.

The Army will focus on preserving as much of the budget as possible for force modernization and to achieve and sustain future capabilities. This means it must reduce second destination transportation costs, divest expensive older systems and identify which niche capabilities to preserve and which can be divested, and reduce excess, whose costs can dramatically impact readiness. We must get equipment distribution and redistribution right and at the lowest levels. Fixing shortages through internal redistribution is a priority. In all cases, decisions must be based on accurate knowledge of EOH.

Transparency is key. Accountability of equipment must be established and maintained through accurately and rapidly documenting inventories to enable 100 percent visibility. The challenge is to be able to trace procurement-funded equipment from the President's Budget request to delivery at the unit level. During Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom/New Dawn, the Army lost the ability to track equipment deliveries to the RCs. Equipment could not be traced to a particular sourcing document. The Army has made significant headway in achieving transparency through a collaborative automated collection tool. The Army currently tracks 129 programs from FY 2009 to FY 2013 Reserve Component equipment procurements, provides RC funding and procurement data for annual budget exhibits, and submits semiannual equipment transparency reports to the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Reserve Affairs (OASD/RA).

C. Plan to Fill Mobilization Shortages in the RC

After more than 10 years of war, the Army finds itself in reasonably good condition, equipment-wise. As stated above, it has almost 90 percent of its MTOE authorizations. In 2013, the AC had approximately 91 percent of authorized equipment on-hand, the ARNG had approximately 91 percent, and the Army Reserve had approximately 86 percent. These percentages should improve as equipment is returned from theater, reset/repared, and redistributed to units.

In conjunction with ARFORGEN and the future force generation model, and in compliance with DoDD 1200.17 and DoDI 1225.06, the Army continues to comparably equip the AC and RCs to meet mission requirements. The Army equips all forces based on their priority within the

Dynamic Army Resourcing Priorities List (DARPL). In addition, it ensures the RCs always have at least 80 percent of their Critical Dual Use (CDU) items. These are MTOE-authorized items determined critical to the support of HD and DCSA missions. This allows units to meet their HD and DCSA requirements when not deployed.

When ARNG units deploy, they take their MTOE equipment with them. This can create a shortage of CDU equipment within the state. To compensate, states have enacted emergency management assistance compacts (EMACs) with each other. In EMACs, states agree to support each other in the event of a natural or manmade catastrophe.

The Army ensures all units are equipped to accomplish their missions. When there are shortages, the Army implements innovative methods to ensure commanders have the right amount and types of equipment for training and use when deployed. A common method leverages Pre-deployment Training Equipment (PDTE) sets and Theater Provided Equipment (TPE).

The PDTE sets are maintained by the AC and pre-positioned at key Mobilization Force Generation Installations (MFGIs) in support of individual and collective training requirements prior to any AC or RC unit deployment. The PDTE sets consist of mostly theater-specific and very low density items that are otherwise not available to units. These sets remain at the MFGIs when the units deploy to ensure availability for the next training rotation and to reduce transportation cost.

When the units arrive in theater, they are issued TPE unique items. Maintaining TPE in theater minimizes the cost and friction of deploying the equipment back and forth with returning and deploying units and ensures that theater-required equipment is where it needs to be.

D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment

1. Current Operations

The Army's operational tempo in support of overseas contingency operations (OCO) has lessened, but it still places a strain on the force, particularly with the ARNG and USAR. As the operating tempo slows, the Army moves to a Boots-on-the-Ground:Dwell ratio of 1:2 for the AC and a Mobilization:Demobilization ratio of 1:4 for the ARNG and USAR. The strain on personnel will be reduced. Counterintuitively, however, the strain and wear on equipping will increase, due to increased need and use of equipment caused by longer Train/Ready phases.

The Nation's uncertain fiscal situation, combined with anticipated future reduction in wartime demand, is prompting calls for decreased defense spending. DoD leadership has heeded these calls, directing the departments to make hard choices and reduce spending. The next 10 years will be a dynamic environment of changing operational demands coupled with reduced defense spending. The Army's goal is to have an affordable and versatile mix of tailorable and networked units operating on a rotational cycle and capable of full spectrum operations.

Regardless of the Mobilization:Demobilization ratios, the Army is committed to ensuring that ARNG and USAR units are equipped to execute their HD and DCSA missions as well as their other operational requirements. To this end, Headquarters, Department of the Army (HQDA), ARNG, and USAR define, validate, and update the CDU equipment list annually, identifying those MTOE items necessary for the accomplishment of the ARNG and USAR Federal missions

and, in the case of the ARNG, state missions. The minimum acceptable level of CDU equipping is 80 percent on-hand. This provides a sufficient pool of equipment that, within the constraints of overall Army equipping levels, meets the goal of ensuring that the ARNG and USAR always have the equipment necessary to meet domestic operational requirements.

The Army identifies the CDU equipment necessary for Army units and personnel to assist civil authorities in responses to natural disasters, acts of terrorism, and other manmade disasters as identified in national planning scenarios and gives recommendation to HQDA on what equipment should be in the Army CDU equipment list.

The following five topics describe the Army efforts to bring the ARNG and USAR capabilities in line with future demands: Operationalizing the Reserves, Transparency, Homeland Defense and Defense Support of Civil Authorities, Reset Phase, and What We Bring to the Fight.

2. Operationalizing the Reserves

Today's Army was built as a Total Force (AC, ARNG, and USAR), and demands for U.S. ground forces have required almost continuous operational use of the ARNG and USAR to meet requirements. As part of our overall efforts to build the Army of the 21st century, it is important that we take a critical look at how the Army plans to use the ARNG and USAR in the future and what policies governing this use will require modification to achieve more efficient mobilization and effective employment of ARNG and USAR forces. The Army has made significant progress in transforming the ARNG and USAR from strategic reserves into operational forces that are fully integrated into the ARFORGEN model. In parallel with a Secretary of Defense-directed review, the Secretary and Chief of Staff of the Army commissioned an independent panel to review the policies and assumptions governing use of the ARNG and USAR to ensure that we can deliver a sustained flow of trained and ready land forces to meet the challenges of the 21st century. The panel reported that operationalizing was the correct approach, and that the Total Force concept needs to be institutionalized to increase the talent pool available for operations. It reported that "while there are issues to address with an operational reserve, the benefits far outweigh the challenges and represent the best path forward."

3. Transparency

In 2008, the Secretary of Defense directed the Military Services to provide increased transparency of equipping the RC. Specifically, the Military Services were charged with providing increased visibility and accountability of National Guard and Reserve equipment in the formulation of the annual budget, and for tracking ARNG and USAR equipment through the acquisition process from procurement to delivery.

To implement these directives, two important steps were taken by all Military Services. First, component-level funding and procurement quantities were included on key Congressional budget exhibits, such as the Budget Item Justification Sheet (the "P-40" form) and the Production Schedule (the "P-21" form). Providing this data gives both Congress and the RCs greater confidence that the equipment requirements developed in the budget formulation are both accounted for and clearly visible in the President's Budget submission. Consistent with DoD's intent, it also provided stakeholders with component-level funding data that could be linked to the acquisition process.

The second step taken was to track the delivery of funded equipment. The format for this tracking effort was standardized for all of the Military Services and is called the Equipment Transparency Report (ETR). In close coordination with the ARNG and USAR, HQDA prepares the ETR semiannually and then provides the report to OASD/RA. Collecting the data is largely a manual process for the Army because the databases currently in use were not designed to link a piece of equipment delivered to a unit with the funding that resourced the procurement. As an example, a new truck may be funded by the FY 2012 appropriation and, ultimately, delivered to an ARNG unit, but there is no automated linkage between the truck and the FY 2012 appropriation used to fund the procurement.

The task of providing increased transparency has given the Army an opportunity to closely examine many of its systems and processes. Several working groups have been focused on improving programming, finance, contracting, and logistics automation systems. Although implementing permanent solutions will take time, immediate steps have been taken to increase transparency. A secure, online collaborative tool is now in use that provides HQDA, as well as the ARNG and USAR, the ability to see and manipulate programming and budgeting data real-time as budget exhibits are created. The same collaborative system also allows Army programmers, budget analysts, and acquisition specialists to build the ETR online while maintaining full visibility for the ARNG and USAR.

Oversight of the transparency effort is maintained by a multi-component General Officer Steering Committee (GOSC) that meets quarterly and reviews programming, budgeting, procurement, and delivery data. Supporting the GOSC are Integrated Product Teams (IPTs) that ensure budget and procurement documentation are accurate and consistent. The IPTs also supervise the on-line data collection effort and prepare the ETR for GOSC review and approval. IPT leadership maintains close working relationships with both OASD/RA and other DoD staff agencies.

The Army fully supports DoD's efforts to increase transparency for the RC and is in full compliance with all directives. The Army also continues its efforts to automate the data collection process and has made significant progress in that area. Along with supporting DoD's transparency efforts, the AC, ARNG, and USAR are also keeping Congress apprised of progress in this area and have provided numerous updates to both Senate and House professional staff members since the transparency effort began in 2008.

4. Homeland Defense and Defense Support of Civil Authorities

The Army is playing an increasing role in HD and DSCA missions. In accordance with direction from the Secretary of Defense, the Army will provide the bulk of the Defense Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Response Force for FY 2014 and beyond. The Army provides specific capabilities for DSCA in the event of an attack against the United States, or in the event of a manmade or natural disaster. These capabilities come from all Army components in support of United States Northern Command's (USNORTHCOM's) DSCA mission in the event of a disaster.

The equipment used by the ARNG and USAR to conduct DSCA missions and, in the case of the ARNG state missions are dual-use equipment that comes primarily through Army base budget

procurement and commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) equipment procurement by the ARNG and USAR. It also includes equipment that has been cascaded from the AC to the ARNG and USAR.

Like AC units, as ARNG and USAR units progress through the reset and Train/Ready phases, they will be equipped at less than 100 percent. This represents risk in the ability to respond to HD and DSCA missions. Placing emphasis on procurement and management of CDU items will help ensure that the necessary equipment is available for mission execution.

5. Reset

Reset refers to the Army's imperative to restore balance to re-deployed units in the areas of family, Soldiers, equipment, training, and facilities as units return from operational deployments. The AC and RCs conduct the reset process for 180-days and 365-days, respectively, within the Army's current ARFORGEN model. Units complete reset better prepared and equipped to engage in training for future operations.

Equipment reset is a task within the larger scope of the reset phase. When battle-stressed equipment returns from an overseas contingency operational deployment, it is repaired and refurbished at either the unit-level (field-level) or depot-level (sustainment-level) maintenance facilities. In the case of equipment classified as a non-recoverable battle loss, equipment is replaced via new procurement activities. The Army prioritizes equipment reset activities to ensure that units slated as next to deploy are equipped to meet their operational readiness requirements. The Army's operational readiness requirements ultimately drive equipment reset priorities.

As with the Active Component, RC equipment reset priorities are currently evolving as the Army simultaneously conducts a withdrawal of forces from Operation Enduring Freedom, restructures the force, and modifies the ARFORGEN model. Within the RC, ARNG units continue to support HD and DSCA missions, and the USAR is adapting to its newly specified role as an operating force and expanded Title 10 responsibilities to support DSCA and HD missions. With consideration given to the fiscally-constrained operating environment, the Army will continue to balance its equipment reset priorities concerning CDU items within MTOE units.

6. What We Bring to the Fight

The ARNG and USAR are full partners with the AC in national defense, meeting the challenges not only of today, but of the future. To meet the future requirements, the Army has significantly accelerated the tempo of transformation and continues to adapt the resourcing processes to become more flexible, dynamic, transparent, and responsive.

The ARNG and USAR have undergone tremendous change in the past eleven years. They have been transformed from strategic reserves to an operational force. They have seen equipping change from a Cold-War paradigm of tiered-readiness, where the RCs were often equipped with obsolete equipment and had significant shortages, to the ARFORGEN structured progression of readiness over time, to produce fully modernized and equipped, trained, ready, and cohesive units. The ARNG and USAR play an essential role in the National Defense Strategy. The ARNG and the USAR served alongside AC units in Iraq and they continue to serve along with AC units in Afghanistan. They serve in the Sinai and in the Balkans. The ARNG and USAR provide combat

units, combat service support forces, special operations Soldiers, and unique capabilities critical to the Army's success.

E. Plan to Achieve Full Compatibility between AC and RC

The ARNG and USAR are operational components and they can continue to expect to serve together with AC units in any theater. The Army equips all ARFORGEN units with the most modern and most capable equipment available, based on the units' mission. Because of this, the ARNG and USAR units receive the same equipment as their AC counterparts when assigned similar missions.

The Army is also committed to fulfilling its DoDI 1225.06 requirements to replace ARNG and USAR equipment transferred to the AC. At the time of this publication, the Army had reduced the number of items it owes the ARNG and USAR from over 85,000 to approximately 6,800 pieces of equipment. To ensure transparency, any new requirements must be accompanied with a memorandum of agreement signed by both the AC and ARNG or USAR and approved by the Secretary of Defense. Repayments are tracked item by item. Supplementary instructions providing Army procedures for implementing the changes are described in DoDI 1225.06.

II. Army National Guard Overview

A. Current Status of the Army National Guard

1. General Overview

The Army National Guard (ARNG) supported overseas contingency operations (OCO) and domestic missions in FY 2013. ARNG state support included flood and hurricane response, winter storm and wildfire response, and search and rescue. Additionally, the ARNG provided support to the Department of Homeland Security, the Presidential Inauguration, and Customs and Border Patrol along the U.S. Southwest border. ARNG support to OCO included 13,602 Soldiers for Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan, 5,584 Soldiers in Southwest Asia, 290 Soldiers in Kosovo, 499 Soldiers in Cuba (Guantánamo Bay), 611 Soldiers in Djibouti (Horn of Africa), and 546 Soldiers in Egypt.

Congress's continued support of Army procurement and the Army's continued utilization of the ARNG as an operational force have

resulted in significant improvements to the ARNG equipment posture and increased equipment on-hand (EOH), Critical Dual Use (CDU) equipment, and the overall modernization levels. ARNG EOH was at 77 percent just four years ago. Today, the ARNG is at 91 percent. Overall, the current CDU EOH is 92 percent, an increase from 65 percent at the time of the Hurricane Katrina response in 2005. Additionally, efforts by Congress to modernize the total Army has resulted in dramatic increases to modernized EOH in the ARNG. Tactical Wheeled Vehicles (TWVs) and armored vehicles in particular have seen the most striking increases, with 48.2 percent of the TWV fleet and 47.1 percent of the armored vehicle fleet considered modern in 2001 compared to 82 percent and 99.8 percent modern (respectively) in 2013. In June 2013, adjustments made to the modernization "cut" levels of several equipment items resulted in the ARNG's overall EOH modernization percentage increasing from 70 to 85 percent in the current report.

By capitalizing on past investments, the ARNG is one of the best options available for our Nation to preserve military capability, capacity, and depth concurrently, while helping to decrease overall defense expenditures. The fiscal realities that we face afford the Congress and Department of Defense an opportunity to review what the ARNG has contributed over the past decade, but more importantly, where the ARNG will be ten years from now. Resources remain the principal reason the ARNG is an operational force, and will determine whether it stays that way. The ARNG has been able to achieve individual and unit proficiency with advance training in live and constructive exercises at the Army's premier training centers. In addition, designated resources have elevated the ARNG to a higher level of modernized equipment on-hand than at any time in its history.

Top ARNG Focus Areas

- Modernize the ARNG helicopter fleet
- Modernize the ARNG tactical wheeled vehicle fleet
- Procure engineer equipment to fill shortfalls in modernization equipment
- Maintain the ARNG to no less than 80 percent of Critical Dual Use equipment on-hand
- Improve the ARNG command and control capability by focusing on fielding Army mission command systems to ARNG brigade combat teams
- Build essential field-level maintenance facilities to effectively repair, service, and maintain ARNG equipment

a. Status of the ARNG as an Operational Force

The past decade of conflict has forged the ARNG into an operational force capable of rapid and effective employment at home or abroad. The ARNG of 2013 is the best-manned, best-trained, best-equipped, best-led, and most experienced force in its long history. This is a direct result of the resourcing and legal authorities that Congress has dedicated to this purpose over the past decade-plus of conflict. The ARNG has used those resources wisely, and is an operational force that provides capabilities and strategic depth to meet national defense requirements and state needs. The ARNG complements the Active Component, ensuring the Total Force remains capable of providing trained and ready forces in support of the Nation's security strategy. As an operational force, the ARNG is resourced, trained, ready, and utilized on a continual basis, conducting the full spectrum of military operations in all environments as part of the Total Force.

The ARNG today provides the Army strategic depth and an operational reserve with specialized expertise and civilian skills. More important, it provides experienced forces to satisfy the Nation's needs across the full range of military operations. The ARNG is currently structured for success. Preserving these options will require the combined efforts of the ARNG, the Army, and the Department of Defense to address the challenges of institutionalizing Total Force Policy and to determine the appropriate strategies and policies to ensure long-term viability of the operational ARNG.

The investment to maintain an operational force when compared to the strategic reserve the Nation had prior to 9/11 is quite modest. However, that investment has more than paid for itself in added responsiveness, flexibility, and readiness resident in a Reserve Component (RC) where 84 percent of the personnel serve in a traditional part-time status. The remaining personnel are either Active Guard and Reserve (AGR) or Technicians. The training and equipment used to ready the ARNG for overseas service paid dividends here in the United States. Preparation and training for war has made the ARNG extremely effective in responding to domestic emergencies. As an operational force, the ARNG will continue to be poised to support OCO, DoD and state missions in the United States, and the State Partnership Program in numerous countries around the world.

b. Operational ARNG—Defense Support of Civil Authorities and State Missions

In 2013, the ARNG performed state missions in response to significant wildfires in Colorado, Wisconsin, Arizona, Nevada, Minnesota, Idaho, New Mexico, South Dakota, and Tennessee that resulted from below-normal rainfall. During FY 2013 (as of June 2013), the ARNG performed state missions in response to more than 179 disasters, including Hurricane Sandy. Table 2-1 below provides further detail.

Table 2-1. Defense Support of Civil Authorities and State Missions

| Event Type | Event Amount | Event Type | Event Amount |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Key asset protection | 2 | Search and rescue | 44 |
| Law enforcement support | 3 | Water support | 7 |
| Winter storm response | 10 | Tornado | 1 |
| Flood | 14 | Explosive ordnance disposal (EOD) | 7 |
| Special event | 11 | Southwest border | 1 |
| Fire | 25 | Severe weather | 1 |
| Civil Support Team (CST) response | 87 | Counterdrug | 2 |
| Hurricane—Tropical Storm | 3 | Other | 4 |

i. Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear (CBRN) Enterprise

The ARNG comprises 66 percent of the entire DoD CBRN Response Enterprise (CRE) (approximately 12,402 ARNG Soldiers and 1,558 Airmen). Based on the recommendation of the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review, DoD stood up ten National Guard Homeland Response Forces (HRFs). HRFs are joint task forces composed of both ARNG and Air National Guard (ANG) personnel. Each HRF consists of a mission command element, CBRN Task Forces, and Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and High-yield Explosive [CBRNE] Assistance Support Elements, totaling 577 Soldiers. The HRFs are regionally aligned by Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region with one in each region to provide a fast, nationally-coordinated CBRN response capability. Two HRFs were operational at the end of FY 2011 and the remaining eight conducted validation in FY 2012. HRFs provide life-saving capability within a 6–12 hour response time and mission command for other CRE elements in their area of operations. The HRF is designed to provide mission command for three National Guard CBRNE Enhanced Response Force Packages (CERFPs). The CERFP is the primary life-saving capability of the National Guard elements of the CBRN Response Enterprise. Each HRF has an embedded CERFP capability called a CBRN Task Force. Currently, there are 57 Civil Support Teams, 17 CERFPs, and 10 HRFs within the ARNG.

The ARNG sources the Command and Control CBRN Response Element Bravo (C2CRE-B). C2CRE-B consists of 2,029 highly trained Soldiers. When directed by USNORTHCOM, C2CRE-B conducts CBRN operations to provide lifesaving, command and control (C2), and logistics capabilities for follow-on forces within the USNORTHCOM area of responsibility to execute DSCA missions in response to CBRN incidents to save lives and minimize human suffering.

ii. ARNG Division Headquarters, Domestic All-Hazards Response Team (DART), Coordination Cell (DCC)

The USNORTHCOM Concept Plan requires the establishment of DCCs. ARNG Division Headquarters facilitates the states’ ARNG domestic all-hazards response, beginning at the lowest state echelon. This requires identifying the “Essential 10” capabilities and aligning them with the existing state all-hazards plan to fill capability-based gap requirements. The Divisions provide

unity of command and effort that can support a state with individual and staff augmentation up to the full breadth of an Army Division and a two-star general Dual Status Commander.

The DART mission is split up into DART East and DART West regions of the United States. Each region has a primary and reinforcing DART DCC with 20 Full Time Manning (FTM) for the primary and 10 FTMs for the reinforcing, which are housed within the Division Headquarters. The DCC consists of an officer-in-charge and a team of planners and operations personnel to mirror that of a Division G5 section. The DART Coordination Cells are vital to the DART concept by providing the adjutants general with joint operational flexibility for augmenting their existing forces with modular, scalable, and affordable force packaging capabilities. The DCCs utilize the DoD reporting systems as operational planning tools to generate state National Guard force packages to aid in mitigating identified state capability gaps during crisis action planning. The DCCs have continued to meet expectations within the Chief, National Guard Bureau (CNGB) and Director, Army National Guard guidance as they demonstrate their value in annual National Level Exercises and pre-planned National Special Security Events. Most notably, DCCs facilitated response preparation and coordination between affected states and the National Guard Coordination Center during natural disasters to include hurricanes Irene, Isaac, and Sandy.

c. Operational ARNG—Support to Security Cooperation and Building Partner Capacity

In 2013, the Army National Guard provided approximately 12,278 Soldiers to support 63 military exercises in 73 countries. The ARNG's unique dual mission capability combined with Soldiers that possess a range of valuable professional skills and expertise acquired as civilians promotes a well-rounded Soldier with a larger set of skills to solve complex problems. The National Guard Bureau's State Partnership Program (SPP) includes 65 partnerships with a total of 71 partner countries. Twenty-seven SPP partner countries have deployed alongside Guard Soldiers in Iraq and Afghanistan. This program is a vital element of the Army's security cooperation strategy as it promotes security cooperation activities for military-to-military training, disaster response, border and port security, medical, and peace enforcement operations.

2. Status of Equipment

The ARNG continues to manage available resources effectively to support both DoD and state missions. Total EOH is currently 91 percent, with 84 percent of Modified Table of Organizational Equipment (MTOE) equipment available to the governors due to mobilizations and deployments. This EOH percentage does not include Table of Distribution and Allowances (TDA) requirements that are critical to military occupational specialty producing schools, Civil Support Teams (CSTs), pre-mobilization training, states' Joint Force Headquarters, and other ARNG missions. Additionally, some TDA equipment is critical in performing HD and DSCA missions. TDA EOH percentage is currently 64 percent.

Beginning in FY 2006, the Army significantly increased its investment in ARNG equipment to meet overseas contingency requirements, convert to a modular force, and fulfill its CDU equipment commitment. As a result, ARNG EOH (MTOE only) increased from 69 percent to 91 percent in FY 2013. The ARNG CDU EOH, a subset of MTOE equipment, increased from 65 percent to 92 percent during this same period. This continued improvement in ARNG EOH is essential to ensuring the ARNG is capable of fulfilling its missions. As in the past, ARNG EOH

percentages will continue to be affected by changing MTOEs and the modernization of equipment; however, the net result will be a more ready and modern reserve force prepared for utilization as an operational force.

a. Equipment On-hand

The ARNG aligned itself to continue supporting the Army's full-spectrum Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) based Equipping Strategy by focusing on modernization, improving equipment interoperability, and emphasizing CDU equipment. As a result of these focused efforts and Congress's continued support of Army procurement, the ARNG is more capable than ever to support the Army and our Nation in OCO, HD, DSCA missions. Over the past year, the ARNG has received over 74,000 new items of equipment.

i. Table of Distribution and Allowances Unit Equipment

ARNG TDA units contribute to domestic response missions. Such units include state Joint Force Headquarters, which consist of the adjutant generals and their staffs who provide command and control support for state missions. CSTs are also TDA units, and there are currently 57 CSTs throughout the United States. CSTs are required to deploy to provide assistance to local first-responders in determining the nature of an attack and to provide expert response advice. Although TDA units generally do not deploy, there are exceptions. TDA units require equipment to train other units, which contributes to the readiness and availability of ARNG units. TDA units are usually lower priority and may inherently have older generation equipment and more shortages as they compete with deploying units for resources.

ii. Equipment Cross-leveling

The cross-leveling of equipment that is not excess presents a challenge to the ARNG and results in lower EOH levels of MTOE equipment available to the "donor" unit and possibly the state and territory. The ARNG uses the ARFORGEN cycle to lessen the effects of last-minute requirements placed on units to move equipment to other states and territories in support of operational needs. However, increased quantities of EOH over the past few years have correspondingly decreased the need to cross-level equipment to mobilizing units to meet deployment requirements. This is supported by the reduction in the number of equipment items cross-leveled for mobilization from 2,917 in 2012 to 387 in 2013.

iii. DoD Instruction 1225.06—Equipment Transfers to Contingency Operations

The ARNG continues to track the equipment transferred over the past decade from units re-deploying out of theater to units deploying to theater to support various urgent war fighter needs. As HQDA staff identifies equipment requirements, ARNG will continue to coordinate with HQDA staff to best meet the needs of the combatant commanders and the Army. DoDI 1225.06, *Equipping the Reserve Forces* (signed May 16, 2012), provides greater transparency and traceability controls over RC equipment transfers. This includes transfers from one component to another, transfers within a component, as well as equipment inducted into maintenance facilities. Additionally, the instruction provides enhanced reporting requirements to provide enhanced transparency and accountability of ARNG equipment. The Army has also published additional supplementary instructions that clearly outline and define the Army internal processes and procedures that will be used to transfer ARNG and Army Reserve (AR) equipment in accordance

with DoDI 1225.06. The ARNG continues to monitor replacement requirements established since 2003 and approved by the Secretary of Defense. Through regularly scheduled Integrated Product Team meetings, consisting of members from HQDA, Army Materiel Command, ARNG, and Army Reserve, all theater equipment transfers and replacement plans are properly annotated and tracked. The ARNG continues to work closely with HQDA to ensure equipment is returned and future transfers are properly executed.

b. Average Age of Major Items of Equipment

The average age of ARNG equipment at the beginning of FY 2014 is provided in *Table 2*. An increase in manufacture and recapitalization programs through FY 2013 alleviated the historical issue associated with aging equipment. In the past, the ARNG received much of its equipment through the cascading actions of the AC, and the equipment transferred was often already at or near the end of its planned service life. Programmed replacements and rebuilding efforts for the ARNG equipment could not keep up with the needs of the ARNG. If the current levels of both new procurement and recapitalization efforts continue, the average age of equipment should be substantially reduced in the future as more new and modernized equipment is moved into the ARNG inventory.

c. Maintenance Issues

i. Field-Level Maintenance

Many ARNG shop facilities are more than 50 years old and are neither designed nor equipped to provide a safe, environmentally-friendly workplace, capable of meeting the demands of the Army's two-level maintenance doctrine to support and maintain a modern and complex, up-armored vehicle fleet. The Military Construction funding required for modernizing ARNG surface equipment maintenance facilities is conservatively estimated at \$2.24B according to the ARNG Installation Division Planning Resource for Infrastructure Development and Evaluation database. Field-level maintenance is critical to ARNG equipment readiness in the ARFORGEN model and for HD, DSCA, and emergency operation missions. It is essential that the ARNG has modern maintenance shop facilities meeting current construction criteria to effectively repair, service, and maintain our operational force's equipment.

ii. National-Level Maintenance

Continued funding of the ARNG Surface Depot Maintenance Program is key to maintaining the readiness of the ARNG fleet. As an integral part of ARNG's sustainment activities, the depot overhaul and rebuild programs sustain ARNG EOH and extend the service life of its fleet. ARNG sustainment activities thereby decrease operational tempo spending. The current ARNG Depot Maintenance Program funding level is \$233.1M. This is 59.2 percent of the ARNG critical requirement of \$394M in FY 2014. Planned reductions in the Depot Maintenance program in FY 2014 and across the FY 2015–FY 2019 budget will significantly affect the program.

iii. Home Station Reset

Under the Home Station Reset program in FY 2013, the ARNG continued to restore equipment returning from Kuwait and Afghanistan to Technical Manual 10/20 standards within 365 days of the unit's return to home station. In FY 2013, the ARNG Home Station Field Level Reset Program (subset of Home Station Reset) completed the reset of 136,340 pieces of equipment.

iv. Automatic Reset Induction (ARI)

Units redeploying from theater are required per HQDA to induct 100 percent of identified ARI equipment items into Sustainment Maintenance prior to redeployment to the continental United States (CONUS). The return of the equipment inducted into ARI is critical for the National Guard to keep a high state of readiness and accomplish the National Guard's state missions.

d. Overall Equipment Readiness

Army readiness metrics were updated in 2011 to more accurately reflect the readiness status of the ARNG. This was necessary due to the reset of units returning from deployments and units transforming under modularity. Due to the large amounts of equipment received in recent years and the anticipation of equipment returning from theater operations, the ARNG continues to manage readiness by prioritizing limited resources using the ARFORGEN cycle in support of the National Military Strategy. Additionally, extensive EOH data analyses and the launching of new, long-term equipping management initiatives allow the ARNG to better monitor equipment readiness and continually identify opportunities to improve EOH readiness.

e. Other Equipment Specific Issues

Congress and the Army have made great strides in equipping the ARNG to the levels needed to be successful in its role as an operational force. Unfortunately, full-time surface maintenance technician manning levels have not kept up with the increased levels of equipment and operating tempo. Funding for FY 2013 has filled only 75 percent of the ARNG's established surface maintenance technician requirements and 70 percent of air maintenance technicians.

B. Changes since the Last NGRER

1. Preserving the Operational Army National Guard

The Army Strategic Planning Guidance states the Army's determination to advance the capabilities gained over the last 11 years and leverage capacity and capabilities of the Total Force—Active, Guard, Reserve, and Civilian—ensuring that both the operational and generating forces are optimized and aligned to support DoD and Army strategic priorities. To that end, the Director, ARNG indicated his determination to maintain the ARNG as an operational force through the Army National Guard Strategic Imperatives, September 2012. These essential goals will shape the ARNG over the next several years as we enter a period of constrained resources. These imperatives will help to ensure the ability to provide ready forces to meet the needs of the Nation and our governors.

A key component of maintaining an operational reserve is forecasting regular use of the force through a progressive readiness model, such as ARFORGEN, which prepares Soldiers and units for deployment every five years. This gives Soldiers, their families, and civilian employers the predictability they need to plan their civilian lives and careers while developing critical military skills exercised through tough, realistic training, and operational employment. Additionally, the ARNG must continue to field, sustain, and train on modernized equipment. Future procurement and sustainment programs must ensure that units are trained, ready, and modernized concurrent with the AC. Equipping and modernizing the ARNG on par with the AC will ensure readiness, support an operational force, and promote interoperability.

The Total Army adheres to one standard. Whether a unit is in AC, ARNG, or Army Reserve, the Army priority for equipping is to units scheduled for mission deployment or employment first, regardless of component. If not identified as a next to deploy or employ force, the challenge for RC units within a 5-year force generation cycle is sufficiency of resources to fully realize progressive readiness. These units are consistently and predictably equipped but less frequently than the AC, based upon the lower priority behind critical and essential mission requirements. If the Army is to preserve options for the future and provide the capabilities needed for future challenges, the operational ARNG must see continuous use in ongoing operations requiring rotational support, contingency, or security cooperation, and must be equipped and modernized on par with the AC.

2. Transparency

The Army continues process improvement to provide transparency as it relates to the component-level visibility, traceability, and tracking of equipment funding, production, delivery, and fielding. A key component of the Army's effort will be to establish an automated transparency process. The Army expects the development and implementation of Item Unique Identification (IUID) coding and system implementation to provide the automated means necessary to trace delivery of equipment to the funding year and appropriation from which it was resourced. Once fully operational, IUID will be the final piece necessary to enable information sharing between various systems in the acquisition process. The IUID system is currently scheduled to become fully operational by 2017.

3. Significant Major Item Shortages

Table 1 and *Table 8* provide equipment inventories, shortfalls, and modernization requirements for the ARNG at the end of FY 2017. The item shortages of highest priority are not necessarily driven by shortfall costs, but rather our ability as a force to maximize readiness across all of the varied missions mentioned above.

Supporting the ARNG's dual Federal and domestic roles, UH-60 Blackhawk modernization, general engineering equipment, chemical/biological protective shelters (CBPS), semitrailers, and high mobility multipurpose vehicle (HMMWV) ambulance shortages are high priorities.

C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017)

The cumulative effect of sequestration and the current fiscal environment will challenge the Army to consistently and predictably provide equipment to the RC. Army procurement funding is expected to trend downward across the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP). Currently, the ARNG is programmed to receive approximately \$5.2B in FY 2015–FY 2017 in future years base funding; these figures include \$1.56B in FY 2015, \$2.0B in FY 2016, and \$1.65B in FY 2017. These figures are inclusive of the Army equipment procurement appropriation accounts and do not include pay and allowances or research and development and are subject to change with the FY 2015 President's Budget submission.

1. Equipment Requirements

Table 1 provides projected FY 2015–FY 2017 major equipment inventories and requirements.

2. Anticipated New Equipment Procurements

a. Base Budget

Table 3, Service Procurement Program–Reserve (P-1R), provides the list of programmed ARNG equipment procurements in fiscal years 2015–2017.

b. NGREA Procurements

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) funding has been used to successfully mitigate key ARNG shortfalls in equipment and modernization efforts. FY 2013 ARNG NGREA funding has allowed the investment of more than \$58M in aviation, engineering, and logistics systems. In addition, \$79M of NGREA funding was used to procure systems that enhance HD and DSCA missions. The ARNG also has invested \$182M of NGREA funding for the procurement of simulators and training systems that support both individual and collective training. Although these purchases do not include all of the procurements that were made possible by FY 2013 NGREA funding, it does reflect the ARNG's focal funding areas.

3. Anticipated Transfers from AC to RC

Table 5 provides a list of equipment the AC projects to cascade to the ARNG. The AC has received a large influx of newly procured equipment, especially for AC units rotating overseas. In addition, as forces are reduced, equipment requirements will also be reduced. It is anticipated that as force structure changes are implemented, new as well as excess AC equipment will allow the AC to transfer a portion of their older equipment to the ARNG to fill shortages and replace equipment that has been categorized as obsolete. The Army anticipates that it will cascade drawdown equipment to the ARNG through FY 2016.

4. Funding for New and Displaced Equipment Training

New Equipment Training (NET)/Displaced Equipment Training (DET) funding is dependent on the quantity of new equipment scheduled for fielding to the ARNG. In FY 2013, the ARNG received \$67.7M in funding for NET/DET events and activities. This amount was sufficient to provide pay and allowances for soldiers required to attend NET/DET events and activities. The ARNG will be fielding the Global Combat Support System-Army (GCSS-A) in fiscal years 2015–2017. Any significant decrement to ARNG NET funding levels will significantly increase risk in the ARNG's ability to support required NET and the ability to fund GCSS-A. The ARNG must complete fielding of GCSS-A by FY 2017 to meet the 2008 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) requirement for CNGB to validate delivery of new equipment.

5. ARNG Equipping Strategy

The ARNG's goal is to ensure that Soldiers and units always have the required equipment to execute assigned missions whether they are progressing through ARFORGEN force pools, non-rotational units, in the Generating Force, or conducting HD and DSCA missions. The Army Guard will continue to equip units in accordance with ARFORGEN Aim Points (APs) based upon the resourcing priorities and the DARPL. APs are targeted equipment levels based upon the ARFORGEN cycle. The APs reflect Army priorities and enhance the ability of leadership, resource managers, and force providers to make accurate and timely decisions to mitigate risk on

manning, equipping, and sourcing of units. Success is measured by the ability of the ARNG to meet equipping goals of units based on each unit's mission and priority.

The AC, ARNG, and AR attempt to ensure all units are consistently and predictably equipped; however, none of the components have enough equipment to fill all requirements all the time. Factors, such as slow production rates, reset issues, funding limitations, requirements approved in advance of resourcing, or deliberate Army decisions to procure less than the Army Acquisition Objective, can result in shortages. These shortages may, in turn, result in units not achieving required equipment readiness levels until they are close to deployment. The ARNG will attempt to mitigate these shortages prior to mobilization through redistribution or cross-leveling of equipment. This allows the ARNG to leverage distribution of selected items to manage shortages better and maximize capabilities in an affordable manner. The ARNG will strive to meet the ARFORGEN APs for all equipment, but there will be circumstances when tailored equipping solutions will be implemented (e.g., emergency response units, ensuring availability of CDU equipment items).

6. Equipment Shortages and Modernization Shortfalls

While modernization levels overall are good, and within one percent of AC levels, there are nevertheless areas of concern. Modernization concerns include the Army National Guard's UH-60 Blackhawk fleet, which is the oldest in the Army. Equipment shortfalls include the CBPS and general engineering equipment consisting of firefighting, support, and construction equipment.

The equipment item listings in each of the following Budget Operating System (BOS) areas are not an all-inclusive ARNG equipment shortage list but are groupings of those shortages most critical to the ARNG for FY 2015. Such systems fulfill the Army's combat, combat support, and combat service support missions. BOS narratives are provided below. The narratives with BOS tables contain select items of equipment with fill percentages below 90 percent.

a. Maneuver Budget Operating System

The Maneuver BOS is comprised of a variety of combat systems. Abrams Tanks, Bradley Fighting Vehicles, Stryker Vehicles, and Long Range Advanced Scout Surveillance Systems (LRAS3) are among the highlighted systems in this BOS. The projected status at the end of FY 2014 shows the Abrams Tank at 100 percent EOH fill with two Armor Brigade Combat Teams (ABCTs) upgraded to the System Enhanced Package variant, and five ABCTs and three Tactical Combat Formation Combined Arms Battalions upgraded to the Abrams Integrated Management Situational Awareness variant. The Bradley Fighting Vehicles are at 96 percent fill, with five of seven ABCTs programmed for upgrade to the Operation Desert Storm – Situational Awareness variant, and two additional ABCTs programmed for upgrade to the A3 variant; the Stryker Vehicles are at 88 percent fill; and LRAS3 are 100 percent fielded to the ARNG.

b. Soldier Budget Operating System

The Soldier BOS is comprised of night vision, thermal weapon sights, small arms, and weapon support items. This portfolio enables Soldiers in our formations to gain and maintain overmatch against current and potential adversaries. All systems in this BOS are fully funded in the current budget. The ARNG has 100 percent of authorized night vision and Javelin in the Block 0 and

Block 1 capability configurations. The ARNG has 99.1 percent of required thermal weapons sights on-hand, and Improved Target Acquisition Systems are filled to 91 percent.

c. Air and Missile Defense Budget Operating System

Air and Missile Defense (AMD) remains an Army core function, vital to the Army’s core competencies of combined arms maneuver and wide area security. More significantly, the Army is the only Military Service designated to conduct both air and missile defense in support of joint campaigns (see Table 2-2).

Table 2-2. Air and Missile Defense Budget Operating System

| System | Required Quantity FY 2015 | On-hand Quantity FY 2015 | Percent Fill | Funding Shortfall |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Air and Missile Defense Planning and Control System (AMDPCS) | 4 | 2 | 50% | \$24M |
| Improved Sentinel Radar | 82 | 82 | 100% | \$0 |
| Air Defense Airspace Management System (ADAM) | 81 | 72 | 87% | \$12M |

Today, ARNG AMD units support the National Capital Region’s Integrated Air Defense System that protects our Nation’s capital and also provide manning for Ground-based Midcourse Defense systems deployed in Alaska, Colorado, and California to deter and defeat ICBM attacks on our Nation. Both AC and ARNG AMD units regularly protect designated special security events such as Olympic Games or political and international summits hosted in the United States. Acquisition of new tactical systems and modernization of currently fielded assets continues to improve and integrate AMD systems into the Ballistic Missile Defense System architecture.

d. Aviation Budget Operating System

The Aviation BOS consists of all ARNG rotary-wing, fixed-wing, and unmanned aircraft systems. The BOS modernization effort generally is on track with the exception of the H-60 series; budget funding reductions will decrease H-60L cascades from the AC (see Table 2-3). The ARNG operated 438 H-60A, 304 H-60L, and 81 H-60M in FY 2013, with scheduled H-60A divestment in FY 2026 and H-60M buyout forecasted for FY 2027. The ARNG AH-64D fleet will be Block II-pure in FY 2017. CH-47D conversion to CH-47F is fully funded. All C-23 aircraft will be divested from the ARNG inventory in 1st quarter FY 2014. Initiatives such as bulk procurement of Common Tool Kits fill long standing shortages and illustrate aviation ground support equipment (AGSE) modernization.

Table 2-3. Aviation Budget Operating System

| System | Required Quantity (FY 2015) | On-hand Quantity (FY 2015) | Percent Fill | Funding Shortfall |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| UH-60 Blackhawk (Modernization)* | | | | |
| UH-60L Model* | 450 | 304 | 67% | |
| UH-60M Model* | 106 | 121 | 114% | \$708M |
| UH-72A Lakota* | 212 | 212 | 100% | \$0 |
| AH-64D Apache | 192 | 177 | 92% | \$376M |
| CH-47F Chinook (Modernization)* | 75 | 83 | 110% | \$0 |
| AGSE (54 line items)* | 15,674 | 10,195 | 65% | \$33.5M |

* Indicates CDU item.

e. Fire Support Budget Operating System

The Fire Support BOS consists of all fire support and related systems. The ARNG is fully funded for “shoot” systems (cannons, howitzers, and rockets). Rocket systems are fully funded for improved armor cab, enhanced fire control capability, and enhanced long range communications. Towed cannons are fully funded for digitization (to M119A3) and additional M777A2s. Howitzers are being fielded as Army brigade combat teams (BCTs) undergo reorganization to the BCT 2020 construct. The M1200 Armored Knight and Lightweight Laser Designator Rangefinder (LLDR) will complete fielding by FY 2015 with LLDR modernization beginning in FY 2016. The Q-53 Firefinder Radar and Q-50 Lightweight Counter-mortar Radar (LCMR) represent the key challenges to full readiness for this portfolio. Legacy radars (Q-36 and Q-37) are fielded to 85 percent of authorization; fielding of the Q-53 is not expected to begin until FY 2015 due to Full Materiel Release challenges. The Q-50 has entered full-rate production, but recent budget reductions have delayed the likely full-fielding date until early in the next decade.

f. Mission Command Budget Operating System

The Mission Command BOS consists of the Army digital C2, communication, computer, and intelligence systems including fixed, semi-fixed, and mobile networks that are designed for interoperability. Joint Capabilities Release–Blue Force Tracker (JCR-BFT) is the key situational awareness and C2 system, which links communication devices, sensors, vehicles, rotary-wing aircraft, and weapons platforms in a seamless digital network to provide a clear, continuous, and common picture of the battlefield. ARNG is fielding the JCR-BFT Tactical Operations Center system and a vehicular mounted system. Currently, JCR-BFT vehicular system is only being fielded to deploying units at reduced authorizations in accordance with a Force Feasibility Review known as the Key Leader Option. This number is significantly lower than MTOE authorizations (see Table 2-4).

Table 2-4. Mission Command Budget Operating System

| System | Required Quantity (FY 2015) | On-hand Quantity (FY 2015) | Percent Fill | Equipment Shortfall |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Blue Force Tracker (veh) C18378 | 23,257 | 14,466 | 62% | \$231M |

g. Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical (NBC) Force Protection Budget Operating System

The NBC Force Protection BOS consist of systems to support chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear activities. The CBPS system is a CDU item used for homeland response missions. Delivery of 8 systems for the ARNG is scheduled for FY 2014 (see Table 2-5).

Table 2-5. NBC Force Protection Budget Operating System

| System | Required Quantity (FY 2015) | On-hand Quantity (FY 2015) | Percent Fill | Equipment Shortfall |
|--------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| CBPS* | 289 | 8 | 3% | \$281M |

* Indicates CDU item.

h. Intelligence and Electronic Warfare (IEW) Budget Operating System

The IEW BOS consists of a variety of systems, including the Prophet. The Prophet system includes one control vehicle and two or three sensors depending on the unit type. The Prophet equipment shortfall is due to unapproved funding for Prophet fielding teams, delayed Prophet sensor production and retrofit schedule, and delayed CONUS fielding schedule due to theater priorities (see Table 2-6). Prophet system production is fully funded with fielding complete in FY 2016. These systems are critical for maintaining and developing the highly-perishable signals intelligence (SIGINT) skills associated with SIGINT Analyst and Crypto logic Linguist occupational specialties.

Table 2-6. IEW Budget Operating System

| System | Required Quantity (FY 2015) | On-hand Quantity (FY 2015) | Percent Fill | Equipment Shortfall |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Prophet Control Vehicle | 34 | 6 | 18% | \$8.9M |
| Prophet Sensor | 75 | 15 | 20% | \$84.0M |

i. Mobility Budget Operating System

FY 2014 EOH quantities comprise a mixed fleet of new-build and legacy engineer systems. Family of Boats and Motors is currently under contract with fielding taking place in FY 2014–FY 2016. The Water Well Drill Rig has been fielded with two systems being sent to the Afghanistan and African theaters in support of combatant commander operational needs. The ARNG contains 100 percent of the force structure for the Water Well Drill Rig and is currently working through either a payback plan from HQDA or possible retrograde from theater. Mobility systems include countermine and bridging systems. Shortfalls exist due to modernization of equipment, not shortages of EOH. The ARNG currently contains approximately 40 percent of all engineering force structure, much of which can directly support civil support and disaster relief missions. The Mobility BOS utilizes a mix of programmed funds and NGREA (see Table 2-7).

Table 2-7. Mobility Budget Operating System

| System | Required Quantity (FY 2015) | On-hand Quantity (FY 2015) | Percent Fill | Equipment Shortfall |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Water Well Drill Rig | 6 | 4 | 67% | \$4.0M |
| Zodiac 15 Passenger Boats* | 375 | 131 | 35% | \$4.6M |
| Outboard Motor Gasoline: 40 Brake Horsepower (BHP)* | 122 | 0 | 0% | \$2.2K |
| Outboard Motor Gasoline: 35 HP Silenced Waterproofed* | 365 | 67 | 18% | 1.3M |
| Armored Vehicle Launched Bridge (AVLB) | 102 | 72 | 70% | \$9.0M |
| Medium Mine Protected Vehicle (MMPV) Type I | 205 | 58 | 28% | \$102.0M |

* Indicates CDU item.

j. Combat Service Support (CSS) Sustainment Budget Operating System

The CSS Sustainment BOS consists of medical, fuel, water, maintenance, and food systems. Recent utilization of FY 2012 and FY 2013 NGREA funding improved the on-hand posture of these vital capabilities; however, the ARNG EOH is below 80 percent for Multi-Temperature Refrigerated Container Systems (MTRCS), Assault Kitchens, and 2K Water Tank-Racks (HIPPOs) (see Table 2-8). These sustainment systems add vital capabilities to ARNG units conducting both combat and domestic operations.

Table 2-8. CSS Quartermaster, Ordnance, and Medical Systems

| System | Required Quantity (FY 2015) | On-hand Quantity (FY 2015) | Percent Fill | Equipment Shortfall |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 2K gal. Water Tank-Rack (HIPPO)* | 660 | 483 | 73% | \$23M |
| Multi-Temperature Refrigerated Container System* | 470 | 174 | 37% | \$32M |
| Assault Kitchen* | 1,000 | 282 | 28% | \$30M |

* Indicates CDU item.

k. CSS Transportation Budget Operating System

The CSS Transportation BOS consists of Light Tactical Vehicles (LTVs), Medium Tactical Vehicles (MTVs), Heavy Tactical Vehicles (HTVs), and Tactical Trailers. The ARNG anticipates a requirement to recapitalize our 34-ton and 25-ton semitrailers. The ARNG also has a requirement to recapitalize Palletized Load Systems (PLS) to meet the Army standard of 50 percent modernization level by FY 2018. The ARNG PLS modernization level is currently 2 percent.

HMMWVs are critical command and control and transportation assets during domestic operations. The ARNG is excess of the FY 2018 HMMWV requirement (see Table 2-9). The HMMWV fleet consists of 40 percent up-armored HMMWVs and 31 percent of the HMMWVs that have been recapitalized for an additional 20 years of service. The ongoing Army Tactical Wheeled Vehicle (TWV) Reduction Study V will reduce the ARNG's overall HMMWV

requirements. The ARNG is purchasing 500 HMMWV Ground Ambulances with FY 2010 NGREA funding. The ambulances are projected to be fully fielded by June 2015. The ARNG MTV fleet is projected to be 95 percent Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles (FMTV), with only five percent substitutes by FY 2014, and 55 percent of the fleet will be the most modern up-armored models. The next critical Army Decision Point in FY 2014 will be to determine a recapitalization or replacement strategy for the first generation FMTVs that are approaching 15 years of service life. PLS shortfalls exist due to modernization of equipment, not shortages of EOH.

Table 2-9. CSS Transportation Budget Operating System

| System | Required Quantity (FY 2015) | On-hand Quantity (FY 2015) | Percent Fill | Equipment Shortfall |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Semitrailer: Flatbed 34-ton | 4,349 | 3,755 | 86% | \$42M |
| Semitrailer: Low-bed 25-ton | 783 | 208 | 37% | \$59M |
| Palletized Loading System (PLS) | 1,767 | 1,799 | 100% | \$384M |
| HMMWV Ground Ambulance* | 1,690 | 1,372 | 81% | \$112M |

* Indicates CDU item.

D. Summary

Today, the ARNG faces a historic opportunity. The convergence of combat operations drawdown in Afghanistan, current defense strategy, and new defense-wide budgetary reductions are challenging our decision makers. They must consider difficult spending options that are required to be sustainable while keeping America safe and secure. The ARNG's integration into over 2,600 communities, across the United States and its territories, allows the Nation to maintain a robust military capability at the least possible cost to the taxpayer.

The ARNG provides a cost effective solution given our country's current fiscal constraints. It has repeatedly ensured our Nation's security and reaffirmed its value to the Army and the Nation through times of conflict, natural disaster and civil emergencies. Although EOH is at historically high levels, we must continue to equip and modernize the ARNG to keep it on par and on pace with the AC. This will ensure readiness, operational capacity, and promote interoperability. We must preserve the conditions that maintain the ARNG as an operational force.

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

NOTE: This table provides a comprehensive list of selected major equipment items. It provides the projected inventory quantity on-hand (QTY O/H) at the beginning/end of the selected fiscal year (FY). It also provides the quantity required (QTY REQ) to meet the full wartime requirements of the Reserve Component. In accordance with Title 10, the QTY REQ number provides the recommendation as to the quantity and type of equipment that should be in the inventory of each Reserve Component. FY 2015 unit cost estimates are provided by the Military Departments.

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Air Defense | | | | | | | |
| Center Communications Operations: AN/TSQ-253(V)5 | C17156 | \$1,625,489 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Center Communications Operations: AN/TSQ-253(4) | C77942 | \$1,683,868 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Center Communications Operations: AN/TSQ-253(V)2 | C78192 | \$6,878,138 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Center Communications Operations: AN/TSQ-253(V)3 | C78135 | \$4,922,949 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Center Communications Operations | C18033 | \$3,000,000 | 47 | 53 | 57 | 61 | 63 |
| Command System: Tactical | C91673 | \$2,000,000 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 72 |
| Computer: Tactical AN/GYQ-88 | C77755 | \$62,808 | 106 | 149 | 149 | 149 | 149 |
| Fire Unit Vehicle-mtd: Avenger | F57713 | \$1,090,277 | 264 | 264 | 264 | 264 | 264 |
| Radar Set: Sentinel AN/MPQ-64 | G92997 | \$3,500,000 | 60 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 78 |
| Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| Aerial Scout Helicopter: OH-58D | A21633 | \$4,075,800 | 24 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 30 |
| Airplane Cargo Transport: C-12D | A29812 | \$1,967,301 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 1 |
| Airplane Cargo Transport: C-23B | A29880 | \$7,424,158 | 24 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 10 |
| Airplane Cargo Transport: C-12F * | A30062 | \$3,068,422 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 35 | 93 |
| CH-47F Improved Cargo Helicopter * | C15172 | \$30,000,000 | 75 | 119 | 149 | 167 | 159 |
| Helicopter Advanced Attack: AH-64A | H28647 | \$10,680,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Helicopter Cargo Transport: CH-47D * | H30517 | \$5,000,000 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 6 |
| Helicopter Electronic Countermeasures: EH-60A | H30616 | \$5,544,861 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Helicopter Light Utility (LUH) UH-72A * | H31329 | \$3,900,000 | 200 | 209 | 209 | 209 | 200 |
| Helicopter Observation: OH-58A | K31042 | \$92,290 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 16 |
| Helicopter Observation: OH-58C | H31110 | \$190,817 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Helicopter Utility: EUH-60L | H31595 | \$6,529,538 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Helicopter Utility: UH-60A * | K32293 | \$4,635,000 | 429 | 429 | 429 | 429 | 15 |
| Helicopter Utility: UH-60L * | H32361 | \$4,855,000 | 284 | 284 | 284 | 284 | 22 |
| Helicopter Utility: UH-60M * | H32429 | \$8,000,000 | 71 | 114 | 134 | 156 | 596 |
| Helicopter Advanced Attack: AH-64E | H05006 | \$25,128,800 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| Helicopter Attack: AH-64D | H48918 | \$25,128,800 | 176 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 192 |
| HH-60L: MEDEVAC Helicopter * | U84291 | \$7,908,000 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles System: Shadow | T09343 | \$2,000,500 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 33 |
| Aviation | | | | | | | |
| Aircraft Data Rate Adapter (ADRA) Unit: CV-3885 | A29082 | \$3,523 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Forced Entry and Rescue Equipment Set: Aircraft Crash * | H88468 | n/d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
| Helicopter Internal Cargo Handling System (HICHS) CH-47 | H31079 | \$145,158 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 42 |
| Launcher Rocket Aircraft: 2.75-inch 19-tube M261 | L45131 | \$8,050 | 437 | 437 | 437 | 437 | 430 |
| Launcher Rocket Aircraft: 2.75-inch 7-tube M260 | L45199 | \$7,460 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 60 |
| M279A1 Launcher Guided Missile Aircraft | Z05122 | n/d | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 0 |

ARNG

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Oxygen Service Unit Airmobile: 8-Bottles Max | N40783 | \$6,897 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Peculiar Ground Support Equipment: Deployment Support Kit | P05012 | \$66,950 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 0 |
| Sling Cargo Aerial Delivery: 500-lb Capacity Type A7A * | T76903 | \$86 | 236 | 236 | 236 | 236 | 188 |
| Sling Cargo Aerial Transport: w/Multiple Leg Sling | T80571 | \$862 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| STABO Extraction Harness System | U16457 | \$494 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 24 |
| Survival Kit Aircraft: Basic 4-Person | S72693 | \$1,174 | 807 | 807 | 807 | 807 | 990 |
| Survival Kit Aircraft: (2-Man) Aircraft Modular Survival System (AMSS) | S72943 | \$898 | 235 | 235 | 235 | 235 | 462 |
| Survival System, Aircraft Personnel | BB8056 | \$6,800 | 330 | 330 | 330 | 330 | 0 |
| Tester: Pitot and Static Systems TS-4463/P * | T03597 | \$48,845 | 209 | 241 | 273 | 327 | 270 |
| Tool Kit: Aircraft Crash Rescue * | L27293 | \$737 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 307 |
| Trainer Flight Simulator: CH-47C | T00079 | \$1,250,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Trainer: Composite Black Hawk | T04324 | \$2,300,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Battle Command (Command and Control) | | | | | | | |
| Battle Command Common Services (BCCS) CPOF Stack AN/TYQ-146 | B73507 | \$98,036 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| BCCS Short Stack Command System: AN/TYQ-146(V)2 | B49350 | \$96,365 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Command and Control System: AN/GYQ-93(V)4 | C41398 | \$32,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Command and Control System: AN/GYQ-93(V)2 | C40608 | \$28,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Command and Control System: AN/GYQ-93(V)1 | C60818 | \$66,500 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Command and Control System: AN/GYQ-97A | C56327 | \$57,031 | 17 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 19 |
| Computer Set: AN/UYK-128(V)2 | FJ1013 | n/d | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| Command Center System: AN/TSQ-243 | C61665 | \$80,000 | 491 | 492 | 492 | 492 | 447 |
| Command System Tactical: AN/TYQ-146(V)1 | C61222 | \$277,821 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Command System Tactical: AN/TYQ-155 (V)1 * | C61290 | \$89,057 | 398 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 358 |
| Command System Tactical * | C40996 | \$404,212 | 251 | 251 | 257 | 258 | 213 |
| Communication Subsystem: AN/TSQ-259 * | C88821 | \$141,983 | 472 | 473 | 473 | 473 | 324 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/PYQ-6C | C67436 | \$6,810 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 0 |
| Computer Set: Digital FBCB2 | FJ1007 | \$15,850 | 264 | 264 | 264 | 264 | 0 |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/GYK-62B * | C13866 | \$16,530 | 693 | 730 | 749 | 749 | 748 |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/GYK-62G Command Post (TOC) | Z758FD | n/d | 0 | 60 | 122 | 188 | 0 |
| Computer Set: AN/UYK-128(V)3 * | C18378 | \$15,954 | 16,350 | 20,537 | 20,537 | 20,537 | 26,353 |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/UYK-128B(V)3 | Z757FD | n/d | 0 | 1,110 | 2,327 | 3,549 | 0 |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/UYK-128(V)1 | C05069 | \$15,954 | 788 | 788 | 788 | 788 | 159 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/PYQ-13 (GCCS-A) | C27588 | \$3,497 | 172 | 188 | 188 | 188 | 224 |
| Computer System: Digital * | C27963 | \$10,222 | 4,767 | 4,859 | 5,055 | 5,104 | 3,589 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/PYQ-12 | C18641 | \$16,968 | 423 | 424 | 424 | 424 | 332 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/PYQ-16 | C18891 | \$11,599 | 196 | 196 | 196 | 196 | 136 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/GYK-61 * | C18448 | \$14,548 | 2,315 | 2,320 | 2,320 | 2,320 | 2,144 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/UYQ-90(V)2 * | C18278 | \$16,676 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 13,734 |
| Generator Set: DED 60kW 400Hz Skid-mtd | G62960 | \$29,793 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Generator Set: DED 60kW 50/60Hz Skid-mtd | G63256 | \$26,956 | 1 | 102 | 156 | 199 | 147 |
| Generator Set: DED TM 10kW 60Hz * | G42170 | \$25,757 | 1,536 | 1,536 | 1,536 | 1,536 | 27 |
| Generator Set: DED TM 5kW 60Hz * | G42238 | \$23,738 | 1,060 | 1,070 | 1,070 | 1,070 | 926 |

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Generator Set: DED 5kW 50/60Hz Skid-mtd | G42488 | \$18,716 | 31 | 383 | 1,167 | 1,754 | 1,819 |
| Generator Set: DED 10kW 400Hz Skid-mtd * | G74779 | \$15,304 | 76 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 77 |
| Generator Set: DED 10kW 60Hz Skid-mtd * | G74711 | \$10,700 | 1,803 | 1,804 | 1,804 | 1,804 | 184 |
| Generator Set: DED 15kW 50/60Hz Skid-mtd * | G12170 | \$20,000 | 298 | 298 | 298 | 300 | 23 |
| Generator Set: DED 30kW 400Hz Skid-mtd | G74643 | \$24,334 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| Generator Set: DED 30kW 50/60Hz Skid-mtd * | G74575 | \$26,705 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 87 |
| Generator Set: DED 3kW 60Hz Skid-mtd * | G18358 | \$9,922 | 6,438 | 6,818 | 6,818 | 6,818 | 6,894 |
| Generator Set: DED 5kW 60Hz Skid-mtd * | G11966 | \$12,798 | 2,207 | 2,214 | 2,214 | 2,214 | 175 |
| Generator Set: DED 60kW 400Hz Skid-mtd * | G18052 | \$28,425 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 10 |
| Generator Set: DED 60kW 50/60Hz Skid-mtd * | G12034 | \$25,073 | 330 | 331 | 331 | 331 | 202 |
| Generator Set: DED 10kW 400Hz Skid-mtd | G75018 | \$21,273 | 1 | 13 | 25 | 36 | 0 |
| Generator Set: DED 15kW 400Hz Skid-mtd | G74950 | \$22,270 | 0 | 13 | 33 | 51 | 0 |
| Generator Set: DED 30kW 50/60Hz Skid-mtd | G75200 | \$22,046 | 0 | 34 | 84 | 131 | 2 |
| Generator Set: DED 15kW 50/60Hz Skid-Mtd | G49966 | \$20,949 | 0 | 45 | 110 | 172 | 203 |
| Generator Set: DED TM 100kW 60Hz mtd on M353 PU-495 | J35801 | \$44,776 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| Generator Set: DED 100kW 50/60Hz Tactical Utility | J38712 | \$10,541 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 18 |
| Generator Set: DED 200kW 60Hz Skid Tactical Precise | J40150 | \$19,204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Generator Set: DED 10kW 400Hz mtd on M116A2 PU-799 * | G53403 | \$30,472 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 6 |
| Generator Set: DED TM PU-802 * | G53778 | \$31,481 | 1,155 | 1,155 | 1,155 | 1,155 | 31 |
| Generator Set: DED TM PU-803 * | G35851 | \$38,418 | 373 | 373 | 373 | 373 | 16 |
| Generator Set: DED TM PU-804 | G35919 | \$42,197 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Generator Set: DED 28V DC MEP-501A * | G36169 | \$6,000 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 46 |
| Generator Set: DED 60Hz AC MEP-531A * | G36237 | \$6,000 | 2,506 | 2,563 | 2,584 | 2,609 | 2,577 |
| Generator Set: DED AN/MJQ-33 | G78135 | \$18,035 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Generator Set: DED TM 15kW 60Hz * | G78374 | \$32,622 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 24 |
| Generator Set: DED MEP807A | G17596 | \$67,000 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Generator Set: DED MEP809A | G17664 | \$75,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Generator Set: DED TM 60kW 400Hz PU-806 Chassis | G17460 | \$49,182 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 6 |
| Generator Set: DED TM 60kW 50/60Hz PU-805 Chassis * | G78306 | \$44,185 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 43 |
| Generator Set: GTE 60kW 400Hz Wheel-mtd SP | G40629 | \$241,643 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Generator Set: DED 10kW 50/60Hz: Skid-mtd | G07461 | \$19,912 | 17 | 352 | 759 | 1,534 | 1,708 |
| LTT Trailer-mtd (TM): PP-3001 5kW 50/60Hz | L27002 | \$69,552 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 32 | 0 |
| LTT TM: PU-2001 5kW 50/60Hz | L26934 | \$42,208 | 0 | 225 | 395 | 594 | 281 |
| LTT Trailer-Mtd: PU-2002 10kW 50/60Hz | L84622 | \$43,721 | 0 | 181 | 483 | 891 | 1,641 |
| LTT TM: PU-2003 15kW 50/60Hz | L84690 | \$45,033 | 0 | 49 | 128 | 187 | 123 |
| Power Plant: Electric DED TM 5kW 60Hz AN/MJQ-35 * | P28083 | \$46,322 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 80 |
| Power Plant: Electric DED TM 5kW 60HzAN/MJQ-36 | P28151 | \$46,257 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Power Plant: Diesel TM 10kW 60Hz AN/NJQ-37 * | P42262 | \$50,294 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 179 |
| Power Plant: Electric TM 30kW 50/60Hz AN/MJQ-40 * | P42126 | \$85,594 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 85 |
| Power Plant: Electric TM 60kW 50/60Hz AN/MJQ-41 * | P42194 | \$96,819 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 4 |
| Power Plant: Electric DED TM | P63530 | \$74,134 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 0 |
| Power Plant: Utility (Medium) * | P63394 | \$250,000 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 138 |
| Power Plant: Utility (Medium) * | P63462 | \$135,000 | 1,634 | 1,634 | 1,634 | 1,634 | 1,156 |

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Power Supply: PP-6224/U * | P40750 | \$4,322 | 2,739 | 4,791 | 8,141 | 8,584 | 11,239 |
| Tactical Computer Processor: AN/UYQ-43 (V)1 | T13413 | \$100,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Trailer-mtd: PP-3102 10kW 50/60Hz M200A1 | T39849 | \$72,145 | 0 | 11 | 30 | 48 | 8 |
| Trailer-mtd: PP-3105 30kW 50/60Hz 2M200A1 | T39917 | \$95,834 | 1 | 11 | 25 | 47 | 25 |
| Trailer-Mtd: PP-3106 60kW 50/60Hz 2M200A1 | T93232 | \$58,622 | 2 | 12 | 23 | 24 | 55 |
| Trailer-Mtd: PU-2101 15kW 50/60Hz M200A1 | T40090 | \$44,157 | 10 | 182 | 486 | 880 | 1,443 |
| Trailer-mtd: PU-2102 30kW 50/60Hz M200A1 | T39954 | \$45,545 | 4 | 55 | 126 | 205 | 400 |
| Trailer-mtd: PU-2113 60kW 400Hz M200A1 | T93368 | \$43,751 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Trailer-mtd: PP-3003 15kW 50/60Hz | T49579 | \$93,924 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 45 | 193 |
| Battlespace Awareness | | | | | | | |
| Data Analysis Central: AN/MSW-24 | D77801 | \$318,673 | 6 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 35 |
| Detecting System Countermeasures: AN/MLQ-40(V)4 | D04182 | \$1,400,000 | 15 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 94 |
| Battle Command Transport Networks | | | | | | | |
| Airborne Maritime Fixed - Maritime Fixed (AMF-MF) | Z603FD | n/d | 63 | 157 | 272 | 388 | 0 |
| Battalion Command Post (Switching Group): OM-XXX * | B67234 | \$225,000 | 471 | 509 | 527 | 527 | 528 |
| Central Office: Telephone Automatic AN/TTC-56(V)3 | C20617 | \$1,525,000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 16 |
| Communication Subsystem: AN/USQ-165 | C05001 | \$33,105 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 |
| Radio Terminal Line of Sight Multi-channel: AN/TRC-190E(V)3 | Z01314 | n/d | 0 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 0 |
| Radio Terminal Line of Sight Multi-channel: AN/TRC-190D(V)1 | Z01751 | n/d | 0 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 0 |
| Frequency Hopping Multiplexer: TD-1456VRC | F99520 | \$28,547 | 651 | 819 | 851 | 897 | 954 |
| Joint Node Network (JNN) Central Office Telephone Auto * | J05001 | \$1,200,000 | 149 | 158 | 162 | 162 | 162 |
| MBITR: Maritime Version | M27045 | \$12,400 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 238 |
| MBITR: Urban Version * | M18029 | \$11,900 | 6,524 | 7,321 | 7,321 | 7,321 | 1,729 |
| Net Control Station: AN/TSQ-158 | N04580 | \$390,885 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 22 |
| Handheld Type 1 Radio * | R55336 | \$8,872 | 5,467 | 5,726 | 5,726 | 5,726 | 2,360 |
| Radio Set: AN/PRC-148 | FA100W | n/d | 2,164 | 2,843 | 2,843 | 2,970 | 0 |
| Radio Set: Grid Reference AN/GRC-229D | R91580 | \$54,158 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 36 |
| Radio Set: AN/PRC-119F(C) * | R83141 | \$4,346 | 7,102 | 7,333 | 7,360 | 7,386 | 9,359 |
| Radio Set: AN/PRC-155(V)1 | Z01608 | n/d | 0 | 662 | 1,324 | 1,986 | 0 |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-111 | R45778 | \$42,840 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-87F(C) * | R67296 | \$6,532 | 708 | 718 | 718 | 718 | 741 |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-88F(C) * | R67330 | \$7,123 | 988 | 1,223 | 1,223 | 1,223 | 1,395 |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-89F(C) * | R44999 | \$11,128 | 2,985 | 3,522 | 3,534 | 3,601 | 5,743 |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-90F(C) * | R68044 | \$7,415 | 34,935 | 35,112 | 35,112 | 35,112 | 57,868 |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-91F(C) * | R68146 | \$11,817 | 6,541 | 6,590 | 6,600 | 6,606 | 11,865 |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-92F(C) * | R45543 | \$13,446 | 12,376 | 12,483 | 12,729 | 12,944 | 15,538 |
| Radio Set: AN/VSQ-2D(V)1 | P49587 | \$50,011 | 1,084 | 1,085 | 1,085 | 1,085 | 714 |
| Radio Set: AN/VSQ-2D(V)2 | P99724 | \$50,918 | 98 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 258 |
| Radio Set: AN/VSQ-2D(V)4 | R78005 | \$81,374 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| Radio Terminal Set | FA9513 | \$51,067 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| Radio Terminal: LOS Multi-channel AN/TRC-190C(V)1 * | R90451 | \$322,127 | 358 | 359 | 407 | 427 | 404 |
| Radio Terminal: LOS Multi-channel AN/TRC-190C(V)3 * | R90587 | \$397,740 | 189 | 189 | 211 | 724 | 210 |
| Radio Test Set: AN/GRM-122 * | R36178 | \$36,070 | 643 | 644 | 644 | 644 | 648 |

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Teleconference System: AN/TYQ-122 * | T43146 | \$23,000 | 148 | 211 | 211 | 211 | 223 |
| Combat Mobility | | | | | | | |
| Assault Breacher Vehicle (ABV) | A05001 | \$2,599,000 | 6 | 12 | 12 | 19 | 36 |
| Boat Bridge Erection Inboard Engine: Shallow Draft * | B25476 | \$210,000 | 143 | 163 | 172 | 180 | 172 |
| SOF Demolition Kit: M303 | S93791 | \$5,000 | 111 | 181 | 225 | 271 | 153 |
| Tool Kit: Urban Operations | T30195 | \$60,295 | 289 | 395 | 456 | 504 | 65 |
| Urban Operations: Platoon Kit | U88092 | \$165,000 | 112 | 136 | 160 | 185 | 40 |
| Field Logistics | | | | | | | |
| Fire Suppression Refill System (FSRS) | Z756FD | n/d | 0 | 9 | 22 | 33 | 0 |
| Advanced Aviation Forward Area Refueling Sys (AAFARS) * | F42611 | \$321,537 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 129 |
| Forward Area Water Point Supply System (FAW SS) * | F42612 | \$46,879 | 248 | 404 | 404 | 404 | 45 |
| Fuel System Supply Point (FSSP) Type-3 120K | F04898 | \$685,000 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 44 |
| Hydraulic System Test and Repair Unit (MX3) | H05002 | \$80,000 | 60 | 76 | 79 | 80 | 286 |
| Light Capacity Rough Terrain Forklift (LCRTF): 5K | Z01326 | n/d | 0 | 9 | 53 | 102 | 0 |
| LHS-compatible 2K-gal Water Tank-Rack (HIPPO) * | T32629 | \$131,839 | 455 | 628 | 728 | 816 | 976 |
| Modular Fuel System (MFS): Pump Rack Module (PRM) | Z01595 | n/d | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 |
| Multi-temperature Refrigerate Container System (MTRCS) | M30688 | \$107,100 | 104 | 162 | 221 | 280 | 178 |
| Petroleum Quality Analysis System (PQAS) | P25493 | \$668,000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Petroleum Quality Analysis System | P25743 | \$1,384,000 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Rough Terrain Container Handler: Kalmar RT240 * | R16611 | \$740,815 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 83 |
| Tank Assembly Fabric Collapsible: 10000-gal Petroleum | V12552 | \$7,083 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Tank Fabric Collapsible: Water 3000-gal | V15018 | \$1,816 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 204 |
| Tank Unit Liquid Dispensing Trailer Mounting * | V19950 | \$2,000 | 487 | 543 | 633 | 733 | 935 |
| Test Station Electrical Electronic Equipment Containerized | Z01554 | n/d | 0 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 49 |
| Tool Outfit Hydraulic System: Test and Repair 3/4-ton TM | T30377 | \$91,947 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 38 |
| Trailer Tank Water: 400-gal 1-1/2 ton * | W98825 | \$16,000 | 3,126 | 3,128 | 3,128 | 3,128 | 3,399 |
| Truck Dolly: Steel Gen Utility Type w/Wheels wo/Pad | X43160 | \$382 | 136 | 136 | 136 | 136 | 134 |
| Truck Hand Platform: Wood Nontilt Type | X47818 | \$693 | 528 | 639 | 642 | 642 | 918 |
| Truck Lift Fork Articulated: All Terrain DED 10000-lb Capacity | T73713 | \$75,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 4000-lb Capacity OPT LH | X48863 | \$30,000 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 0 |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 50K-lb Container Handler RT | T48941 | \$159,138 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 31 |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 6000-lb Capacity 130-in LH | X48876 | \$29,000 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 6000-lb Variable Reach RT Ammo-hdlg | T48944 | \$72,370 | 483 | 484 | 484 | 484 | 161 |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED PT 50000-lb w/Top LF Atch | X48904 | \$124,797 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 10000-lb Capacity Rough Terrain | X49051 | \$52,821 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 4000-lb Capacity Rough Terrain * | T49255 | \$75,000 | 320 | 320 | 330 | 337 | 516 |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 6000-lb Capacity Rough Terrain | X48914 | \$79,497 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 56 |
| Truck Lift Fork: DSL/Gas/LPG 6000-lb OPT LH | X51722 | \$31,545 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 0 |
| Truck Lift Fork: Gas 4000-lb | X51585 | \$26,134 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 612 |
| Truck Lift Fork: Gas PT 6000-lb | X51791 | \$14,411 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 106 |
| Truck Lift Wheel: Mechanical Lift 2400-lb | X53298 | \$637 | 554 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 1,538 |
| Truck Lift Fork: Variable Reach Rough Terrain * | T73347 | \$166,639 | 646 | 716 | 717 | 717 | 808 |
| Water Purification: Reverse Osmosis 3K-gph TM * | W47225 | \$748,000 | 65 | 75 | 75 | 79 | 79 |

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Water Storage/Distribution Set: 40K-gpd (Brigade) * | W55968 | \$121,746 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 36 |
| Water Purifier: Lightweight * | W30051 | \$167,062 | 221 | 221 | 221 | 221 | 111 |
| Force Protection | | | | | | | |
| Battlefield Anti-intrusion System: AN/PRS-9 | B57077 | \$40,000 | 3,580 | 3,851 | 3,851 | 3,851 | 3,656 |
| Chem-Bio Protective Shelter: M8 * | C07506 | \$622,051 | 6 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 287 |
| Chem-Bio Protective Shelter (CBPS) | Z01533 | n/d | 8 | 25 | 29 | 52 | 0 |
| Joint Chemical Agent Detector * | J00697 | \$4,101 | 14,500 | 15,059 | 15,186 | 15,287 | 18,063 |
| Lighting Kit Motion Detector (LKMD): AN/GAR-2 | L02015 | \$3,500 | 6,608 | 7,130 | 7,130 | 7,130 | 5,329 |
| Mask Chem-Bio Joint Service General Purpose: M50 | M12986 | \$262 | 8,646 | 9,594 | 9,653 | 10,232 | 268,271 |
| Mask Chem-Bio: Combat Crewman: M51 | M13236 | \$430 | 306 | 418 | 418 | 418 | 25,208 |
| General Engineering | | | | | | | |
| Hydraulic-Electric-Pneumatic-Petroleum Operated Equipment (HEPPOE) | H05004 | \$175,500 | 89 | 121 | 151 | 181 | 55 |
| Maneuver Combat Vehicles | | | | | | | |
| Anti-Tank Guided Missile Vehicle (ATGM) | A83852 | \$3,968,945 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Carrier 120mm Mortar: Self-propelled Armored | C10990 | \$318,308 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 119 |
| Carrier Personnel Full Tracked: Armored (RISE) | C18234 | \$405,815 | 909 | 909 | 909 | 909 | 840 |
| Cavalry Fighting Vehicle: M3 | C76335 | \$1,056,845 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| Command Variant Vehicle (CV) | C41314 | \$2,371,316 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 29 |
| Engineer Squad Vehicle (ESV) | J97621 | \$3,701,301 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Fighting Vehicle: Full Tracked Cavalry (CFV) M3A3 | F90796 | \$4,021,449 | 58 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 30 |
| Fighting Vehicle: Full Tracked Cavalry High Survivability (CFV) | F60530 | \$1,144,000 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 51 |
| Fighting Vehicle: Full Tracked Infantry (IFV) M2A3 | F60564 | \$4,409,064 | 159 | 284 | 284 | 284 | 462 |
| Fighting Vehicle: Full Tracked Infantry High Survivability (IFV) | F40375 | \$1,349,348 | 341 | 341 | 350 | 362 | 35 |
| Fire Support Vehicle (FSV) | F86821 | \$3,113,809 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Infantry Carrier Vehicle (ICV) | J22626 | \$2,201,425 | 146 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 129 |
| M2A2OD for Engineers | M31793 | \$1,311,639 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 91 |
| Medical Evacuation Vehicle (MEV) * | M30567 | \$2,086,141 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Mobile Gun System (MGS) | M57720 | \$7,330,829 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 27 |
| Mortar Carrier Vehicle (MCV) | M53369 | \$2,893,627 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Operation Desert Storm (ODS) Situational Awareness (SA): M2A2 | P19727 | \$2,300,000 | 279 | 279 | 279 | 279 | 59 |
| ODS SA: M3A2 | P19795 | \$2,300,000 | 119 | 119 | 119 | 119 | 183 |
| Reconnaissance Vehicle (RV) | R62673 | \$2,856,699 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
| Recovery Vehicle Full Tracked: Heavy M88A2 | R50885 | \$2,748,846 | 167 | 167 | 248 | 323 | 130 |
| Recovery Vehicle Full Tracked: Medium | R50681 | \$1,210,755 | 284 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 256 |
| Tank Combat Full Tracked: 120mm Gun | T13168 | \$2,393,439 | 501 | 501 | 501 | 501 | 538 |
| Tank Combat Full Tracked: 120mm Gun M1A2 | T13305 | \$4,445,399 | 109 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 27 |
| Maneuver Systems | | | | | | | |
| Drivers Enhancers: AN/VAS-5 * | D41659 | \$35,000 | 3,209 | 3,252 | 3,445 | 3,616 | 4,528 |
| Surveillance System: Scout Long Range AN/TAS-8 * | S02976 | \$400,000 | 1,017 | 1,043 | 1,079 | 1,084 | 1,082 |
| Target Acquisition System: TOW Improved ITAS M41 | T24690 | \$1,010,000 | 669 | 724 | 724 | 724 | 726 |

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Medical Field Systems | | | | | | | |
| Medical Equipment Set (MES): Chemical Agent Patient Treatment * | M23673 | \$49,934 | 905 | 905 | 905 | 907 | 922 |
| MES: Sick Call Field | M30156 | \$34,728 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 6 |
| MES: Combat Medic * | U65480 | \$3,805 | 4,833 | 4,864 | 4,870 | 4,870 | 5,003 |
| Soldier Systems | | | | | | | |
| Acoustic Gunshot Detection System (GDS): PILAR MK-IIW Vehicle | A09441 | \$55,660 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Acoustic GDS: PILAR | A06293 | \$55,440 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 16 |
| Basic Sight Assembly: Support Equipment (TOW 2) | B39044 | \$83,388 | 39 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| Ground Soldier System | Z074FD | n/d | 0 | 832 | 1,736 | 2,640 | 0 |
| Helmet Unit: Integrated (IHADSS) | H35257 | \$19,573 | 690 | 754 | 754 | 754 | 758 |
| Image Intensifier, Night Vision | FA5535 | \$12,739 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Laser: Target Locator Module | L05003 | \$120,869 | 1,032 | 1,486 | 1,486 | 1,493 | 3,746 |
| Laser: Target Locator Module | Z01676 | n/d | 0 | 215 | 822 | 1,622 | 0 |
| Marker: Laser System | M14868 | \$95,000 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 108 |
| Mini Eyesafe Laser IR Observation Set (MELIOS): AN/PVS-6 | M74849 | \$22,015 | 1,333 | 1,333 | 1,333 | 1,333 | 884 |
| Night Vision Sight: AN/PVS-1 | FA5575 | \$12,910 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Rope Assembly: Insertion and Extraction System | R22995 | \$1,281 | 207 | 207 | 207 | 207 | 398 |
| Sensor, Infrared | FA550P | n/d | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 0 |
| Universal Night Sight (UNS): AN/PVS-22 | S90501 | \$8,100 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 0 |
| Tactical Communications and Protective System (TCAPS) | Z661FD | n/d | 2,500 | 6,250 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 0 |
| Target Locator Module | T27471 | \$66,735 | 1,060 | 1,246 | 1,256 | 1,256 | 5,885 |
| Unmanned Ground Vehicle Tracked: XM216 | U31832 | \$187,312 | 0 | 39 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| Viewer Infrared: AN/PAS-7 | Y03104 | \$16,779 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| Viewer Night Vision | FA5597 | \$6,172 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| Mounted Water Ration Heater (MWRH) | W52987 | \$1,503 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 0 |
| Soldier Weapons | | | | | | | |
| Command Launch Unit: (Javelin) 13305405-119 * | C60750 | \$133,063 | 2,634 | 2,634 | 2,634 | 2,634 | 2,591 |
| Launcher Grenade: M320 * | L03621 | \$3,413 | 613 | 1,563 | 2,298 | 3,046 | 6,382 |
| Launcher Grenade: M320A1 * | L69080 | \$3,413 | 11,438 | 11,438 | 11,438 | 11,464 | 16,230 |
| Machine Gun: 5.56mm M249 | M09009 | \$3,830 | 28,402 | 29,583 | 30,023 | 30,027 | 27,422 |
| Machine Gun: 7.62mm Fixed | L92352 | \$5,474 | 1,078 | 1,085 | 1,085 | 1,085 | 1,092 |
| Machine Gun: 7.62mm Fixed RH Feed | M92420 | \$4,890 | 1,021 | 1,021 | 1,021 | 1,021 | 1,016 |
| Machine Gun: 7.62mm M240L | M92454 | \$12,000 | 3,128 | 3,253 | 3,271 | 3,280 | 3,511 |
| Machine Gun: 7.62mm M240H | M92591 | \$8,593 | 1,486 | 1,493 | 1,493 | 1,493 | 1,525 |
| Machine Gun: Caliber .50 HB Flexible (Ground & Vehicle) | L91975 | \$12,685 | 11,876 | 11,892 | 11,892 | 11,892 | 6,857 |
| Machine Gun: Caliber .50 Heavy Fixed Turret Type | L91701 | \$13,648 | 1,675 | 1,742 | 1,742 | 1,764 | 1,785 |
| Machine Gun Grenade: 40mm Mk19 Mod III | M92362 | \$15,320 | 8,794 | 9,326 | 9,328 | 9,328 | 9,037 |
| Machine Gun: 7.62mm M240B | M92841 | \$6,000 | 14,072 | 14,098 | 14,134 | 14,134 | 12,347 |
| Machine Gun: Caliber .50 | M39331 | \$8,493 | 5,709 | 6,212 | 8,375 | 10,519 | 7,958 |
| Machine Gun: 5.56mm M249 Light | M39263 | \$2,779 | 5,068 | 5,068 | 5,069 | 5,069 | 7,893 |
| Rifle 5.56mm: M4 * | R97234 | \$1,329 | 153,500 | 153,705 | 153,705 | 153,705 | 153,669 |
| Rifle 7.62mm: | R95114 | \$2,500 | 1,751 | 1,941 | 1,941 | 1,941 | 2,203 |

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Rifle Sniper Caliber .50: M107 * | R45351 | \$7,500 | 670 | 670 | 670 | 672 | 697 |
| Rifle Sniper: M110 * | R45601 | \$8,500 | 601 | 604 | 604 | 604 | 600 |
| Strike | | | | | | | |
| Aiming Circle | A22496 | \$3,725 | 938 | 938 | 938 | 951 | 1,118 |
| Computer System, Digital: AN/PYG-2(V)1 | C40495 | \$8,114 | 317 | 317 | 317 | 317 | 70 |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)1 | C17936 | \$65,700 | 117 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 698 |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)3 | C18004 | \$155,600 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 175 |
| Computer Set: Back-up General | C78623 | \$393 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Computer System, Digital: AN/PYG-1 | Z00311 | n/d | 20 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 0 |
| Computer System, Digital: AN/GYK-56 (AFATDS) | C05018 | \$32,958 | 280 | 281 | 281 | 281 | 313 |
| Computer System, Digital: AN/PYG-1 | C53293 | \$7,649 | 470 | 532 | 556 | 576 | 622 |
| Data Display Group Gun Direction: OD-144(V)3/GYK-29 | D31693 | \$12,919 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Fire Support Team Vehicle: Bradley (BFIST) | F86571 | \$903,195 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| Howitzer Light Towed: M119 | H57505 | \$1,100,000 | 326 | 328 | 328 | 328 | 80 |
| Howitzer Medium Self-propelled: 155mm | K57667 | \$923,286 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Howitzer Medium Towed: M777 | H57916 | \$2,500,000 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 223 |
| Knight: Armored | K29708 | \$1,718,004 | 186 | 186 | 186 | 186 | 158 |
| Lightweight Counter Mortar Radar: AN/TPQ-50 | Z00962 | n/d | 8 | 33 | 50 | 54 | 92 |
| Meteorological Measuring Set - Profiler: AN/TMQ-52 | M36361 | \$2,024,000 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 13 |
| Plotting Board Indirect Fire: Azimuth | P07900 | \$664 | 653 | 653 | 653 | 653 | 795 |
| Protractor Fan Range Deflection: AL 1-50000 meter Range | P81748 | \$736 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 131 |
| Quadrant Fire Control: Gunners | Q03468 | \$721 | 578 | 589 | 589 | 589 | 589 |
| Radar Chronograph Set: M90 | R13838 | \$23,034 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Radar Chronograph Set: MVS PALADIN | R20826 | \$25,000 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 106 |
| Radar Set: AN/TPQ-37(V)9 | A41666 | \$14,465,414 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| Radar System: Counter Fire Target Acquisition Radar | Z00737 | n/d | 4 | 10 | 19 | 38 | 56 |
| Range Finder-Target Designator: Laser AN/PED-1 | R60282 | \$350,000 | 669 | 863 | 863 | 863 | 767 |
| Support Systems | | | | | | | |
| Container Handling | C27294 | \$40,165 | 725 | 731 | 731 | 731 | 350 |
| Trailers | | | | | | | |
| Semitrailer Flatbed: Breakbulk/Container 22-1/2-ton * | S70027 | \$33,156 | 3,681 | 3,681 | 3,681 | 3,681 | 3,450 |
| Semitrailer Flatbed: Breakbulk/Container 34-ton * | S70159 | \$43,252 | 3,648 | 3,749 | 3,749 | 3,753 | 4,358 |
| Semitrailer Low-bed: 15-25 ton 4-wheel | S70380 | \$27,114 | 28 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 157 |
| Semitrailer Low Bed: 25-ton 4-wheel W/E * | S70517 | \$7,729 | 204 | 210 | 215 | 215 | 759 |
| Semitrailer Low-bed: 50-ton 8-wheel | S70759 | \$24,811 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 122 |
| Semitrailer Low-bed: Heavy Equipment Transporter 110-ton | S70032 | \$103,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 75 |
| Semitrailer Stake: 12-ton 2-wheel 26-30 ft Body | S72161 | \$16,095 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 79 |
| Semitrailer Stake: 20-ton 4-wheel | S72178 | \$24,035 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 53 |
| Trucks | | | | | | | |
| Truck Ambulance: 2-Litter Armored HMMWV | T38707 | \$71,900 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 2 |
| Truck Ambulance: 4-Litter Armored HMMWV * | T38844 | \$113,998 | 1,340 | 1,340 | 1,340 | 1,340 | 1,685 |
| Truck Cargo: 5-ton wo/Winch * | T41515 | \$200,000 | 4,395 | 4,535 | 4,546 | 4,546 | 7,408 |
| Truck Cargo: Heavy PLS Transporter 15-16.5 ton w/MHE * | T41067 | \$288,015 | 530 | 532 | 532 | 532 | 24 |
| Truck Cargo: 8X8 HEMTT w/LHS * | T96496 | \$321,057 | 851 | 851 | 857 | 908 | 36 |

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Truck Utility TOW/ITAS Carrier w/IAP Armor-ready: M1167 * | T34840 | \$222,487 | 416 | 468 | 480 | 492 | 648 |
| Truck Wrecker * | T94671 | \$375,000 | 202 | 212 | 212 | 212 | 117 |
| Truck Wrecker: M984A4 | T63161 | \$491,382 | 334 | 362 | 376 | 391 | 989 |
| Truck Wrecker: Tactical HEMTT W/W * | T63093 | \$503,382 | 680 | 680 | 681 | 681 | 56 |
| Truck: Palletized Loading System (PLS) | T81874 | \$360,000 | 626 | 644 | 731 | 868 | 1,800 |
| 1. "*" indicates a Critical Dual Use (CDU) equipment item | | | | | | | |

ARNG

Table 2

Average Age of Equipment

NOTE: This table provides the average age of selected major equipment items. The average age provides a projected average age of the fleet at the start of FY 2014.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|--|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Aircraft | | | |
| Aerial Scout Helicopter: OH-58D | A21633 | 17 | |
| Helicopter Cargo Transport: CH-47D | H30517 | 2 | |
| Helicopter Observation: OH-58C | H31110 | 43 | |
| Helicopter Light Utility (LUH): UH-72A | H31329 | 3 | |
| Helicopter Utility: UH-60L | H32361 | 22 | |
| Helicopter Utility: UH-60M | H32429 | 7 | |
| Helicopter Attack: AH-64D | H48918 | 10 | |
| Helicopter Utility: UH-60A | K32293 | 31 | |
| Airplane Cargo Transport: C-12D | A29812 | 30 | |
| Airplane Cargo Transport: C-23B | A29880 | 16 | |
| Airplane: Cargo Transport C-26 | A46758 | 21 | |
| Airplane: Cargo Transport | BA108Q | 21 | |
| Aviation | | | |
| Aviators Night Vision Imaging System: AN/AVS-6(V)1 | A06352 | 11 | |
| Battle Command and Control (C2) | | | |
| Computer System: Digital AN/TYQ-109(V)1 | C27707 | 9 | |
| Generator Set: DED Skid-mtd 5kW 60Hz | G11966 | 10 | |
| Generator Set: DED TM PU-803 | G35851 | 11 | |
| Generator Set: DED: 60Hz AC MEP-531A | G36237 | 12 | |
| Generator Set: DED TM 10kW 60Hz | G40744 | 24 | |
| Generator Set: DED TM 10kW 60Hz | G42170 | 10 | |
| Generator Set: DED TM 5kW 60Hz | G42238 | 9 | |
| Generator Set: DED Trailer-mtd (TM) PU-802 | G53778 | 8 | |
| Generator Set: DED Skid-mtd 10kW 60Hz | G74711 | 9 | |
| Generator Set: DED TM 60kW 50/60Hz PU805 Chassis | G78306 | 14 | |
| Generator Set: DED TM 15kW 60Hz | G78374 | 9 | |
| Power Plant: Elec DED TM 10kW 60Hz 2ea AN/MJQ-18 | P28015 | 26 | |
| Power Plant: Electric TM 30kW 50/60Hz AN/MJQ-40 | P42126 | 11 | |
| Power Plant: Diesel TM 10kW 60Hz AN/NJQ-37 | P42262 | 14 | |
| Combat Mobility | | | |
| Boat Bridge Erection Inboard Engine: Shallow Draft | B25476 | 20 | |
| Cradle: Improved Boat (IBC) M14 | C33925 | 10 | |
| Interior Bay Bridge Floating | K97376 | 11 | |
| Launch M60 Series Tank Chassis Transpt: 40/60ft Bridge | L43664 | 40 | |
| Loader Scoop Type: DED w/5 Cy Gp Bucket (CCE) | L76321 | 35 | |
| Loader Scoop Type: DSL 2-1/2 cu yd w/Multi Purp Bucket | L76556 | 29 | |
| Pallet: Bridge Adapter (BAP) M15 | P78313 | 8 | |

ARNG

Table 2

Average Age of Equipment

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|--|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Ramp Bay Bridge Floating | R10527 | 12 | |
| Tractor Wheeled: DSL w/Excavator & Front Loader | T34437 | 25 | |
| Transporter Common Bridge | T91308 | 12 | |
| Tractor FT HS: Armored Combat Earthmover (ACE) | W76473 | 22 | |
| Field Logistics | | | |
| Containerized Kitchen (CK) | C27633 | 6 | |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 50K lb Cont Hdlr Rough Terrain | T48941 | 31 | |
| Truck Lift Fork: Variable Reach Rough Terrain | T73347 | 7 | |
| Water Purification: Reverse Osmosis 3Kgph TM | W47225 | 19 | |
| General Engineering | | | |
| Crane: Whl-mounted Hydraulic 25-ton All Terrain AT422T | C36586 | 13 | |
| Distributor Water Tank Type: 6K-gal Semitrailer-mtd (CCE) | D28318 | 29 | |
| Excavator: Hydraulic (HYEX) Type I | E27792 | 14 | |
| Excavator: Hydraulic (HYEX) Type II | E41791 | 12 | |
| Compactor High Speed: Tamping Self-Propelled (CCE) | E61618 | 15 | |
| Grader Road Motorized: DED Heavy (CCE) | G74783 | 29 | |
| Fire Fighting Equipment Set: TM Multipurpose | H56391 | 29 | |
| Grader Road Motorized: DED Sectionalized | J74886 | 31 | |
| Scraper Elevating: SP 9-11 cu yd sectionalized | S30039 | 6 | |
| Scraper Earth Moving: SP 14-18 cu yd (CCE) | S56246 | 28 | |
| Truck Concrete: Mobile Mixer 8 cu yd (CCE) | T42725 | 34 | |
| Tractor FT HS: Deployable Lt Engineer (DEUCE) | T76541 | 12 | |
| Tractor FT LS: DSL Med DBP w/Buldoz w/Scarif Winch | W76816 | 35 | |
| Tractor FT LS: DSL Med DBP w/Buldoz w/Scarif Ripper | W83529 | 29 | |
| Tractor FT LS: DSL Hvy DBP w/Buldoz w/Ripper (CCE) | W88699 | 36 | |
| Maneuver Combat Vehicles | | | |
| Carrier Personnel Full Tracked: Armored (RISE) | C18234 | 27 | |
| Cavalry Fighting Vehicle: M3 | C76335 | 29 | |
| Fighting Vehicle: Full Track Infantry Hi Survivability (IFV) | F40375 | 20 | |
| Fighting Vehicle: Full-Track Cavalry Hi Survivability (CFV) | F60530 | 24 | |
| Fire Support Vehicle (FSV) | F86821 | 8 | |
| Infantry Carrier Vehicle (ICV) | J22626 | 7 | |
| Infantry Fighting Vehicle: M2 | J81750 | 29 | |
| Engineer Squad Vehicle (ESV) | J97621 | 7 | |
| Mortar Carrier Vehicle (MCV) | M53369 | 10 | |
| Mobile Gun System (MGS) | M57720 | 29 | |
| Recovery Vehicle Full Tracked: Medium | R50681 | 36 | |
| Tank Combat Full Tracked: 120mm Gun | T13168 | 21 | |
| Tank Combat Full Tracked: 105mm M1 (Abrams) | T13374 | 29 | |

ARNG

Table 2

Average Age of Equipment

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|---|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Strike | | | |
| Carrier Ammunition Tracked Vehicle (CATV) | C10908 | 23 | |
| Carrier Cargo: Tracked 6-ton | D11049 | 44 | |
| Howitzer Light Towed: M119 | H57505 | 6 | |
| Howitzer Medium Self Propelled | H57642 | 29 | |
| Howitzer Medium Self Propelled: 155mm | K57667 | 43 | |
| Support Systems | | | |
| Container Platform: Roll-In/Roll-Out | B83002 | 18 | |
| Container Handling Unit (CHU) | C84862 | 8 | |
| Trailers | | | |
| Semitrailer Tank: 5K-gal Bulk Haul Self-Load/Unload | S10059 | 15 | |
| Semitrailer Flatbed: Breakbulk/Cont Transporter 22-1/2-ton | S70027 | 19 | |
| Semitrailer Flatbed: Breakbulk/Container Transporter 34-ton | S70159 | 24 | |
| Semitrailer Low-bed: 40-ton 6-wheel | S70594 | 23 | |
| Semitrailer Low-bed: 70-ton Heavy Equip Transporter (HET) | S70859 | 14 | |
| Semitrailer Tank: 5K-gal Fuel Dispensing Automotive | S73372 | 18 | |
| Semitrailer Van: Repair Parts Storage 6-ton 4-wheel | S74832 | 40 | |
| Trailer Flatbed: 11-ton 4-wheel (HEMAT) | T45465 | 13 | |
| Trailer: Palletized Loading 8X20 | T93761 | 7 | |
| Trailer Cargo: MTV W/Dropsides M1095 | T95555 | 3 | |
| Trailer Cargo: High Mobility 1-1/4-ton | T95924 | 6 | |
| Trailer: Light Tactical 3/4-ton | T95992 | 4 | |
| Trailer Flatbed: M1082 Cargo LMTV w/Dropsides | T96564 | 5 | |
| Trucks | | | |
| Truck Utility: TOW Carrier Armored (HMMWV) | T05096 | 26 | |
| Truck Utility: Heavy Variant (HMMWV) 10K GVW | T07679 | 15 | |
| Truck Utility: ECV Armament Carrier M1151A1 | T34704 | 5 | |
| Truck Ambulance: 2 Litter Armored (HMMWV) | T38707 | 25 | |
| Truck Ambulance: 4 Litter Armored (HMMWV) | T38844 | 24 | |
| Truck Cargo: Tactical HEMTT w/Lt Crane W/W | T39518 | 26 | |
| Truck Cargo: Tactical HEMTT w/Med Crane | T39586 | 20 | |
| Truck Cargo: Tactical HEMTT w/Med Crane W/W | T39654 | 22 | |
| Truck Cargo: Heavy PLS Transporter 15-16.5 ton 10X10 | T40999 | 10 | |
| Truck Cargo: Heavy PLS Transporter 15-16.5 ton w/MHE | T41067 | 18 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/W | T41135 | 8 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV w/MHE | T41203 | 8 | |
| Truck Tank: Fuel Servicing 2500G HEMTT W/W | T58161 | 18 | |
| Truck Tractor: Heavy Equipment Transporter (HET) | T59048 | 15 | |
| Truck Cargo: Tactical HEMTT w/Lt Crane | T59278 | 25 | |
| Truck Cargo: LMTV | T60081 | 8 | |

ARNG

Table 2

Average Age of Equipment

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Truck Cargo: LMTV W/W | T60149 | 8 | |
| Truck Tractor: Line Haul C/S 50000 GVW 6X4 M915 | T61103 | 18 | |
| Truck Tractor: MTV | T61239 | 9 | |
| Truck Tractor: MTV W/W | T61307 | 8 | |
| Truck Utility: Cargo/Troop Carrier (HMMWV) | T61494 | 23 | |
| Truck Utility: Cargo/Troop Carrier W/W (HMMWV) | T61562 | 24 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV LWB | T61704 | 8 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV LWB W/W | T61772 | 10 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV | T61908 | 7 | |
| Truck Wrecker: Tactical HEMTT W/W | T63093 | 15 | |
| Truck Dump: MTV | T64911 | 17 | |
| Truck Tank: Fuel Servicing 2500G HEMTT | T87243 | 16 | |
| Truck Tractor: LET 6X6 66000 GVW W/W C/S | T91656 | 12 | |
| Truck Utility: Armt Carrier Armored (HMMWV) | T92242 | 24 | |
| Truck Utility: Armt Carrier Armored W/W (HMMWV) | T92310 | 24 | |
| Truck Utility: ECV Up-Armored (HMMWV) | T92446 | 12 | |
| Truck Van: LMTV | T93484 | 8 | |
| Truck Wrecker: MTV W/W | T94709 | 8 | |
| Truck Cargo: Tactical 8X8 HEMTT w/LHS | T96496 | 8 | |
| Truck Dump: 20-ton DED 12 cu yd Cap (CCE) | X44403 | 21 | |

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of programmed equipment procurement as identified in the P-1R exhibit of the FY 2015 President's Budget Request. All values are costs in dollars and exclude ammunition procurements. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2015 are expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2016 or FY 2017.

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | | | |
| UH-60 Blackhawk M Model (MYP) | \$424,680,000 | \$319,584,000 | \$415,826,000 |
| Modification of Aircraft | | | |
| Utility/Cargo Airplane Modifications | 3,893,000 | 3,275,000 | 8,265,000 |
| Utility Helicopter Modifications | 55,917,000 | 37,099,000 | 80,819,000 |
| Network and Mission Plan | 11,682,000 | 10,727,000 | 16,030,000 |
| Comms, Nav Surveillance | | 51,088,000 | 46,731,000 |
| Global Air Traffic Management (GATM) Rollup | | 19,581,000 | 19,248,000 |
| RQ-7 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Modifications | 34,125,000 | | |
| Support Equipment and Facilities | | | |
| Common Ground Equipment | 16,225,000 | 33,058,000 | 35,218,000 |
| Air Traffic Control | 27,836,000 | 88,441,000 | |
| Other Missiles | | | |
| Indirect Fire Protection Capability Increment 2-I | | | 15,188,000 |
| Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS) Reduced Range Practice Rockets (RRPR) | 8,677,000 | 8,484,000 | 8,322,000 |
| Modification of Missiles | | | |
| Avenger Modifications | | 11,544,000 | 17,615,000 |
| Improved Target Acquisition System (ITAS) / TOW Modifications | 19,676,000 | 13,318,000 | |
| MLRS Modifications | 2,000,000 | 848,000 | |
| High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) Modifications | 3,523,000 | 2,165,000 | 1,818,000 |
| Spares and Repair Parts (Missiles) | 226,000 | 226,000 | 226,000 |
| Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles (WTCV) | | | |
| Fire Support Team (FIST) Vehicle (Modifications) | 24,714,000 | | |
| Howitzer, Medium Self-propelled Full-tracked 155mm M109A6 (Modifications) | 17,786,000 | 19,371,000 | 26,056,000 |
| Paladin Integrated Management (PIM) | | | 192,040,000 |
| Improved Recovery Vehicle (M88A2 Hercules) | 23,351,000 | | 40,572,000 |
| M88 Family of Vehicles (FOV) Modifications | 169,000 | 8,097,000 | 4,953,000 |
| Joint Assault Bridge | | | 34,030,000 |
| Mortar Systems | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | |
| XM320 Grenade Launcher Module (GLM) | 4,870,000 | 3,330,000 | 4,158,000 |
| Carbine | 9,144,000 | 7,165,000 | 8,004,000 |
| Handgun | 1,599,000 | 1,599,000 | 3,202,000 |
| M777 Howitzer Modifications | 7,148,000 | 4,595,000 | 4,450,000 |
| M2 .50 cal Machine Gun Modifications | 8,095,000 | 14,197,000 | 20,942,000 |
| M119 Howitzer Modifications | 28,613,000 | 12,563,000 | 1,945,000 |
| Tactical and Support Vehicles | | | |
| Tactical Trailers/Dolly Sets | 3,111,000 | 3,887,000 | 4,710,000 |
| Semitrailers, Flatbed | 160,000 | | |

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV) | | | 177,728,000 |
| Truck, Dump, 20-ton (CCE) | | 8,174,000 | 14,329,000 |
| Firetrucks & Associated Firefighting Equipment | 414,000 | 415,000 | 418,000 |
| Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles (FHTV) | 19,570,000 | 16,556,000 | 39,064,000 |
| Palletized Load System (PLS) Extended Service Program (ESP) | 36,598,000 | 61,949,000 | |
| Modification of In-service Equipment | 17,904,000 | | |
| Communications and Electronics Equipment | | | |
| Warfighter Information Network-Tactical (WIN-T) - Ground Forces Tactical Network | 150,338,000 | 155,227,000 | 146,591,000 |
| Joint Incident Site Communications Capability | 7,915,000 | 5,116,000 | 5,232,000 |
| Transportable Tactical Command Communications | | 10,009,000 | 9,135,000 |
| SHF Term | 3,867,000 | | |
| SMART-T (Space) | 4,400,000 | | |
| Global Broadcast Service (GBS) | 3,250,000 | | |
| Joint Tactical Radio System | 44,800,000 | 85,983,000 | 89,283,000 |
| Army Materiel Command (AMC) Critical Items - OPA-2 | 12,446,000 | 20,275,000 | 17,356,000 |
| Tactical Communications and Protective System | 4,395,000 | | |
| Unified Command Suite | 17,445,000 | 17,035,000 | 17,529,000 |
| Family of Medical Communications for Combat Casualty Care | 12,171,000 | 10,490,000 | 18,191,000 |
| Communications Security (COMSEC) | 6,163,000 | 4,133,000 | 5,057,000 |
| Prophet Ground | 8,927,000 | 29,305,000 | |
| Distributed Common Ground System - Army (DCGS-A) (MIP) | 24,742,000 | 54,990,000 | 49,711,000 |
| Counterintelligence (CI) and Human Intelligence (HUMINT) Automated Reporting and Collection System (CHARCS) (MIP) | 665,000 | 684,000 | 700,000 |
| Lightweight Counter Mortar Radar | 8,810,000 | 8,397,000 | 16,287,000 |
| Sentinel Modifications | 36,926,000 | 11,268,000 | 9,212,000 |
| Night Vision Devices | 72,671,000 | 102,310,000 | 52,794,000 |
| Small Tactical Optical Rifle Mounted (STORM) Micro-Laser Range Finder (MLRF) | 8,500,000 | 5,000,000 | 4,000,000 |
| Indirect Fire Protection Family of Systems | 14,000,000 | 23,656,000 | 15,633,000 |
| Family of Weapon Sights (FWS) | 10,000,000 | 21,854,000 | 24,531,000 |
| Artillery Accuracy Equipment | 1,700,000 | 784,000 | |
| Profiler | 1,475,000 | 1,570,000 | |
| Joint Battle Command - Platform (JBC-P) | 15,173,000 | 15,123,000 | 16,565,000 |
| Joint Effects Targeting System (JETS) | 11,000,000 | | |
| Modification of In-service Equipment (Lightweight Laser Designator/Rangefinder [LLDR]) | 5,725,000 | 5,843,000 | 6,080,000 |
| Mortar Fire Control System | 4,593,000 | | |
| Counterfire Radars | 209,050,000 | 160,100,000 | 47,796,000 |
| Fire Support Command & Control (C2) Family | 2,553,000 | 2,662,000 | 1,585,000 |
| Air & Missile Defense Planning and Control System (AMDPCS) | 15,886,000 | 13,108,000 | 26,832,000 |
| Network Management Initialization and Service | 5,313,000 | 2,538,000 | 2,428,000 |
| Maneuver Control System (MCS) | 35,681,000 | 49,735,000 | 48,375,000 |
| Global Combat Support System - Army (GCSS-A) | 27,726,000 | 30,307,000 | 28,766,000 |
| Reconnaissance and Surveying Instrument Set | 5,809,000 | 6,562,000 | 6,714,000 |

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Items Less Than \$5M (Surveying Equipment) | 1,704,000 | 1,128,000 | 367,000 |
| Other Support Equipment | | | |
| CBRN Defense | | 9,836,000 | 9,487,000 |
| Tactical Bridge - Float Ribbon | | 5,796,000 | 21,061,000 |
| Ground Standoff Minefield Detection System (GSTAMIDS) | 6,405,000 | | |
| Husky Mounted Detection System (HMDS) | 4,080,000 | | |
| Robotic Combat Support System (RCSS) | | 3,215,000 | |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Equipment | 3,013,000 | 5,010,000 | 7,421,000 |
| Remote Demolition Systems | 819,000 | 3,102,000 | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Countermining Equipment) | 2,381,000 | 1,414,000 | 1,525,000 |
| Heaters and Environmental Control Units (ECUs) | 3,115,000 | 6,046,000 | 8,075,000 |
| Ground Soldier System | 10,660,000 | 9,918,000 | 12,311,000 |
| Field Feeding Equipment | 10,780,000 | 10,681,000 | 3,744,000 |
| Cargo Aerial Delivery & Personnel Parachute System | 1,400,000 | 264,000 | 264,000 |
| Family of Engineer Combat and Construction Sets | 17,714,000 | 14,813,000 | 12,304,000 |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Engineer Support) | 5,939,000 | 2,908,000 | 1,709,000 |
| Quality Surveillance Equipment | | 1,343,000 | 1,370,000 |
| Distribution Systems, Petroleum & Water | 19,733,000 | 28,650,000 | 24,408,000 |
| Combat Support Medical | 11,052,000 | 16,123,000 | 12,026,000 |
| Mobile Maintenance Equipment Systems | 10,967,000 | 11,965,000 | 11,965,000 |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Maintenance Equipment) | 1,323,000 | 1,318,000 | 1,318,000 |
| Grader, Road Mtzd, Heavy, 6x4, (CCE) | | 1,063,000 | 1,786,000 |
| Scrapers, Earthmoving | | 9,159,000 | 15,769,000 |
| Compactor | 1,617,000 | | |
| Tractor, Full Tracked | 13,464,000 | | |
| All Terrain Cranes | 4,938,000 | 13,095,000 | 8,203,000 |
| Plant, Asphalt Mixing | 667,000 | | |
| Enhanced Rapid Airfield Construction Capability (ERACC) | 7,515,000 | 7,488,000 | 5,507,000 |
| Construction Equipment ESP | 9,565,000 | 16,356,000 | 16,513,000 |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Construction Equipment) | 4,405,000 | 1,742,000 | 4,059,000 |
| Generators and Associated Equipment | 53,104,000 | 140,317,000 | 72,004,000 |
| Family of Forklifts | 3,199,000 | 3,849,000 | 3,180,000 |
| Training Devices, Nonsystem | 10,395,000 | 32,304,000 | 38,269,000 |
| Close Combat Tactical Trainer | 4,746,000 | 12,629,000 | 7,144,000 |
| Aviation Combined Arms Tactical Trainer | 4,395,000 | 9,133,000 | 8,751,000 |
| Gaming Technology in Support of Army Training | 4,700,000 | 5,563,000 | 5,242,000 |
| Calibration Sets Equipment | 2,173,000 | 2,173,000 | 1,989,000 |
| Integrated Family of Test Equipment (IFTE) | 10,842,000 | 11,761,000 | 6,537,000 |
| Test Equipment Modernization (TEMOD) | 7,067,000 | 7,151,000 | 6,718,000 |
| Modification of In-service Equipment (OPA-3) | 3,713,000 | 2,677,000 | 4,425,000 |
| Army Materiel Command (AMC) Critical Items - OPA-3 | 4,542,000 | 9,016,000 | 5,899,000 |
| Total | \$1,869,453,000 | \$2,035,906,000 | \$2,249,640,000 |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of planned equipment procurements with the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA). These funds are available for a three-year period from the year of appropriation. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years from date of procurement before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2014 would be expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2015 or FY 2016. All values are costs in dollars.

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|---|---------------|--------------|----------------------|
| <u>FY 2012 NGREA Equipment²</u> | | | |
| Training Systems (Simulators, Training Systems) | \$105,292,181 | | |
| Domestic Operations (Chemical/Radiation Detection, Decontamination Systems) | 67,170,275 | | |
| Engineer (General Engineering Equipment) | 55,911,311 | | |
| Aviation (Support Equipment, Imaging Systems, Unmanned Aerial Systems, Fuel Tanks, Light Utility Helicopters) | 37,113,373 | | |
| Medical (Field Medical, Medical Equipment Sets) | 31,402,149 | | |
| Logistics (Field Feeding, Field Services, Liquid Logistics, Test and Measurement Support Devices) | 23,377,044 | | |
| <u>FY 2013 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | |
| Aviation | | | |
| Reduced Size Extended Range Fuel System (RSERFS) B-Kit (CH-47) | | \$14,498,348 | |
| A-Kit Upgrade Forward Looking Infrared Radar (FLIR) (UH-60) | | 4,500,000 | |
| B-Kit Upgrade Forward Looking Infrared Radar (FLIR) (UH-60) | | 13,740,000 | |
| A-Kit Internal Auxiliary Fuel Tank System (UH-60) | | 900,000 | |
| B-Kit Internal Auxiliary Fuel Tank System (UH-60) | | 3,400,000 | |
| Micro-Flare Kit Internal Auxiliary Fuel Tank System (UH-60) | | 3,120,000 | |
| Security and Support (S&S) Retrofit Mission Equipment Package (MEP) (UH-72) | | 1,677,296 | |
| Engine Inlet Barrier Filter UH-72A | | 1,171,500 | |
| Blade Folding System | | 234,000 | |
| Domestic Operations | | | |
| Chemical Biological Protective System M8E1 | | 43,293,840 | |
| Dismounted Communication Strike Kit (Small) | | 19,477,168 | |
| Decontamination Trailer Mobile Mass C-130 Deployable (HRF/CERFP) | | 3,847,964 | |
| ALS Computer Subsystem Modernization | | 1,702,560 | |
| Engineering | | | |
| Hydraulic Excavator (HYEX) | | 5,183,603 | |
| Intelligence | | | |
| Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF) Systems | | 9,000,000 | |
| Logistics | | | |
| Multi-Temperature Refrigerated Container System (MTRCS) | | 7,000,000 | |
| Assault Kitchens | | 2,575,000 | |
| Maintenance | | | |
| Maintenance Support Device | | 4,536,000 | |
| Hydraulic System Test and Repair Unit (HSTRU) | | 4,025,000 | |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Training | | | |
| Virtual Convoy Operations Trainer (VCOT) C4 | | 57,946,750 | |
| Individual Gunnery Trainer Brigade Combat Team Weapons Package Upgrade Sets | | 40,682,174 | |
| Close Combat Tactical Trainer - Dismounted Soldier System | | 14,500,000 | |
| Deployable Force-on-force Instrumented Range System (DFIRST 3.0) FLEXTRAIN System | | 12,189,549 | |
| Mission Command System | | 5,088,572 | |
| Tabletop Trainer (RWS-TT) (Stryker Remote Weapon System) | | 1,305,337 | |
| Training/Aviation | | | |
| Aviation Combined Arms Tactical Trainer (AVCATT) Module (LUH) | | 31,999,979 | |
| Synthetic Flight Simulator (UH-72A) | | 14,000,000 | |
| Transportable Blackhawk Operations Simulator (TBOS) UH-60M | | 10,000,000 | |
| Maintenance Trainer (LUH) Virtual | | 4,090,361 | |
| Maintenance Trainer (LUH) | | 3,200,000 | |
| Universal Mission Simulator (Shadow Crew Trainer) | | 1,392,000 | |
| Transportation | | | |
| 5-ton Wrecker (M1089A1P2) | | 52,463,322 | |
| HMMWV Ambulances Integration Efforts | | 45,000,000 | |
| Truck Tractor (M1088A1P2) | | 22,259,677 | |
| Total | \$320,266,333 | \$460,000,000 | |
| 1. Service FY 2014 NGREA equipment list was not available in time for publication in the NGRER. Equipment list for FY 2014 will be provided in next year's NGRER. | | | |
| 2. A decrement of \$4,733,000 was applied to ARNG FY 2012 NGREA due to FY 2013 sequestration reduction allocation. | | | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

NOTE: This table portrays the planned equipment transfers (Active to Reserve), withdrawals (-), and decommissioning (-). Transferred equipment is commonly called "cascaded equipment," or equipment that is provided to the RC once the AC receives more modern equipment. Although this table highlights a three-year period, many Services will not know exact quantities of transfers or withdrawals until year of execution, due to the uncertainty of the procurement/delivery cycle of new equipment.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|--|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Air Defense | | | | | |
| Computer: Tactical AN/GYQ-88 | C77755 | | +43 | | |
| Radar Set: Sentinel AN/MPQ-64A1 | G92997 | | +5 | | |
| Aviation | | | | | |
| Tester: Pitot and Static Systems TS-4463/P | T03597 | | +32 | | |
| Battle Command (Command & Control) | | | | | |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/GYK-62 | C13866 | | +37 | +19 | |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/UYK-128 | C18378 | | +4,140 | | |
| Computer System: Digital AN/PYQ-13 (GCCS-A) | C27588 | | +16 | | |
| Computer System: Digital | C27963 | | +8 | | |
| Generator Set: DED 60kW 50/60Hz Skid-mtd | G63256 | | +68 | | |
| Generator Set: DED TM 5kW 60Hz mtd on M116A2 PU-797 | G42238 | | +10 | | |
| Generator Set: DID 5kW 50/60Hz Skid-mtd | G42488 | | | +50 | |
| Generator Set: DED Skid-mtd 5kW 60Hz | G11966 | | +7 | | |
| Generator Set: DED 60Hz AC MEP-531A | G36237 | | +57 | +21 | |
| Generator Set: 10kW 50/60Hz Skid-mtd | G07461 | | +24 | +10 | |
| LTT Trailer-mtd: PU-2001 5kW 50/60Hz | L26934 | | +32 | | |
| LTT Trailer-mtd: PU-2003 15kW 50/60Hz | L84690 | | +4 | | |
| Power Supply: PP-6224/U | P40750 | | +280 | | |
| Trailer-mtd: PP-3106 60kW 50/60Hz 2M200A1 | T93232 | | +4 | +1 | |
| Trailer-mtd: PU-2101 15kW 50/60Hz M200A1 | T40090 | | +22 | +7 | |
| Battlespace Awareness | | | | | |
| Data Analysis Central: AN/MSW-24 | D77801 | | +7 | +3 | |
| Detecting System Countermeasures: AN/MLQ-40(V)4 | D04182 | | +20 | | |
| Battle Command Transport Networks | | | | | |
| Battalion Command Post Switching Group: OM-XXX | B67234 | | +38 | +18 | |
| Frequency Hoping Multiplexer: TD-1456VRC | F99520 | | +135 | +32 | |
| Joint Node Network (JNN) Central Office Telephone Auto: AN/TTC | J05001 | | +9 | +4 | |
| Net Control Station: AN/TSQ-158 | N04580 | | +6 | +10 | |
| Radio Set | R55336 | | +10 | | |
| Radio Set, Grid Reference: AN/GRC-229D | R91580 | | | +5 | |
| Radio Set: AN/PRC-119F(C) | R83141 | | +377 | +27 | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-87F(C) | R67296 | | +10 | | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-88F(C) | R67330 | | +18 | | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-89F(C) | R44999 | | +569 | +22 | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-90F(C) | R68044 | | +177 | | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-91F(C) | R68146 | | +17 | | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-92F(C) | R45543 | | | +246 | |
| Radio Set: AN/VSQ-2D(V)2 | P99724 | | +89 | | |
| Teleconference System: AN/TYQ-122 | T43146 | | +66 | | |
| Combat Mobility | | | | | |
| Assault Breacher Vehicle (ABV) | A05001 | | +6 | | |
| Boat Bridge Erection Inboard Engine: Shallow Draft | B25476 | | +9 | | |
| Field Logistics | | | | | |
| Advanced Aviation Forward Area Refueling System (AAFARS) | F42611 | | +6 | | |
| Hydraulic System Test and Repair Unit (MX3) | H05002 | | +16 | +3 | |
| Load Handling System (LHS) Compatible, 2000-gal Water Tank Rack (HIPPO) | T32629 | | +170 | | |
| Truck Hand Platform: Wood Nontilt Type | X47818 | | +111 | +3 | |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 6000-lb Cap Rough Terrain | X48914 | | +6 | | |
| Truck Lift Fork: Gas 4000-lb | X51585 | | +3 | +10 | |
| Truck Lift Wheel: Mechanical Lift 2400-lb | X53298 | | +143 | | |
| Truck Lift Fork Variable Reach Rough Terrain | T73347 | | +64 | | |
| Water Purification: Reverse Osmosis 3000-gph Trailer-mtd | W47225 | | +10 | | |
| Force Protection | | | | | |
| Chemical-Biological Protective Shelter (CBPS): M8 | C07506 | | +49 | | |
| Joint Chemical Agent: Detector | J00697 | | +558 | +127 | |
| Chemical-Biological Joint Service General Purpose Mask (JSGPM): Field M50 | M12986 | | +948 | +59 | |
| Chemical-Biological JCGPM: Combat Vehicle Crewman M51 | M13236 | | +112 | | |
| Medical Field Systems | | | | | |
| Medical Equipment Set (MES) Combat Medic | U65480 | | +25 | +6 | |
| Soldier Systems | | | | | |
| Basic Sight Assembly: Support Equipment (TOW 2) | B39044 | | +4 | +1 | |
| IHADSS Integrated Helmet Unit | H35257 | | +64 | | |
| Laser: Target Locator Module | L05003 | | +454 | | |
| Target Locator Module | T27471 | | +186 | +10 | |
| Unmanned Ground Vehicle Tracked: XM216 | U31832 | | | +3 | |
| Strike | | | | | |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)1 | C17936 | | +23 | | |
| Computer System: Digital AN/PYG-1 | C53293 | | +62 | +24 | |
| Quadrant Fire Control: Gunners | Q03468 | | +11 | | |
| Lightweight Laser Designator Rangefinder (LLDR): AN/PED-1 | R60282 | | +194 | | |
| Trailers | | | | | |
| Semitrailer Flatbed: Breakbulk/Container Transporter Commercial 34-ton | S70159 | | +101 | | |
| Semitrailer Low-bed: 15 to 25 ton 4-wheel | S70380 | | +5 | | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|--|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Semitrailer Low-bed: 25-ton 4-wheel W/E | S70517 | | +9 | +5 | |
| Trucks | | | | | |
| Truck Cargo: Tactical 8X8 Heavy Expanded Mobility w/LHS | T96496 | | +22 | +1 | |
| Truck Utility ECV TOW/ITAS Carrier with IAP Armor-ready: M1167 | T34840 | | +36 | | |
| Truck Wrecker: M984A4 | T63161 | | +12 | +13 | |

FY 2010 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

NOTE: This table compares planned Service procurements and transfers to the RC in FY 2011 with actual procurements and transfers. FY 2011 is selected as these are the most recent funds to expire. Because the procurement cycle is normally one to two years from funding to delivery, this table identifies only deliveries through the end of FY 2013. Procurement and NGREA columns reflect cost values in dollars.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| <u>FY 2011 Planned Transfers & Withdrawals</u> | | | | | | | |
| <i>ARNG indicated no planned transfers or withdrawals in the FY 2011 NGRER.</i> | | | | | | | |
| <u>FY 2011 P-1R Equipment</u> | | | | | | | |
| Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| Helicopter, Light Utility (LUH) | | | | \$186,285,000 | \$180,574,000 | | |
| UH-60 Blackhawk (MYP) | | | | 182,400,000 | 182,400,000 | | |
| Global Air Traffic Management (GATM) Rollup | | | | 0 | 3,808,000 | | |
| Common Ground Equipment | | | | 18,355,000 | 0 | | |
| Utility/Cargo Airplane Modifications | | | | 0 | 526,000 | | |
| Utility Helicopter Modifications | | | | 0 | 27,816,000 | | |
| Other Missiles | | | | | | | |
| Javelin (AAWS-M) System Summary | | | | 98,065,000 | 535,000 | | |
| High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) | | | | 118,627,000 | 118,627,000 | | |
| HIMARS Modifications | | | | 0 | 31,838,000 | | |
| ITAS/TOW Modifications | | | | 0 | 6,800,000 | | |
| Tracked Combat Vehicles | | | | | | | |
| Stryker Vehicle | | | | 179,789,000 | 0 | | |
| Bradley Program (Modifications) | | | | 0 | 182,518,000 | | |
| Improved Recovery Vehicle (M88A2 Hercules) (Mod) | | | | 9,608,000 | 10,108,000 | | |
| Armored Breacher Vehicle (Modifications) | | | | 35,860,000 | 0 | | |
| Joint Assault Bridge (Modifications) | | | | 19,800,000 | 19,800,000 | | |
| M1 Abrams Tank (Modifications) | | | | 19,800,000 | 74,000,000 | | |
| Weapons and Other Combat Vehicles | | | | | | | |
| Howitzer, Light, Towed, 105mm, M119 | | | | 55,951,000 | 55,656,000 | | |
| M240 Medium Machine Gun (7.62mm) | | | | 14,498,000 | 11,773,000 | | |
| Machine Gun, Cal .50 M2 Roll | | | | 2,256,000 | 2,256,000 | | |
| Mk-19 Grenade Machine Gun (40mm) | | | | 3,533,000 | 0 | | |
| Mortar Systems | | | | 4,690,000 | 4,690,000 | | |
| XM320 Grenade Launcher Module (GLM) | | | | 0 | 1,179,000 | | |
| M4 Carbine | | | | 9,966,000 | 3,568,000 | | |
| Howitzer Lightweight 155mm (Towed) | | | | 45,890,000 | 45,890,000 | | |
| M249 SAW Machine Gun Modifications | | | | 0 | 77,000 | | |
| Tactical Vehicles | | | | | | | |
| Tactical Trailers/Dolly Sets | | | | 27,993,000 | 3,000,000 | | |
| Semitrailers, Flatbed | | | | 4,566,000 | 14,300,000 | | |
| High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle (HMMWV) | | | | 164,460,000 | 357,251,000 | | |
| Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles (FMTV) | | | | 507,867,000 | 753,275,000 | | |

FY 2010 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles (FHTV) | | | | 304,643,000 | 363,470,000 | | |
| Armored Security Vehicles (ASV) | | | | 103,501,000 | 102,747,000 | | |
| Mine Protection Vehicle Family | | | | 52,463,000 | 34,842,000 | | |
| Truck, Tractor, Line Haul, M915/M916 | | | | 20,456,000 | 36,028,000 | | |
| HEMTT Extended Service Program (ESP) | | | | 45,395,000 | 43,669,000 | | |
| Communications and Electronics Equipment | | | | | | | |
| WIN-T - Ground Forces Tactical Network | | | | 8,860,000 | 22,360,000 | | |
| NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (Space) | | | | 52,955,000 | 57,279,000 | | |
| SMART-T (Space) | | | | 3,235,000 | 16,200,000 | | |
| Global Broadcast Service (GBS) | | | | 0 | 2,962,000 | | |
| SINCGARS Family | | | | 6,812,000 | 2,991,000 | | |
| Spider APLA Remote Control Unit | | | | 0 | 8,959,000 | | |
| Radio, Improved HF (COTS) Family | | | | 3,755,000 | 0 | | |
| Medical Communications for Combat Casualty Care (MC4) | | | | 2,681,000 | 2,690,000 | | |
| TSEC - Army Key Management System (AKMS) | | | | 9,300,000 | 9,300,000 | | |
| Information System Security Program (ISSP) | | | | 452,000 | 2,494,000 | | |
| Prophet Ground (MIP) | | | | 18,381,000 | 0 | | |
| Distributed Common Ground System - Army (DCGS-A) | | | | 17,035,000 | 16,972,000 | | |
| Lightweight Counter Mortar Radar | | | | 16,000 | 5,040,000 | | |
| Sentinel Modifications | | | | 0 | 13,214,000 | | |
| Night Vision Devices | | | | 177,057,000 | 13,843,000 | | |
| Long Range Advanced Scout Surveillance System | | | | 75,494,000 | 75,494,000 | | |
| Night Vision, Thermal Weapon Sight | | | | 90,561,000 | 103,064,000 | | |
| Profiler | | | | 2,094,000 | 2,094,000 | | |
| Force XXI Battle Command Brigade & Below (FBCB2) | | | | 81,550,000 | 81,550,000 | | |
| Lightweight Laser Designator/Rangefinder (LLDR) | | | | 28,216,000 | 34,800,000 | | |
| Tactical Operations Centers | | | | 26,282,000 | 18,500,000 | | |
| Fire Support C2 Family | | | | 4,499,000 | 8,248,000 | | |
| Battle Command Sustainment Support System (BCS3) | | | | 0 | 1,874,000 | | |
| Air & Missile Defense Planning & Control System (AMD PCS) | | | | 36,686,000 | 5,211,000 | | |
| Knight Family | | | | 40,200,000 | 58,700,000 | | |
| TC AIMS II | | | | 5,338,000 | 0 | | |
| Maneuver Control System (MCS) | | | | 16,049,000 | 16,049,000 | | |
| Single Army Logistics Enterprise (SALE) | | | | 7,317,000 | 0 | | |
| CSS Communications | | | | 19,127,000 | 24,027,000 | | |
| Other Support Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Protective Systems | | | | 0 | 19,067,000 | | |
| CBRN Soldier Protection | | | | 21,216,000 | 23,659,000 | | |
| Tactical Bridging | | | | 21,338,000 | 30,797,000 | | |
| Tactical Bridge, Float-ribbon | | | | 68,928,000 | 74,103,000 | | |
| Handheld Standoff Minefield Detection System (HSTAMIDS) | | | | 0 | 16,613,000 | | |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Equipment | | | | 17,002,000 | 0 | | |
| Heaters and Environmental Control Units (ECUs) | | | | 0 | 2,732,000 | | |

FY 2010 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| Soldier Enhancement | | | | 4,071,000 | 0 | | |
| Field Feeding Equipment | | | | 10,655,000 | 11,462,000 | | |
| Cargo Aerial Delivery & Personnel Parachute System | | | | 4,555,000 | 4,555,000 | | |
| Distribution Systems, Petroleum & Water | | | | 20,910,000 | 20,906,000 | | |
| Water Purification Systems | | | | 5,125,000 | 5,125,000 | | |
| Combat Support Medical | | | | 2,231,000 | 2,367,000 | | |
| Mobile Maintenance Equipment Systems | | | | 62,654,000 | 62,770,000 | | |
| Skid Steer Loader (SSL) Family of Systems | | | | 0 | 9,000,000 | | |
| Loaders | | | | 8,420,000 | 8,420,000 | | |
| Tractor, Full Tracked | | | | 6,400,000 | 6,400,000 | | |
| Plant, Asphalt Mixing | | | | 0 | 15,375,000 | | |
| High Mobility Engineer Excavator (HMEE) FOS | | | | 6,450,000 | 6,450,000 | | |
| Construction Equipment ESP | | | | 0 | 2,966,000 | | |
| Generators and Associated Equipment | | | | 51,179,000 | 62,656,000 | | |
| Rough Terrain Container Handler (RTCH) | | | | 11,394,000 | 12,723,000 | | |
| All Terrain Lifting Army System | | | | 16,996,000 | 22,233,000 | | |
| Integrated Family of Test Equipment (IFTE) | | | | 25,532,000 | 27,210,000 | | |
| Test Equipment Modernization (TEMOD) | | | | 0 | 7,103,000 | | |
| <u>FY 2011 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | | | | | |
| Battle Command (Standard Integrated Command Posts, Global Broadcast System) | | | | | | \$78,073,492 | \$78,073,492 |
| Aviation (FLIR Star Imaging System, Ground Support Equipment, Rescue Hoist, LUH MEP) | | | | | | 58,980,480 | 58,980,480 |
| Engineering Equipment (Light Loader, Low Speed Bulldozer) | | | | | | 38,506,000 | 38,506,000 |
| Force Protection (Chemical Detection, Decontamination Trailer) | | | | | | 35,306,257 | 35,306,257 |
| Training Aids, Devices, and Simulators (Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Virtual Trainer, Shadow Crew Trainer, Vehicle Convoy Operations Trainer Upgrade, Operator Driver Simulator) | | | | | | 30,932,981 | 30,932,981 |
| Tactical Power Generation/Distribution (PDISE Generators) | | | | | | 8,199,240 | 8,199,240 |
| Total | | | | \$3,335,725,000 | \$3,731,628,000 | \$249,998,450 | \$249,998,450 |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

NOTE: This table identifies equipment authorized by the Service to be used as a substitute for a primary item of equipment. The table also identifies whether or not the item is deployable in wartime. This data meets the Title 10 requirement to identify equipment that is not the most desired equipment item.

| Required Item Nomenclature | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|--|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes ¹ | No |
| Aviation | | | | | | |
| Survival Kit Aircraft: 2-man Aircraft Modular Survival System (AMSS) | S72943 | Survival Kit Individual: Hot Climate | U72549 | 15 | X | |
| Survival Kit Aircraft: 2-man AMSS | S72943 | Survival System, Aircraft Personnel | BB8056 | 13 | X | |
| Battle Command (Command and Control) | | | | | | |
| Gen Set: DED Skid-mtd 3kW 60Hz | G18358 | Gen Set: DED Skid-mtd 5kW 60Hz | G11966 | 127 | X | |
| Gen Set: DED Skid-mtd 3kW 60Hz | G18358 | Gen Set: DED 60Hz AC MEP-531A | G36237 | 175 | X | |
| Gen Set: DED Skid-mtd 3kW 60Hz | G18358 | Generator Diesel | FD1500 | 186 | X | |
| Computer Set, Digital: AN/UYS-128 | C18378 | Computer, Digital: 3400 Digital Equipment | FJ211E | 250 | X | |
| Power Supply: PP-6224/U | P40750 | Power Supply: PP-2953/U | P38588 | 634 | X | |
| Power Supply: PP-6224/U | P40750 | Power Supply Assembly, TYX | 63026N | 1,759 | X | |
| Power Supply: PP-6224/U | P40750 | Power Supply: PP-8564/GRC | P40745 | 115 | X | |
| Battlespace Awareness | | | | | | |
| Detecting System Countermeasures: AN/MLQ-40(V)4 | D04182 | Detecting System: Countermeasures AN/MLQ-40(V)1 | D02704 | 13 | X | |
| Battle Command Transport Networks | | | | | | |
| Radio Set: AN/VSQ-2D(V)2 | P99724 | Radio Set: AN/VSQ-2D(V)1 | P49587 | 122 | X | |
| MBITR: Urban Version | M18029 | Radio Set, Hand-held, PK2GAX | FA2079 | 228 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-88F(C) | R67330 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-88A | R67194 | 676 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-88F(C) | R67330 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-88D | R67262 | 206 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-89F(C) | R44999 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-89A | R44863 | 1,169 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-89F(C) | R44999 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-89D | R44931 | 440 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-90F(C) | R68044 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-90A | R67908 | 12,175 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-90F(C) | R68044 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-90D | R67976 | 5,938 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-91F(C) | R68146 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-91A | R68010 | 3,330 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-91F(C) | R68146 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-91D | R68078 | 1,157 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-92F(C) | R45543 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-92A | R45407 | 1,309 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-92F(C) | R45543 | Radio Set: AN/VRC-92D | R45475 | 951 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/PRC-119F(C) | R83141 | Radio Set: AN/PRC-119A | R83005 | 953 | X | |
| Radio Set: AN/PRC-119F(C) | R83141 | Radio Set: AN/PRC-119D | R83073 | 454 | X | |
| Field Logistics | | | | | | |
| Truck Lift Fork: Gas 4000-lb | X51585 | Truck Lift Fork: DED 4000-lb Rough Terrain (RT) | T49255 | 47 | X | |
| Truck Lift Fork: Gas 4000-lb | X51585 | Truck Lift Fork: DED 6000-lb Variable Reach RT Ammo Handling | T48944 | 24 | X | |
| Truck Lift Fork: Gas 4000-lb | X51585 | Truck Forklift | LF3002 | 13 | X | |
| Truck Lift: Fork Variable Reach RT | T73347 | Truck Lift Fork: DED 6000-lb Variable Reach RT Ammo Handling | T48944 | 66 | X | |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

| Required Item Nomenclature | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|---|---------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes ¹ | No |
| Truck Lift Fork: Variable Reach RT | T73347 | Truck Lift Fork: DED 10000-lb RT | T49119 | 13 | X | |
| Load Handling System Compatible: 2000-gal Water Tank-rack (HIPPO) | T32629 | Forward Area Water Point Supply System (FAW SS) | F42612 | 14 | X | |
| Tool Outfit Hydraulic System: Test and Repair 3/4-ton Trailer-mtd | T30377 | Hydraulic System Test and Repair Unit (MX3): | H05002 | 22 | X | |
| Truck Lift Fork: DED 6000-lb CAP Rough Terrain | X48914 | Truck Lift Fork: DED 6000-lb Variable Reach RT Ammo Handling | T48944 | 7 | X | |
| Tank Unit Liquid Dispensing Trailer Mounting | V19950 | Tank Liquid Storage Metal: Petro Products Skid-mtd 600-gal | V15566 | 47 | X | |
| Force Protection | | | | | | |
| Joint Chemical Agent: Detector | J00697 | Alarm Chemical Agent Automatic: Portable Manpack M8A1 | A32355 | 11 | X | |
| Battlefield Anti-intrusion System: AN/PRS-9 | B57077 | Platoon Early Warning System: AN/TRS-2(V) | P06148 | 12 | X | |
| Mask Chemical-Biological Joint Service General Purpose: Field M50 | M12986 | Mask Chemical-Biological: M40 | M12418 | 16,674 | X | |
| Mask Chemical-Biological Joint Service General Purpose: Field M50 | M12986 | Mask Chemical-Biological: Combat Vehicle M42 | M18526 | 36 | X | |
| Joint Chemical Agent: Detector | J00697 | Alarm: Chemical Agent Automatic M22 | A33020 | 41 | X | |
| Medical Field Systems | | | | | | |
| Medical Equipment Set (MES) Combat Medic | U65480 | Surgical Instrument and Supply S | NA452N | 64 | X | |
| Soldier Systems | | | | | | |
| Mini Eyesafe Laser Infrared Observation Set (MELIOS): AN/PVS-6 | M74849 | Binocular, Laser Range Finder | GA4020 | 20 | X | |
| MELIOS: AN/PVS-6 | M74849 | Laser Range Finders | GA4004 | 62 | X | |
| MELIOS: AN/PVS-6 | M74849 | Laser | FA6007 | 195 | X | |
| MELIOS: AN/PVS-6 | M74849 | Laser: Target Locator Module | L05003 | 541 | X | |
| MELIOS: AN/PVS-6 | M74849 | Target Locator Module | T27471 | 883 | X | |
| Strike | | | | | | |
| Laser Designator Rangefinder: AN/PED-1 | R60282 | Target Locator Module | GA404J | 10 | X | |
| Laser Designator Rangefinder: AN/PED-1 | R60282 | Target Designator Set: Electro-optical (GLLD) | T26457 | 33 | X | |
| Laser Designator Rangefinder: AN/PED-1 | R60282 | Target Locator Module | T27471 | 42 | X | |
| Fire Support Team Vehicle: Bradley (BFIST) | F86571 | Armored: Reconnaissance | A40164 | 40 | X | |
| Fire Support Team Vehicle: Bradley (BFIST) | F86571 | A3 BFIST: W/FS3 | A70576 | 22 | X | |
| Plotting Board Indirect Fire: Azimuth | P07900 | Plotting Board | GA2003 | 33 | X | |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)1 | C17936 | Computer System Digital | FJ1009 | 72 | X | |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)1 | C17936 | Computer System Digital: AN/GYK-63(V)2 (AFATDS) | C05032 | 296 | X | |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)3 | C18004 | Computer System Digital: AN/GYK-63(V)2 (AFATDS) | C05032 | 82 | X | |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)3 | C18004 | Computer System Digital | FJ1009 | 20 | X | |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

| Required Item Nomenclature | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|--|---------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes ¹ | No |
| Trailers | | | | | | |
| Semitrailer Flatbed: Breakbulk/Container Transporter Commercial 34-ton | S70159 | Semitrailer Flatbed: Breakbulk/Container Transporter 22-1/2 ton | S70027 | 24 | X | |
| Semitrailer Flatbed: Breakbulk/Container Transporter Commercial 34-ton | S70159 | Trailer, Flatbed | YF3049 | 10 | X | |
| Semitrailer Low-bed: 25-ton 4-wheel | S70517 | Semitrailer Low-bed: 40-ton 6-wheel | S70594 | 52 | X | |
| Trucks | | | | | | |
| Truck Wrecker: 8X8 HEMTT w/Winch | T63093 | Truck Wrecker: M984A4 | T63161 | 272 | X | |
| Truck Wrecker: 8X8 HEMTT w/Winch | T63093 | Truck Wrecker: MTV W/E W/W | T94709 | 10 | X | |
| Truck Wrecker: 8X8 HEMTT w/Winch | T63093 | Truck Wrecker: 5-ton 6X6 w/Winch | X63299 | 19 | X | |
| Truck Wrecker | T94671 | Truck Wrecker: MTV W/E W/W | T94709 | 15 | X | |
| HMMWV Ambulance: 4-Litter Armored | T38844 | HMMWV Ambulance: 2-Litter Armored | T38707 | 17 | X | |
| Truck Utility ECV TOW/ITAS Carrier with IAP Armor-ready: M1167 | T34840 | Truck, Utility | YF2021 | 28 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 8X8 HEMTT w/LHS | T96496 | Truck Palletized (LHS): M1120A4 | T55054 | 1,390 | X | |
| 1. All equipment is deployable, depending upon theater requirements | | | | | | |

Significant Major Item Shortages

NOTE: This table provides a RC top ten prioritized (PR) shortage list for major equipment items required for wartime missions but which are currently not funded in the FYDP. It lists the total quantity required, the total unfunded requirement, the individual item cost, and the cost of the unfunded portion. This data is consistent with other unfunded equipment data submitted by the Service.

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|--|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|---|
| 1 | Rotary Medium Cargo (H-60M) Modernization | 806 | 546 | varies | \$4,346,800,000 | UH/HH-60M helicopters replace UH/HH-60A helicopters in certain ARNG formations and are Critical Dual Use (CDU) items. H-60As are being modernized by the procurement of H-60Ms, cascades of UH-60Ls, and the A-A-L conversion line. Equipment on-hand (EOH) with substitutes will be 100% but the projected dates for H-60A divestiture and H-60M buyout will be FY 2026 and FY 2027, respectively. |
| 2 | Chemical and Biological Protective Shelter (CBPS) M8E1 | 285 | 270 | \$1,000,000 | \$270,000,000 | The NBC Force Protection Budget Operating System (BOS) consists of systems to support chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear activities. The deliveries of four systems for ARNG are projected for FY 2014. A projected total of 15 systems are scheduled to be fielded by FY 2017. |
| 3 | Semitrailer: Flatbed 34-ton & 25-ton | 5,132 | 1,058 | \$173,009 | \$183,043,522 | The ARNG is short both 34-ton and 25-ton semitrailers. Both fleets also consist of 20 year old systems. The ARNG will require a recapitalization effort to sustain the existing 34-ton and 25-ton semitrailer fleets. The Tactical Wheeled Vehicle (TWV) Reduction Study V will reduce prime mover requirements, but the reduction will not reduce trailer transport mobility requirements for maneuver units. |
| 4 | HMMWV Ambulance Recapitalization | 1,690 | 345 | \$175,000 | \$60,375,000 | The ARNG used FY 2010 NGREA funding to purchase the 500 ambulance shortfall. By June 2015, the ARNG will have 100% of its ambulance requirements. However, a majority of the ARNG fleet is over 20 years old and requires recapitalization to extend its service life. The Army does not have a long term strategy to replace or modernize the ambulance fleet, which degrades the ARNG's readiness to support domestic operations. Recapitalizing 345 ambulances will improve the ARNG's preparedness to have fully mission capable ambulances to support civilian authorities during domestic operations. |
| 5 | Construction Engineer Equipment | 10,642 | 5,321 | varies | \$230,000,000 | This category includes the Heavy Crane, Dozers, Hydraulic-Electric-Pneumatic-Petroleum Operated Equipment (HEPPOE), Graders, and various types of compaction-rollers that are critically under-filled or being filled at a rate that will never achieve modernization standards. This portfolio is unique in that the Army as a whole is a very minor portion of the vendor's business. The lengthening of fielding directly hurts modernization efforts. This portfolio has been heavily reliant upon NGREA funding to meet modernization goals. The Army's Brigade Engineer Battalion Force Design Update will double the amount of engineers in each of its Brigade Combat Teams (BCTs). |

Significant Major Item Shortages

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|---|---|-------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------|---|
| 6 | Multi-Temperature Refrigerated Container System (MTRCS) | 470 | 143 | \$140,000 | \$20,020,000 | The MTRCS replaces non-tactical legacy Reefer Vans. The MTRCS provides the capability to refrigerate and/or freeze perishable and semi-perishable food and medical supplies with dual evaporators and a moveable partition allowing division into two compartments. |
| 7 | Combat Mobility | NA | NA | varies | \$300,000,000 | This category includes countermine and bridging systems. Bridging supports CDU requirements for homeland security. The engineering equipment on-hand is aging and requires modernization. The total shortage cost in this table reflects the cost of modernizing the existing equipment. |
| 8 | Load Handling System Compatible Water Tank Rack (HIPPO) | 1,104 | 628 | \$131,839 | \$82,794,892 | The HIPPO consists of a 2,000 gallon potable water tank in an ISO frame with integrated pump, engine, alternator, hose reel, heater and fill stand. The HIPPO enhances and expedites the delivery of bulk potable water into the division and brigade areas. It provides the Army with the capability to receive, store, and distribute potable water utilizing tactical trucks. The HIPPO replaces the semitrailer-mounted fabric tank (SMFT). |
| 9 | Assault Kitchen (AK) | 1,000 | 531 | \$51,500 | \$27,346,500 | The Assault Kitchen replaces the current legacy company-level field kitchens. The AK is a mobile field-feeding platform that provides heating and serving to company-sized elements at a future force sustainment replenishment site. The AK prepares food for up to 250 personnel in 90 minutes. The AK consists of a Tray Ration Heater installed in a light tactical trailer with a high mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicle (HMMWV) as its prime mover. |
| 10 | Palletized Loading System (PLS) | 2,257 | 371 | \$452,000 | \$167,692,000 | Recapitalization is the current strategy to modernize this fleet. The Army's goal is 50% uparmored by FY 2018, and the ARNG is currently at 2%. The current fleet is over 13 years old. |
| * Item quantities and costs in this table are projected FY 2017 values. | | | | | | |

III. Army Reserve Overview

A. Current Status of the Army Reserve

1. General Operational Overview

The operational Army Reserve is a critical component in our Nation's defense. Enhanced by civilian skill sets that serve as a force multiplier, the Army Reserve delivers vital military capabilities essential to the Total Force. Under Title 10 of the United States Code, the Army Reserve mission is to provide trained, equipped, and ready Soldiers and cohesive units to meet the global requirements across the full spectrum of operations. As such, equipping the Army Reserve to continuously support the Army and joint force missions at home and abroad is paramount.

Top Army Reserve Focus Areas

- Obtain affordable and cost effective equipping solutions
- Resource and sustain critical equipment and support infrastructure to maintain the Army Reserve as part of an operational force
- Refine equipping strategy to support homeland defense (HD) and Defense Support of Civil Authorities (DSCA)
- Reestablish Light Tactical Vehicle (LTV) recapitalization program
- Increase Critical Dual Use level to 80 percent equipment on-hand (EOH)

a. Status of Forces as an Operational Reserve

The Army Reserve has transitioned from a strategic reserve to an operational reserve while providing continuous support to homeland defense (HD), Defense Support of Civil Authorities (DSCA), and overseas contingency operations (OCO). This paradigm shift requires sustained equipping investments to preserve the Army Reserve as an operational force essential to national defense. A substantial reduction in funding will reduce the Army Reserve's ability to effectively meet Army requirements. As such, equipment modernization must continue to ensure seamless support for joint, interagency, intergovernmental, and multinational missions, as well as maintaining capabilities to support contingency operations paramount to supporting the Army's 2020 Vision.

Modernization efforts continue to advance the Army Reserve's ability to perform its mission within all operational environments. As of FY 2013, the Army Reserve EOH percentage is 86 percent and 66 percent modernized, but the Army Reserve must continue to focus on improving critical capabilities across every equipment category. Modernization and EOH challenges persist for key enabler capabilities, such as engineer equipment, field logistics systems, force protection equipment, medical equipment, mission command systems, and transport systems. Continuous modernization investment is needed to ensure the Army Reserve remains a relevant operational force.

As equipping budgets continue to decrease, the Army Reserve is emphasizing the recapitalization of legacy systems to sustain adequate capability levels. While considerable amounts of equipment are expected to be cascaded to the Army Reserve in future years, aging fleets will drive increases in sustainment costs. Funding ceased for Light Tactical Vehicle (LTV) recapitalization in 2010 and will cease for Medium Tactical Vehicle (MTV) recapitalization at the end of FY 2013. Without sustained fleet modernization, the Army Reserve's LTV fleet will deteriorate, increasing sustainment costs while reducing the Army Reserve's ability to respond to contingency operations. Reestablishing an LTV recapitalization program is necessary to sustain the Army Reserves ability

to support HD and DSCA as well as accomplishing the Army Reserve’s mission of providing the Army’s operational reserve.

The Army Reserve is required to provide 24,000 Soldiers annually in support of Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) planning requirements. The Active Component (AC) requires 90 percent of Army Reserve Soldiers to deploy within the first 45 days of contingency operations. Army Reserve Soldiers are required to support combatant command needs and provide critical enabler capabilities to theater operations. For the Army Reserve to remain a ready and operational force, it must be funded and equipped appropriately. A lack of adequate resources risks the Army Reserve’s ability to conduct effective, timely, sustained operations.

b. Homeland Defense and Defense Support of Civil Authorities

The National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) 2012 authorizes access to Army Reserve capabilities to provide DSCA support in response to domestic emergencies and major disaster operations. The Army Reserve is among the Nation’s first Title 10 responders for DSCA missions, with a presence in communities in every state and territory. The Army Reserve is a valuable asset to respond to international incidents in support of the United States Agency for International Development as the lead agency from the Department of State conducting foreign consequence management operations. Additionally, the Army Reserve is engaged in the DoD planning process for complex catastrophes. All of these missions require modern equipment to save lives, reduce suffering, and mitigate significant property damage. This important mission requires the unique enabler capabilities resident in the Army Reserve.

The Army Reserve possesses a wide range of unique capabilities available for use in support of HD and DSCA operations (see Table 2-10). The Army Reserve has relevant and capable units for leveraging and resourcing equipment and Soldiers to provide critical capabilities needed to sustain governmental operations in relief of human suffering and minimizing property damage. Army Reserve units are decentralized, providing geographical dispersion that is not bound by state boundaries, increasing the chance of having a unit with the right capability to respond to a domestic major disaster or emergency nearby.

Table 2-10. Army Reserve Capabilities to Support HD and DSCA

| Type of Unit | Number of Units | Percentage of Total Army |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Mortuary Affairs | 6 | 75% |
| Civil Affairs | 46 | 70% |
| Quartermaster | 144 | 64% |
| Medical | 216 | 59% |
| Transportation | 300 | 44% |
| Chemical | 50 | 43% |
| Engineer | 288 | 31% |
| Military Police | 113 | 24% |
| Signal | 20 | 17% |
| Aviation | 29 | 5% |

The Army Reserve provides a significant portion of the Army’s contingency response force. As such, those designated units rely on Critical Dual Use (CDU) equipment to accomplish a wide array of missions and are a priority for equipment distribution and maintenance readiness. Subsets of these capabilities reside in units that are vital to the execution of HD and DSCA missions. CDU equipment comprises those items that support operational requirements and enable the Army to assist civil authorities in responses to natural disasters, acts of terrorism, and other manmade disasters identified in planning scenarios.

The Army Reserve contributes a range of capabilities to the chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) response enterprise. The Army Reserve provides the capability to respond to multiple catastrophic events involving the use of weapons of mass destruction or a terrorist event. These capabilities include a Theater Aviation Command, medical brigades and hospitals, consequence management units, movement control detachments, firefighting units with specialized search and extraction capability, quartermaster units, engineer units, transportation units, and chemical/biological detection units. These units provide DSCA support to a lead Federal agency in the event of a CBRN attack on our Nation.

2. Status of Equipment

a. Equipment On-hand

Over the last decade, modernization efforts have improved the Army Reserve’s equipping posture and ability to perform its mission within all operational environments. This is evident in the increase of Army Reserve EOH from less than 78 percent in FY 2006 to 86 percent in FY 2013. To meet readiness requirements, the Army Reserve internally cross-levels equipment to ensure units meet aim points associated with the ready and available pools of the ARFORGEN process. The Army Reserve also mitigates EOH shortages and enhances modernization with cascaded equipment and by filling programmed procurement gaps with NGREA funding. Fiscal constraints hinder efforts to increase EOH and improve modernization levels, which are necessary to control sustainment costs of legacy equipment. Equipment shortfalls and modernization challenges present unresourced requirements, which adversely impact readiness, as demonstrated by a developing LTV capability gap. The majority of the LTV fleet has surpassed its economic useful life. Without an ongoing recapitalization program to extend service life, the cost of maintaining this fleet will dramatically increase with time. Equipment and modernization funding levels will need to be restored to support operational requirements in order to prevent jeopardizing the Army Reserve as an enduring operational force. Table 2-11 highlights the Army Reserve’s top capability modernization shortages.

Table 2-11. Army Reserve Top Capability Modernization Shortages

| Equipment | FY 2017 Total Requirement | FY 2017 Modern Equipment On-hand | FY 2017 Modern Shortage | Percentage Modernized |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Battle Command Transport Networks | 99,958 | 33,278 | 66,680 | 33% |
| Tactical Wheeled Vehicles | 39,132 | 20,715 | 18,417 | 53% |
| Aircraft | 283 | 183 | 100 | 65% |
| Engineering Equipment | 15,383 | 11,080 | 4,303 | 72% |

b. Average Age of Major Items of Equipment

The implementation of the budget control act imposed additional fiscal constraints resulting in the utilization of equipment beyond its intended life cycle. Substantially declining budgets and rapidly developing technologies continuously increase modernization gaps that were deferred during a decade at war. Efforts to maintain aging fleets beyond the intended life cycle generates cascading effects reflected in higher sustainment costs and deferred upgrades to repair facilities. Mitigating strategies to reduce the average age of equipment include recapitalization programs to modernize equipment and the Army Reserves' rebuild program designed to extend the service life of combat support (CS) and combat service support (CSS) enabling systems by rebuilding equipment to like-new conditions. Resourcing recapitalization and rebuild programs remains essential for incrementally replacing legacy systems and decreasing the average age of Army Reserve equipment. Table 2-11 highlights the average age of major items of the Army Reserve fleet. The Army Reserve continues to purge its fleet of aging and obsolete equipment to reduce the average age and sustainment cost. Table 2-12 provides a list of the Army Reserve top five legacy equipment items.

Table 2-12. Army Reserve Top Five Legacy Equipment

| Nomenclature | Line Item Number | Average Age (years) |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Semitrailer – 25-ton | S70517 | 53 |
| Rough Terrain Forklift – M10A | T49119 | 30 |
| Water Purification System | W47225 | 29 |
| Truck Ambulance – HMMWV | T3884 | 25 |
| Truck Tractor – M915 | T61103 | 21 |

c. Compatibility of Current Equipment with the Active Component

The Army prioritizes equipment resourcing based on first deployers, regardless of component. Over the past several years, the Army has greatly improved the compatibility and modernization of Army Reserve equipment. However, some units will continue to have legacy equipment. While the Army Reserve has explored initiatives to mitigate these compatibility differences for deploying units through internal cross-leveling during pre-mobilization preparations, redistributing equipment is not an affordable or cost-effective solution since it consistently consumes limited financial resources. The invaluable support the Army Reserve has received through National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) funding has enabled us to focus selected procurements on filling modernization gaps and equipment shortages.

d. Maintenance Issues

The Army Reserve's depot maintenance programs rebuild equipment to like-new conditions with zero miles and zero hours. A consistent yearly decrease in depot maintenance spending prevents aging fleets, including large amounts of CDU equipment, from being rebuilt. The depot maintenance budget was reduced from \$247M in FY 2012, to \$162M in FY 2013 and is projected to be \$59M in FY 2014. This reduction in funding will hinder Army Reserve fleet modernization efforts. Equipment operation and sustainment cost increases are commensurate with the reduction in rebuild efforts as legacy equipment becomes more challenging and costly to maintain, thus

decreasing readiness levels. As a result, readiness rates will suffer and safety concerns will increase. Increasing the emphasis on depot maintenance ensures equipment is available and ready for all missions.

The following initiatives are examples of Army Reserve collaboration with industry to design and implement total rebuild and refurbishment programs for CDU equipment (see Table 2-13).

Table 2-13. Equipment Rebuild

| Nomenclature | Line Item Number | Current On-hand | Percent of Army Capability | Average Age | Required Rebuild | Cost |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
| Fuel Tanker M967A1 | S10059 | 1,021 | 68% | 27 | 50 | \$2.1M |
| Heavy Truck M1097A2 | T07679 | 10,303 | 10% | 12 | 200 | \$11.5M |
| Medium Truck M915A3 | T61103 | 1,343 | 41% | 18 | 30 | \$4.5M |
| Forklift 10K | T73347 | 900 | 90% | 11 | 72 | \$6.9M |

e. Modernizing the Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Fleet

The Army is taking strides to improve the modernization of major end items, including TWVs that are being fielded new or recapitalized through national level maintenance programs. Modernization efforts have proven successful in improving the MTV and Heavy Tactical Vehicle (HTV) fleets. Despite accomplishments in modernizing MTV and HTV fleets, the Army Reserve requires \$2.2B to fill LTV modernization shortages to 100 percent of the authorized 19,990 vehicles. The recapitalization program for modernizing the HMMWV fleet ceased in FY 2010. A significant modernization shortfall is developing within the LTV fleet, including armor-capable and ambulance HMMWVs. The Army Reserve anticipates fielding the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV) in FY 2022 at a ratio of one JLTV for every three HMMWVs. As a result, the Army Reserve is projected to maintain the HMMWV within the LTV fleet through FY 2045. The absence of a recapitalization program to modernize the LTV fleet will generate a significant increase in sustainment costs and degrade readiness. Efforts to improve the HTV fleet increased the heavy expanded mobile tactical truck (HEMTT) modernization level to 50 percent and the M915 line haul tractor to 40 percent modernized.

B. Changes since the Last NGRER

1. Budget

The Army Reserve’s procurement funding allocation decreased by 31% between the FY 2013 and FY 2014 President’s Budgets (from \$660M to \$454M). This reduction is part of a larger trend as the Army Reserve’s procurement funding decreased by 73% between the FY 2011 and FY 2014 President’s Budget (from \$1,686M to \$454M). Through FY 2017, base budget allocations are projected to improve slightly, but remain well below levels required to maintain an enduring operational Army Reserve.

2. Rebalancing of Army Reserve Capabilities

Changes in aviation, engineering, and chemical force structure have triggered unit conversions, thus changing equipment requirements. For example, Army Reserve aviation capabilities are converting Attack and Reconnaissance Aviation to Assault and Medical Evacuation (MEDEVAC) resulting in equipment conversions and modifications to fulfill new mission requirements.

3. Army Reserve Equipping Strategy

The Army Reserve's Equipping Strategy is concurrent with the Army's Equipping and Modernization Strategy. The Army's Equipping and Modernization Strategy directs that Army components receive the right equipment, delivered to the right units, at the right location, at the lowest cost. The Army Reserve will achieve equipping and modernization standards through three lines of effort, which include equipping units for assigned missions, increasing readiness by cascading equipment from the AC, and, where possible, exploring cost-effective and affordable equipping solutions.

The Army Reserve will continue to rely on cascaded equipment to fill equipment shortages. Rebuilding cascaded equipment through national-level maintenance and recapitalization programs prior to delivery and receipt by the Army Reserve remains vital to maintaining readiness levels and reducing operation and maintenance costs.

The Army Reserve will continue to support the Army's Equipping and Modernization Strategy by exploring cost effective and affordable equipping and modernization solutions to fill shortages and close modernization gaps. This will ensure the Army Reserve remains an operational force that is versatile in supporting the Army's role in the national defense strategy. These lines of effort will augment current initiatives to increase CDU capabilities concurrent with stated goals of ensuring Army Reserve units possess the right equipment, at the right place and time, for delivering unique capabilities globally in support of the full spectrum of Army operations.

4. Equipping Successes

In FY 2013, the Army Reserve received equipment valued in excess of \$1.67B to fill equipment shortages and modernization requirements, while simultaneously purging \$386M of obsolete and legacy equipment from the inventory. Congressional support via NGREA provided funding for logistics, mobility and medical capabilities, to include the purchase of \$15M in container handling equipment, \$14M in tactical generators and \$130M in recapitalization for the HTV fleet. The Army Reserve also rebuilt 70 percent of its MTV fleet and 83 percent of its semi-trailer tankers. The quality and quantity of equipment received enhances interoperability with joint, interagency, intergovernmental, and multi-national partners, in support of global operations. In addition, efforts to improve and sustain modernization of CDU equipment enables the Army Reserve to employ unique capabilities required to serve as a life saving and life sustaining force for the Nation, in response to DSCA requests and HD missions.

C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017)

1. New Equipment Procurements

a. Base Budget

Based on FY 2014 President’s Budget request, the Army Reserve procurement funding is projected to receive a 60 percent budget reduction between FY 2015 (\$739M) and FY 2018 (\$293M). Continued budget decrements will hasten EOH and modernization shortcomings across every Army Reserve equipping category, risking momentum achieved in transforming the Army Reserve to an operational force and readiness gains realized during a decade of war.

b. National Guard Reserve Equipment Appropriation

The Army Reserve has used NGREA funding to increase modernization and EOH. NGREA has enabled the Army Reserve to procure the most modernized combat support and combat service support equipment to fill those critical equipment shortages not scheduled for procurement. Over the last three years (FY 2012–FY 2014), the Army Reserve has received \$560M in NGREA, enhancing modernization by filling gaps in the base budget. Additionally, NGREA funding has supported efforts to improve equipment modernization in CDU items, enabling the Army Reserve to serve as one of the first Title 10 responders in support of HD and DSCA.

2. Anticipated Transfers from Active Component to Reserve Component

Table 5 reflects equipment transfers from AC to the Army Reserve from FY 2015–FY 2017.

3. Anticipated Withdrawals from Army Reserve Inventory

The Army Reserve does not anticipate any equipment withdrawals of major end items. The Army continues to ensure any withdrawals are paid back as quickly as possible.

4. Simulators

The Army Reserve continues to pursue Live, Virtual, Constructive, and Gaming (LVC/G) simulation technologies as an interim and affordable solution to mitigate equipment modernization shortfalls. This enables the Army Reserve to sustain proficiency levels obtained during a decade of combat operations in Afghanistan and Iraq, while ensuring the Army Reserve maintains an interoperable capability to support future combat operations and increases responsiveness to HD and DSCA missions. Using simulations to close training gaps formed due to equipment and modernization shortages mitigates risk in producing unique capabilities. Examples of simulators used to close modernization gaps in the Army Reserve mission command and medical capabilities include

- **Multiple Amputee Training Simulator (MATS):** This simulator is essential in providing realistic home station events-based training to the Army Reserve’s 20,000 emergency medical technicians and combat medics. The MATS afford medical personnel a capability to maintain individual certifications, sustain stand-alone Soldier skills proficiency, and support collective lane training events.
- **Home-station Instrumentation Training System (HITS):** Designed to introduce instrumentation into local live training events, HITS consists of an interim range, vehicle

radio, Soldier radio, field after-action-review kit, and exercise control and operator workstation. HITS is a crucial training system that will enhance and improve the collective maneuver training for platoon through battalion units.

5. Equipment Shortages and Modernization Shortfalls

The following sections highlight Army Reserve equipment shortages and modernization shortfalls for each of the eleven Army Reserve Equipment Capability Categories. See Annex A at the end of this chapter narrative for an explanation of the embedded tables in these sections.

a. Aviation

Army Reserve aviation represents 5 percent of the total Army's aviation force with a current requirement of 205 fixed-wing and rotary-wing airframes. In FY 2013, the Army Reserve possessed 97 percent of its required airframes, and the current inventory is sufficient to meet mission requirements.

The 2012 NDAA allows the Army Reserve to leverage aviation capabilities to perform lifesaving, property protection, and damage mitigation in support of HD and DSCA missions.

The Army Reserve's CDU list provides the Army and DoD with Army Reserve aviation capabilities to respond to HD and DSCA mission requirements. In FY 2017, 100 percent of the Army Reserve's aviation capabilities will be CDU capable and will continue to play a vital role in supporting HD and DSCA missions.

The conversion of Army Reserve aviation from Attack and Reconnaissance to Assault and MEDEVAC is scheduled to be completed by the end of FY 2017. Through the conversion, Army Reserve aviation will receive 48 Blackhawk utility helicopters (UH-60s), thus rebalancing and modernizing Army Reserve aviation capabilities to remain an operational force available to support all missions, including combat operations. Ongoing initiatives that directly impact the Army Reserve aviation capabilities include the following:

- The HH-60 (Blackhawk) MEDEVAC units' authorizations will increase from 12 to 15 aircraft in FY 2016.
- The FY 2013 President's Budget will modernize an aging CH-47D fleet. Modernization efforts will replace all Army Reserve CH-47D models with the latest CH-47F model. Army Reserve's CH-47 fleet is projected to be 100 percent modernized by the end of FY 2017.
- The fixed-wing fleet is currently short four C-12 aircraft. Efforts to implement affordable solutions are awaiting HQDA rebalancing decisions. The legacy UC-35 fleet remains 100 percent on-hand.

b. Mission Command

Network Transport and Mission Command Systems: This portfolio consists of transport, applications, enabler, and integration capabilities designed to facilitate digital planning, information sharing, and provide immediate connectivity of all echelons on the battlefield. The Army Reserve has made significant strides in critical network transport systems, fielding

100 percent of Warfighter Information Network–Tactical (WIN-T) requirements, and is on pace to complete fielding of Secure Mobile Anti-Jam Reliable Tactical Terminal, Global Broadcast Service, and Phoenix systems in FY 2014. However, budget decrements will delay fielding for other key network applications and enablers, such as Command Post of the Future, Blue Force Tracker, Battle Command Sustainment Support System, Distributed Common Ground System–Army, and Global Combat Support System–Army to FY 2017 or beyond.

c. Field Logistics Systems

The Army Reserve provides over 50 percent of the logistical capabilities for the Army, including supply and services, field and sustainment maintenance, transportation, health services, mortuary affairs, and aviation lift support. The Army Reserve field logistics capabilities are CDU items essential for providing emergency assistance when requested by state governors and the President of the United States.

- **Quartermaster:** As an operational force, the Army Reserve provides 66 percent of the total quartermaster deployable capability for the Army in support of combat operations, HD, and DSCA missions. Equipping efforts have increased on-hand quantities and modernization of critical quartermaster systems. Despite improvements, critical shortages in modernized quartermaster equipment remain. Critical unresourced modernization and equipment shortages exist through FY 2019, thus impacting the Army Reserve’s ability to provide logistical support and services for OCO, HD, and DSCA. Significant modernization requirements and equipping shortages include Load Handling System Compatible Water Tank Rack (HIPPO), Forward Area Water Point Supply System (FAWPSS), material handling equipment, and Petroleum Quality Analysis System–Enhanced (PQAS-E) (see Table 2-14). Resources required to fulfill modernization and equipment shortages exceed \$205M.

Table 2-14. Quartermaster/Maintenance Systems

| Equipment | FY 2017 required | FY 2017 on-hand | FY 2017 modern on-hand | FY 2017 modern shortage | Unresourced requirement |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Liquid Logistics | 4,800 | 3,954 | 3,905 | 895 | \$80.6M |
| Maintenance (Aviation) | 1,424 | 1,469 | 1,330 | 94 | \$27.5M |
| Material Handling Equipment | 4,634 | 4,291 | 3,907 | 727 | \$98.6M |

- **Medical and Dental:** The Army Reserve provides 59 percent of the Army’s medical and dental capabilities. Army Reserve medical and dental units are equipped and modernized to 80 percent, with the exception of the combat support hospitals. One quarter of the combat support hospitals are fully equipped and modernized. Due to the rapid evolution of medical technology and costs associated with storage, maintenance, and procurement, it is more affordable to maintain current equipping levels for this capability. To ensure medical proficiency levels are maintained to support combat operations, HD, and DSCA missions, 30 Triage Training sets are required. The mitigating strategy to offset equipment modernization shortages includes utilizing the Medical Regional Training Sites to provide the equipment necessary to provide rapid response to HD and DSCA missions. Efforts to support disaster relief and humanitarian assistance within the combatant commands include establishing fly

away packages equipped to provide surgical, pharmaceutical, and other medical capabilities including a 44-bed capacity.

d. Transportation

The Army Tactical Wheeled Vehicle (TWV) 2025 Strategy serves as a plan to achieve and sustain the capabilities the Army will need for the next 30 years. The Army Reserve lacks the quantity of armor-capable TWV required to meet the 50 percent armored and operational requirements. Critical investments in new procurement and recapitalization programs have been instrumental in modernizing the Army Reserve's TWV fleet. The following three fleets comprise the Army Reserve's TWVs: light, medium, and heavy.

- **Light Tactical Vehicle (LTV):** The HMMWV recapitalization program ceased in FY 2010, and there is no Army program to modernize the fleet at this time. Including substitutes, the Army Reserve has 100 percent of its HMMWV requirement. However, only 19 percent of the fleet is armor-capable. The Army is in the process of redistributing armor-capable vehicles to the Army Reserve to replace legacy equipment and serve as a bridging strategy until the JLTV is developed and fielded. Army Reserve JLTV fielding is scheduled to begin in FY 2022. Ultimately, the JLTV will replace one-third of the HMMWV fleet. Currently, no program exists to recapitalize the residual HMMWV fleet, though many of these vehicles are projected to remain in the inventory through 2045. The remaining LTV fleet comprises un-armored and legacy vehicles which are limited to HD and DSCA operations that do not require armor-capable equipment. Of specific concern is the legacy ambulance fleet, which remains below 68 percent fill and surpasses its economic useful life.
- **Medium Tactical Vehicle (MTV):** The MTV fleet is projected to be at 100 percent fill and 90 percent modernized by the end of FY 2016. Anticipated Army TWV fleet reductions and cross-leveling is projected to increase the MTV modernization levels and divest most M900-series vehicles from the fleet by FY 2016.
- **Heavy Tactical Vehicle (HTV):** The Army Reserve is projected to have 100 percent of its HTV requirement on-hand by the end of FY 2016. However, this includes non-armor capable legacy systems that are not globally deployable. This is a concern as the bulk of the HTV assets reside within the Army Reserve. The HEMTT family is projected to be at 100 percent on-hand by FY 2016. The on-hand percentage will continue to increase through the reallocation of vehicles redeploying from theater. Resourcing vehicle recapitalization must continue to achieve the modernization requirements defined in the Army's Equipment Modernization Strategy. The HEMTT wrecker fleet, a critical asset for recovery operations, and the PLS fleet are projected to be at 60 percent modernized, and the HEMTT Light Equipment Transport is projected to be at 72 percent modernized, all by FY 2015.

e. Watercraft

The unique capabilities of Army Reserve watercraft provide support to combat operations and to HD and DSCA missions (see Table 2-15). Aging watercraft fleets continue to increase maintenance and operational costs. Motorized watercraft requires on-condition cyclic maintenance (OCCM) every three years and every four years for barges. Service cost ranges up to \$10M per maintenance cycle, totaling \$15M–\$22M annually. Near term service life extension

programs (SLEPs) and OCCM execution continue to extend and enhance the Army Reserve’s capabilities. The SLEPs and OCCM enable the Army Reserve to maintain an aging fleet while awaiting modernization or new equipment procurement.

- **Landing Craft Utility (LCU) 2000:** LCU was designed for 25 years of service. The average age of the current fleet is 24 years. Modernization efforts have been delayed due to reallocation of funding from FY 2016 to FY 2017 and FY 2019. Army Reserve LCU modernization will remain at 50 percent through FY 2022. On average, it takes 24 months to complete modernization of one LCU.
- **Large Tug 128 Foot:** The Army Reserve has a requirement for three Large Tugs with a projected shortage of one at the end of FY 2016. Due to fiscal constraints, the Army has decided to delay procurement until the operations tempo requires this capability.
- **Barge Derrick Crane 115 Ton:** The Army Reserve has a requirement for three Barge Derricks, of which two are on-hand (66 percent). The Army Reserve is mitigating the training impact by sharing equipment between the AC and RC.
- **Landing Craft Mechanized (LCM) 8:** The LCM 8 fleet was fielded in 1967 and is well beyond its life cycle. Extensive time required to complete dry docking repairs significantly increases cost required to maintain the fleet.

Table 2-15. Watercraft Systems

| Equipment | FY 2017 Required | FY 2017 On-hand | FY 2017 Modern On-hand | FY 2017 Modern Shortage | Unresourced Requirement |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| LCU 2000 | 20 | 7 | 7 | 13 | \$455.0M |
| Large Tug 128 Foot | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | \$12.5M |
| Barge Derrick 115 Ton | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | \$24.0M |

f. Engineering and Combat Mobility

Engineering and combat mobility consists mainly of bridging, countermine, horizontal construction, mine detection, and route clearance equipment. The Army Reserve retains 30 percent of the engineer capabilities for the total force to include 24 percent of the Army’s Multi-role Bridge capability. The Vehicle Mounted Mine Detection System, known as “Husky,” and the Mine Protected Clearance Vehicle, known as “Buffalo,” are scheduled for delivery to the Army Reserve’s route clearance units through FY 2017. Additionally, modernization of bulldozers and motorized graders are programmed. Equipment and modernization shortfalls exist in employing combat mobility capabilities necessary for safeguarding maneuver and movement of supported forces. Total resources required to completely fill engineering and combat mobility shortfalls exceed \$300M (see Table 2-16).

Table 2-16. Construction and Engineering Equipment

| Equipment | FY 2017 Required | FY 2017 On-hand | FY 2017 Modern On-hand | FY 2017 Modern Shortage | Unresourced Requirement |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Construction | 5,199 | 5,031 | 3,854 | 1,345 | \$23.5M |
| ACE/Dozer | 993 | 764 | 622 | 371 | \$10.7M |
| Assault Bridging | 216 | 146 | 92 | 124 | \$22.9M |
| Countermine | 3,517 | 2,678 | 2,159 | 1,358 | \$267.3M |

g. Force Protection

Force protection includes CBRN, obscurants, base defense, and collective and individual protection, of which the Army Reserve provides 43 percent of the capability for the Army. Of the 60 systems comprising force protection, the most significant is the Nuclear-Biological-Chemical Reconnaissance Vehicle (NBCRV), a Stryker-based platform incorporating multiple detection systems. The Army Reserve is projected to be 61 percent modernized in this system by FY 2017. The NBCRV is an essential CDU item, in support of the Army Reserve’s increased HD/DSCA role and the Defense CBRN Response Force.

h. Civil Affairs (CA) and Military Information Support Operations (MISO)

Equipment shortfalls continue to exist due to the realignment of CA and MISO from the United States Army Special Operations Command to the Army Reserve (see Table 2-17). HQDA continues to provide oversight of current and future equipping actions that will improve the readiness of CA and MISO unique equipment. Systems, including the special operations forces (SOF) Deployable Node-Lite and the Product Distribution System-Lite, are forecasted to be below 80 percent equipment on-hand through FY 2016.

Table 2-17. CA and MISO Systems

| Equipment | FY 2017 Required | FY 2017 On-hand | FY 2017 Modern On-hand | FY 2017 Modern Shortage | Unresourced Requirement |
|--|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| SOF Deployable Node-Lite (SDN-L) | 999 | 944 | 944 | 55 | \$1.9M |
| Product Distribution System-Lite (PDS-L) | 709 | 644 | 644 | 65 | \$13.9M |

D. Summary

The Army Reserve’s goal is to ensure that units and Soldiers have the most modern equipment for supporting contingency operations, HD, and DSCA missions. Over the past decade, the Army Reserve equipping posture significantly improved as reflected by achieving 86 percent EOH and 66 percent modernization. Despite these improvements, critical equipping and modernization shortages remain one of the Army Reserve’s greatest challenges. Declining budgets coupled with anticipated delays in production and modernization will significantly increase the sustainment costs associated with maintaining an aging fleet. Congressional support is essential in achieving the means necessary to address unfunded requirements in equipment and modernization

shortfalls. Investing in the Army Reserve today as an enduring operational force is a cost effective and affordable solution for expanding and preserving the Army Reserve's unique capabilities for enabling a strong national defense and protecting national interest at home and abroad.

Annex A
Explanation of Army Reserve Embedded Equipment Tables

| Equipment | FY 2017 Required | FY 2017 On-hand | FY 2017 Modern On-hand | FY 2017 Modern Shortage | Unresourced Requirement |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| ATLAS II | 1,042 | 1,042 | 905 | 137 | \$22.8M |

Equipment—General nomenclature of the equipment item.

FY 2017 Required—Based on the forecasted requirement at the end of FY 2017.

FY 2017 On-hand—Based on the forecasted on-hand at the end of FY 2017.

FY 2017 Modern On-hand—Removes equipment considered not modern from the *FY 2017 On-hand* number. Modern equipment is defined as the most current equipment item that meets global mission requirements.

FY 2017 Modern Shortage—*FY 2017 Required* minus *FY 2017 Modern On-hand*.

Unresourced Requirement—Average estimated cost of the equipment multiplied by the *FY 2017 Modern Shortage*.

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

NOTE: This table provides a comprehensive list of selected major equipment items. It provides the projected inventory quantity on-hand (QTY O/H) at the beginning/end of the selected fiscal year (FY). It also provides the quantity required (QTY REQ) to meet the full wartime requirements of the Reserve Component. In accordance with Title 10, the QTY REQ number provides the recommendation as to the quantity and type of equipment that should be in the inventory of each Reserve Component. FY 2015 unit cost estimates are provided by the Military Departments.

| Nomenclature¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| Airplane Cargo Transport: C-12D | A29812 | \$1,967,301 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Airplane Cargo Transport: C-12F * | A30062 | \$3,068,422 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 44 |
| Airplane, Cargo Transport | BA108Q | \$2,150,000 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| CH-47F Improved Cargo Helicopter * | C15172 | \$30,000,000 | 0 | 19 | 24 | 25 | 24 |
| Helicopter Cargo Transport: CH-47D * | H30517 | \$5,000,000 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 0 |
| Helicopter Utility: UH-60L * | H32361 | \$4,855,000 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 0 |
| Helicopter Utility: UH-60M * | H32429 | \$8,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Helicopter Attack: AH-64D | H48918 | \$25,128,800 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Helicopter Utility: UH-60A * | K32293 | \$4,635,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| MEDEVAC Helicopter: HH-60M * | M33458 | \$7,800,000 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 30 | 42 |
| Small Unmanned Aircraft System: Raven B | S83835 | \$100,000 | 59 | 83 | 84 | 84 | 82 |
| Utility Cargo Aircraft: UC-35A * | U05004 | \$3,922,313 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 16 |
| HH-60L: MEDEVAC Helicopter * | U84291 | \$7,908,000 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Aviation | | | | | | | |
| Armament Subsystem Helicopter 7.62mm Machine Gun | A05004 | \$10,000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 24 |
| Air Traffic Control Central: AN/TSW-7A * | A27624 | \$819,882 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Countermeasures Set: AN/ALQ-162(V)2 | C20472 | \$223,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Countermeasures Set: AN/ALQ-136(V)2 | C31084 | \$175,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Detecting Set Radar Signal: AN/APR-39(V)2 | D03682 | \$24,632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| External Stores Subsystem: UH-60A | E21985 | \$676,111 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 53 |
| Hoist High Performance * | H39331 | \$142,338 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 45 |
| Detecting Set, Laser AN/AVR-2B(V)1 | L60482 | \$108,318 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 125 |
| Launcher Guided Missile: Longbow Hellfire XM299 | L67410 | \$72,157 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 192 |
| Radar Set: AN/TPN-31 * | R17126 | \$3,701,502 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Radar Warning System: AN/APR-44(V)1 | R44571 | \$16,946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Radar Warning System: AN/APR-44(V)3 | R44639 | \$17,028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Radio Set: High Frequency AN/ARC-220 (V)2 | R81623 | \$23,358 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 72 |
| Radio Set: High Frequency AN/VRC-100 (V)1 | R81691 | \$33,707 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 28 |
| Radio Set Personnel Locator: AN/ARS-6(V)2 | R85011 | \$36,000 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 48 |
| Shelter: Tactical Expandable One-sided | S01291 | \$178,001 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 111 |
| Tool Set Aircraft Maintenance * | T59439 | \$3,600,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Warning Receiver System, Countermeasure: AN/AAR-57(V)1 | W41457 | \$220,800 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 42 |
| Warning Receiver System, Countermeasure | W55180 | \$505,000 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 24 |
| Warning Receiver System, Countermeasure | W62187 | \$452,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Warning Receiver System, Countermeasure | W62437 | \$377,000 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 86 |
| Warning Receiver System, Countermeasure | W62562 | \$365,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |

USAR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Battle Command (Command & Control) | | | | | | | |
| BTUH 60000 Environmental Control Unit: HD-1240/G | B29108 | \$9,000 | 155 | 397 | 397 | 397 | 1,173 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/UYQ-90(V)2 * | C18278 | \$16,676 | 2,322 | 2,322 | 2,322 | 2,338 | 4,970 |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/UYK-128 * | C18378 | \$15,954 | 3,466 | 4,623 | 4,623 | 4,671 | 6,113 |
| Computer Set: Digital OL-604/TYQ | C18684 | \$14,899 | 11 | 143 | 160 | 173 | 341 |
| Communications Central: AN/ASC-15E | C59313 | \$617,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Computer Set: Digital OL-603/TYQ | C78827 | \$14,899 | 13 | 52 | 62 | 62 | 124 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/UYQ-90(V)3 * | C78851 | \$16,875 | 458 | 532 | 532 | 552 | 1,678 |
| Generator Set: Diesel Engine Trailer PU-807A | G17528 | \$81,942 | 30 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 264 |
| Generator Set: Diesel Engine MEP-810B | G17800 | \$449,397 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Generator Set: DED Skid-mtd 3kW 60Hz * | G18358 | \$9,922 | 2,938 | 4,002 | 4,002 | 4,002 | 4,554 |
| Generator Set: DED 15kW 50/60Hz Skid-Mtd | G49966 | \$20,949 | 0 | 55 | 166 | 301 | 375 |
| LTT Trailer-Mtd: PU-2002 10kW 50/60Hz | L84622 | \$43,721 | 0 | 82 | 238 | 395 | 501 |
| Navigation Set: Satellite Signals AN/GSN-13 | N96180 | \$39,152 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 19 | 52 |
| Power Supply: PP-6224/U * | P40750 | \$4,322 | 1,553 | 3,253 | 3,254 | 3,255 | 4,621 |
| Panel Power Distr: 60Hz 400amp | P60558 | \$17,711 | 73 | 113 | 113 | 127 | 180 |
| Print System-Light: MISO | P61915 | \$700,000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 33 |
| Rigid Wall Shelter: Command Post * | R98145 | \$162,800 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| Trailer-Mtd: PU-2101 15kW 50/60Hz M200A1 | T40090 | \$44,157 | 0 | 58 | 164 | 318 | 488 |
| Trailer-Mtd: PP-3106 60kW 50/60Hz 2M200A1 | T93232 | \$58,622 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| Army Human Resources Workstation * | Z39781 | n/d | 1,089 | 1,089 | 1,089 | 1,089 | 2,771 |
| Battlespace Awareness | | | | | | | |
| Detecting System Countermeasures: AN/MLQ-40(V)4 | D04182 | \$1,400,000 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 |
| Digital Topographic System: AN/TYQ-67(V) * | D10281 | \$2,500,000 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Data Analysis Central: AN/MSW-24 | D77801 | \$318,673 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Distributed Common Ground System: AN/TSQ-256(V)2 | Z01377 | n/d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Battle Command Transport Networks | | | | | | | |
| Battalion Command Post Switching Group * | B67234 | \$225,000 | 141 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 146 |
| Computer System Digital: AN/PYQ-1 (C) * | C05002 | \$2,919 | 20,304 | 21,847 | 22,240 | 22,793 | 30,360 |
| Cryptographic Equipment: MTU TSEC/KY-100 AIRTERM * | C52700 | \$6,159 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 360 |
| Communication Equipment: SOMS-B | C58976 | \$5,000,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| Installation Kit: MK-2324/ASC-15B | J31656 | \$119,982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Installation Kit: MK-2584/ASC-15B | J87768 | \$21,577 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Key Processor TSEC/KOK-22A | K05001 | \$18,100 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 52 |
| Multi-band Multi-mission Radio (MBMMR): AN/PSC-5D | M27420 | \$25,744 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 886 |
| Receive Suite: AN/TSR-8 * | R30658 | \$148,583 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 28 |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-89F(C) * | R44999 | \$11,128 | 1,325 | 1,423 | 1,512 | 1,552 | 2,551 |
| Remote Control Unit: KY-100 AIRTERM * | R71740 | \$4,861 | 124 | 124 | 124 | 124 | 328 |
| Satellite Communication System: AN/TSC-156 * | S23268 | \$2,000,000 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Terminal: Satellite Communication AN/TSC-154 | T81733 | \$3,333,000 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Radio Set: Hand-held Radio * | Z01320 | n/d | 22 | 501 | 557 | 579 | 4,977 |
| Combat Mobility | | | | | | | |
| Bridge Armored Vehicle Launched Scissors: 63-ft * | B31098 | \$304,952 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 108 |

USAR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Detecting Set: Mine AN/PSS-14 | D03932 | \$19,300 | 898 | 1,002 | 1,023 | 1,134 | 2,743 |
| Instrument Set Reconnaissance / Survey: AN/TKQ-5 | D17191 | \$61,488 | 147 | 221 | 276 | 312 | 371 |
| Loader Skid Steer: Type II * | L77147 | \$31,390 | 29 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 199 |
| Loader Skid Steer: Type III * | L77215 | \$26,395 | 89 | 114 | 131 | 148 | 353 |
| Mine Resistant Vehicle | M74226 | \$850,000 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 264 |
| Trailer Set Mine Detection | T05003 | \$460,000 | 8 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 72 |
| Medium Flail | Z00699 | n/d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Man Transportable Robotic System | Z01251 | n/d | 0 | 18 | 26 | 48 | 72 |
| Field Logistics | | | | | | | |
| Assault Kitchen * | A94943 | \$42,000 | 0 | 22 | 40 | 61 | 156 |
| Containerized Laundry | C28019 | \$161,835 | 16 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 30 |
| Diagnostic Test Set * | D12196 | \$9,672 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 58 |
| Dolly Set Lift Transportable Shelter: 7.5-ton * | D34883 | \$28,772 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 334 |
| Fuel System Supply Point Type 4: 300K | F04966 | \$200,000 | 59 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 138 |
| Conveyor Belt: Portable Driving Unit Electric | F06972 | \$8,352 | 53 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 328 |
| Electronic Shop Shelter-mtd Avionics: AN/ASM-146 * | H01907 | \$165,575 | 65 | 112 | 128 | 135 | 232 |
| Electronic Shop Shelter-mtd Avionics: AN/ASM-147 * | H01912 | \$127,819 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 38 |
| Hydraulic System Test and Repair Unit | H05002 | \$80,000 | 37 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 185 |
| Hoseline Outfit Fuel Handling: 4-in Diameter Hose | K54707 | \$343,437 | 37 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 76 |
| Laundry Advanced System: Trailer-mtd * | L70538 | \$620,000 | 69 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 108 |
| Mobile Integrated Remains Collection System | M57970 | \$360,000 | 70 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 120 |
| Petroleum Quality Analysis System | P25743 | \$1,384,000 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 33 |
| Pump Centrif: DED Skid-mtd 6in 800-gpm 1800 FT HD | P93102 | \$50,478 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| Rough Terrain Container Handler: Kalmar RT240 * | R16611 | \$740,815 | 248 | 271 | 271 | 271 | 334 |
| Refueling System: Aviation HEMMT tanker * | R66273 | \$24,460 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 25 |
| Shelter: Tactical Expandable Two-sided * | S01359 | \$223,219 | 66 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 125 |
| Sanitation Center: Food * | S33399 | \$33,865 | 367 | 403 | 418 | 433 | 529 |
| Shop Equipment Machine: Heavy less power | T15640 | \$84,759 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 14 |
| Shop Equipment Machine: Heavy No 1 less power | T15641 | \$54,273 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| Load Handling System: 2000-gal Water Tank (HIPPO) * | T32629 | \$131,839 | 51 | 72 | 109 | 122 | 204 |
| Tractor Wheeled: 4X4 w/Forklift and Crane | T33786 | \$93,202 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 170 |
| Terminal Tactical Petroleum: Marine | T56041 | \$1,400,873 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Truck Tractor Yard: 46000 GVW 4X2 | T60353 | \$96,051 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 294 |
| Tophandler: 20-ft Container MIL-T-52951 ME | T67595 | \$19,709 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 84 |
| Tophandler: 40-ft Container MIL-T-52951 ME | T67731 | \$30,064 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 42 |
| Truck Lift Fork: Variable Reach Rough Terrain * | T73347 | \$166,639 | 900 | 964 | 966 | 985 | 1,056 |
| Test Set Radio Frequency Power: AN/USM-491 | T89944 | \$1,941 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 100 |
| Tank Fabric Collapsible | V14744 | \$1,809 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 144 |
| Tank Fabric Collapsible: Water 3000-gal | V15018 | \$1,816 | 7 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 332 |
| Tank Unit Liquid Dispensing Trailer Mounting * | V19950 | \$2,000 | 214 | 228 | 325 | 384 | 657 |
| Water Purification: Reverse Osmosis 3000-gph Trailer-mtd * | W47225 | \$748,000 | 26 | 34 | 34 | 37 | 46 |
| Water Storage/Distribution Set: 40000 GPD * | W55968 | \$121,746 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 28 |
| Trailer Tank Water: 400-gal 1-1/2 ton * | W98825 | \$16,000 | 1,007 | 1,008 | 1,016 | 1,027 | 1,276 |

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Voltmeter Digital: AN/GSM-64 | Y14526 | \$3,155 | 22 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 68 |
| Force Protection | | | | | | | |
| Alarm Chemical Agent: Remote Sensing XM21 | A32638 | \$173,447 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 36 |
| Chem-Bio Protective Shelter: M8 * | C07506 | \$622,051 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Joint Chemical Agent Detector * | J00697 | \$4,101 | 8,189 | 8,208 | 8,219 | 8,219 | 8,376 |
| Mask Chem-Bio Joint Service General Purpose: M50 | M12986 | \$262 | 757 | 886 | 886 | 947 | 137,276 |
| Mask Chem-Bio: Combat Crewman: M51 | M13236 | \$430 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 3,850 |
| NBC Reconnaissance Vehicle: (NBCRV) * | N96543 | \$4,394,803 | 12 | 49 | 49 | 54 | 96 |
| Radiac Set: AN/PDR-75A * | R30925 | \$8,234 | 1,414 | 1,640 | 1,663 | 1,713 | 2,400 |
| General Engineering | | | | | | | |
| Crane Wheel-mtd: Hydraulic 7.5-ton Airmobile/Airborne | C36219 | \$56,284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Distributor Water Tank: 6000-gal Semitrailer-mtd * | D28318 | \$30,289 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 85 |
| Excavator: Hydraulic Type I Multipurpose Crawler * | E27792 | \$236,830 | 69 | 88 | 107 | 107 | 109 |
| Compressor Unit: Trailer-mtd 250-cfm 100-psi | E72804 | \$18,507 | 264 | 264 | 264 | 264 | 374 |
| Distributor Bituminous Material Tank: Truck-mtd 1500-gal | G27844 | \$86,259 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Motorized Grader * | M05001 | \$209,951 | 42 | 55 | 69 | 74 | 141 |
| M1158 Truck: HEMTT-based Water Tender * | M31997 | \$420,058 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Mixer Concrete Trailer-mtd: Gas-driven 16 cu ft | M54151 | \$14,496 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| Mixer Concrete Module: PLS 2600-gal | M81382 | \$127,160 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 39 |
| Roller Vibratory: Self-propelled High-impact | S12916 | \$45,183 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Scraper: Self-propelled 8-11 cu yd * | S29971 | \$162,596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Tractor Full Tracked High-speed: Deuce * | T76541 | \$432,799 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 |
| Truck: Tactical Firefighting 8X8 * | T82180 | \$640,131 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 70 |
| Tractor Full Tracked: Diesel * | W76816 | \$205,000 | 232 | 232 | 242 | 258 | 277 |
| Tractor Full Tracked: Diesel * | W83529 | \$245,275 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 150 |
| Tractor Full Tracked: T-5 | Z01016 | n/d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Tractor Full Tracked: T-5 Type II w/Ripper | Z01433 | n/d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Maneuver Systems | | | | | | | |
| Drivers Enhancers: AN/VAS-5 * | D41659 | \$35,000 | 55 | 706 | 706 | 706 | 782 |
| Medical Field Systems | | | | | | | |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/TYQ-107(V)1 * | C18277 | \$6,452 | 330 | 482 | 577 | 677 | 911 |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/TYQ-106(V)1 * | C18345 | \$5,252 | 465 | 757 | 962 | 1,077 | 1,963 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/TYQ-108(V)2 | C27571 | \$49,335 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| Computer System: Digital AN/TYQ-108(V)1 | C41358 | \$39,000 | 4 | 13 | 19 | 19 | 36 |
| Dental Materiel Set Oral : Maxillofacial Surgery | D65925 | \$565,836 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Monitor Patient Vital Signs: (MVS) | M66626 | \$8,807 | 324 | 571 | 699 | 723 | 863 |
| Soldier Systems | | | | | | | |
| Night Vision Goggle: PVS-7 * | N05482 | \$6,000 | 19,205 | 26,650 | 27,050 | 27,797 | 76,613 |
| Sight Bore Optical: M150 * | S45729 | \$1,199 | 8,688 | 16,218 | 16,898 | 16,931 | 17,238 |
| Medium Weapon Thermal Sight: AN/PAS-13(V)2 * | S90535 | \$17,591 | 7,627 | 10,486 | 10,533 | 10,680 | 10,745 |
| Armament Subsystem: Remotely Operated | Z00751 | n/d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| Soldier Weapons | | | | | | | |
| Launcher Grenade: M320 * | L03621 | \$3,413 | 21 | 708 | 953 | 1,203 | 3,756 |

USAR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Launcher Grenade: M320A1 * | L69080 | \$3,413 | 398 | 2,155 | 2,155 | 2,155 | 3,343 |
| Machine Gun: Light 5.56mm M249 | M39263 | \$2,779 | 2,654 | 2,660 | 2,679 | 2,688 | 3,407 |
| Pistol 9mm: M11 * | P47365 | \$695 | 689 | 689 | 689 | 689 | 1,355 |
| Rifle 5.56mm: M4 * | R97234 | \$1,329 | 26,908 | 29,897 | 30,388 | 31,229 | 33,038 |
| Strike | | | | | | | |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)1 | C17936 | \$65,700 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)3 | C18004 | \$155,600 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Computer Set Field Artillery General: AN/GYK-47(V)5 | F55607 | \$60,350 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| Support Systems | | | | | | | |
| Barge Deck Cargo: Harbors and Inland Waterways | B30786 | \$58,778 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Barge Deck or Liquid Cargo: Nonprop * | B31197 | \$335,580 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Platform: Container Roll-In/Roll-Out * | B83002 | \$16,633 | 7,966 | 10,454 | 10,454 | 10,724 | 14,469 |
| Container Handling: HEMTT * | C84930 | \$39,150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Crane Barge: 89 to 250-ton * | F36090 | \$8,000,104 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Landing Craft Mechanized: Mod2 | L36654 | \$1,226,380 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Landing Craft Mechanized: 69-ft | L36739 | \$162,612 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 |
| Landing Craft Utility: RORO 245 to 300 ft * | L36989 | \$5,000,000 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Radar Signal Simulator Set: SM-674A/UPM | R93247 | \$24,431 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 21 |
| Truck Maintenance: Utility Const 36000GVW 6X4 | T53858 | \$70,280 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| Tug: Large Coastal and Inland Waterway Diesel * | T68330 | \$12,500,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Tug: Small 900 Class | T68398 | \$3,600,000 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Vessel Logistic Support: 245 to 300 ft * | V00426 | \$26,748,852 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Tractor Wheeled Warehouse: Gas/Diesel 4000-lb | W89557 | \$17,352 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 35 |
| Truck Cargo: 1/2 - 1 ton 4X4 6000-10000 GVW | X39893 | \$27,242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Tug: 1200 to 1530 hp | X71046 | \$3,170,694 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| X-Ray Apparatus: Radiographic Industrial | X91036 | \$17,417 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 18 |
| Trailers | | | | | | | |
| Semitrailer Tank: 5000-gal Bulk Self-Load/Unload * | S10059 | \$77,550 | 1,021 | 1,024 | 1,024 | 1,024 | 1,080 |
| Semitrailer Flatbed: Breakbulk/Container 34-ton * | S70159 | \$43,252 | 1,621 | 1,621 | 1,621 | 1,621 | 1,949 |
| Semitrailer Low Bed: 25-ton 4-wheel W/E * | S70517 | \$7,729 | 107 | 107 | 108 | 111 | 203 |
| Semitrailer Low Bed: 40-ton 6-wheel W/E * | S70594 | \$51,900 | 655 | 655 | 655 | 676 | 781 |
| Semitrailer Low Bed: 70-ton HET | S70859 | \$229,219 | 404 | 404 | 422 | 422 | 481 |
| Semitrailer Tank: Petroleum 7500-gal Bulk Haul | S73119 | \$27,774 | 401 | 401 | 401 | 401 | 480 |
| Trailer: Palletized Loading 8X20 * | T93761 | \$46,731 | 2,614 | 2,692 | 2,737 | 2,844 | 3,121 |
| Trailer Cargo: MTV w/Dropsides M1095 * | T95555 | \$62,829 | 1,691 | 1,942 | 1,990 | 2,047 | 2,257 |
| Trailer Cargo: High Mobility 1-1/4 ton * | T95924 | \$8,954 | 2,080 | 2,188 | 2,188 | 2,191 | 2,343 |
| Trailer Flatbed: 5-ton General Purpose | T96883 | \$14,423 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Trailer Cargo: 3/4-ton 2-wheel W/E | W95537 | \$4,474 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 65 |
| Trailer Cargo: 1-1/2 ton 2-wheel W/E * | W95811 | \$10,245 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Trucks | | | | | | | |
| Armored Security Vehicle: Wheeled * | A93374 | \$809,500 | 261 | 291 | 291 | 323 | 360 |
| Truck Utility: Heavy-variant HMMWV 10000 GVW * | T07679 | \$72,953 | 10,303 | 10,564 | 10,564 | 10,567 | 71 |

USAR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature ¹ | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Truck Utility: Armor Ready M1151A1 * | T34704 | \$210,000 | 3,107 | 3,419 | 3,544 | 3,600 | 4,742 |
| Truck Utility Expanded Capacity M1152A1 * | T37588 | \$164,416 | 1,551 | 1,603 | 1,678 | 1,678 | 3,657 |
| Truck Ambulance: 4-Litter Armored HMMWV * | T38844 | \$113,998 | 229 | 229 | 229 | 229 | 378 |
| Truck Cargo: Heavy PLS 15-16.5 ton 10X10 * | T40999 | \$360,139 | 1,062 | 1,062 | 1,062 | 1,062 | 1 |
| Truck Cargo: MTV * | T41135 | \$182,089 | 69 | 69 | 71 | 71 | 5 |
| Truck Van: Expansible MTV M1087A1 * | T41271 | \$218,378 | 84 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 289 |
| Truck Cargo: 5-ton wo/Winch * | T41515 | \$200,000 | 2,057 | 2,093 | 2,105 | 2,106 | 3,571 |
| Truck Cargo: 2 1/2-ton LMTV LAPES/AD | T42063 | \$119,166 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Truck Palletized LHS: M1120A4 * | T55054 | \$321,057 | 628 | 871 | 883 | 883 | 1,143 |
| Truck Utility Expanded Capacity M1165A1 * | T56383 | \$186,891 | 1,526 | 1,532 | 1,536 | 1,536 | 10,327 |
| Truck Tank: wo/Winch | T58318 | \$384,130 | 146 | 195 | 223 | 223 | 316 |
| Truck Tractor: Heavy Equipment Transporter (HET) * | T59048 | \$256,704 | 306 | 306 | 306 | 306 | 0 |
| Truck Cargo: M985A4 | T59380 | \$361,629 | 27 | 60 | 73 | 73 | 126 |
| Truck Cargo: wo/Winch * | T59448 | \$200,000 | 1,499 | 1,508 | 1,541 | 1,549 | 3,808 |
| Truck Cargo: LMTV * | T60081 | \$176,428 | 1,981 | 2,236 | 2,236 | 2,236 | 227 |
| Truck Cargo: LMTV * | T60149 | \$149,600 | 394 | 394 | 394 | 394 | 26 |
| Truck Tractor: Light Equipment Transporter (LET) * | T60946 | \$289,352 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 859 |
| Truck Tractor: Line Haul C/S 50000 M915 * | T61103 | \$162,968 | 1,343 | 1,343 | 1,343 | 1,343 | 180 |
| Truck Tractor: MTV * | T61239 | \$167,746 | 394 | 398 | 398 | 398 | 8 |
| Truck Utility: Cargo/Troop Carrier HMMWV * | T61494 | \$44,722 | 2,447 | 2,447 | 2,447 | 2,447 | 735 |
| Truck Utility: Cargo/Troop Carrier HMMWV * | T61562 | \$41,253 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 30 |
| Truck Utility: HMMWV M1113 * | T61630 | \$79,167 | 411 | 411 | 411 | 411 | 28 |
| Truck Cargo: MTV LWB * | T61704 | \$170,073 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 2 |
| Truck Cargo: MTV * | T61908 | \$184,333 | 862 | 1,144 | 1,145 | 1,145 | 57 |
| Truck Wrecker: 8X8 Heavy Expanded Mobility * | T63093 | \$503,382 | 202 | 247 | 247 | 247 | 20 |
| Truck Wrecker: M984A4 | T63161 | \$491,382 | 179 | 218 | 228 | 230 | 461 |
| Truck Dump: MTV * | T64911 | \$209,309 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 43 | 13 |
| Truck Dump: MTV * | T64979 | \$139,015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Truck Dump: 10-ton wo/Winch * | T65342 | \$200,000 | 342 | 442 | 464 | 469 | 648 |
| Truck: Palletized Loading System (PLS) | T81874 | \$360,000 | 536 | 589 | 654 | 769 | 1,868 |
| Truck Tank: Fuel Servicing 2500-gal 8X8 * | T87243 | \$384,130 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 4 |
| Tractor Line Haul: M915A5 | T88858 | \$162,968 | 986 | 986 | 986 | 986 | 2,280 |
| Truck Tractor: wo/Winch * | T88983 | \$200,000 | 500 | 627 | 627 | 641 | 1,244 |
| Truck Tractor: LET 6X6 66000 GVW * | T91656 | \$166,223 | 625 | 625 | 625 | 625 | 16 |
| Truck Utility: Armored 1-1/4-ton HMMWV * | T92242 | \$84,297 | 1,091 | 1,091 | 1,091 | 1,091 | 10 |
| Truck Utility: Armored 1-1/4-ton HMMWV * | T92310 | \$60,020 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 0 |
| Truck Utility: Up-armored HMMWV * | T92446 | \$146,844 | 131 | 131 | 131 | 141 | 4 |
| Truck Van: LMTV * | T93484 | \$230,363 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 2 |
| Truck Wrecker: MTV * | T94709 | \$331,680 | 108 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 190 |
| Truck Cargo: 8X8 HEMTT w/LHS * | T96496 | \$321,057 | 56 | 170 | 180 | 210 | 26 |

1. "*" indicates a Critical Dual Use (CDU) equipment item

USAR

Table 2

Average Age of Equipment

NOTE: This table provides the average age of selected major equipment items. The average age provides a projected average age of the fleet at the start of FY 2014.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|---|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Aircraft | | | |
| Airplane, Cargo, Transport, C-12 (R, U, & V Models) | A30062 | 14 | |
| Airplane, Cargo, Transport, UC-35A | U05004 | 15 | |
| Airplane, Cargo, Transport, UC-35B | A05015 | 11 | |
| Helicopter, Attack AH-64D | H48918 | 13 | |
| Helicopter, Cargo CH-47D | H30517 | 23 | |
| Helicopter, Utility UH-60L | H32361 | 21 | |
| Helicopter, Utility HH-60L | U84291 | 7 | |
| Helicopter, Utility HH-60M | M33458 | 3 | |
| Battle Command (Command and Control) | | | |
| Generator Set, Diesel Engine Trailer PU-807A | G17528 | 5 | |
| Generator Set, DED Skid-mtd 3kW 60Hz | G18358 | 11 | |
| Combat Mobility | | | |
| Detecting Set: Mine AN/PSS-14 | D03932 | 12 | |
| Field Logistics | | | |
| Rough Terrain Container Handler: Kalmar RT240 | R16611 | 6 | |
| Tractor Wheeled IND: DED 4x4 w/Forklift and Crane | T33786 | 24 | |
| Truck, Forklift, Rough Terrain, M-10A | T49119 | 30 | |
| Truck, Tractor: YD 46000 GVW 4x2 | T60353 | 25 | |
| Truck Lift: Fork Variable Reach Rough Terrain | T73347 | 7 | |
| Water Purification: Reverse Osmosis 3000 GPH | W47225 | 29 | |
| Trailer Tank: Water 400 gal 1.5-ton 2-Wheel | W98825 | 32 | |
| General Engineering | | | |
| Distributor Water Tank: 6000 gal Semitrailer-mtd | D28318 | 29 | |
| Excavator: Hydraulic Type I Multipurpose Crawler-mtd | E27792 | 20 | |
| Compressor Unit: Trailer-mtd 250CFM 100PSI | E72804 | 27 | |
| Distributor Bitumin Matrl Tank Type: Truck-mtd 1500 gal | G27844 | 38 | |
| Mixer Concrete: Trailer-mtd Gas-drvn 16 cu ft | M54151 | 42 | |
| Roller Vibratory: Self-propelled High-impact Single | S12916 | 34 | |
| Tractor FT High-speed: Dep Light (DEUCE) | T76541 | 14 | |
| Tractor FT Low-speed: DSL Medium DBP | W76816 | 33 | |
| Tractor FT Low-speed, w/Buldoz w/Scarif Ripper | W83529 | 21 | |
| Support Systems | | | |
| Barge Deck or Liquid Cargo: NONPROP | B31197 | 59 | |
| Crane Barge: 89 to 250 ton | F36090 | 18 | |
| Landing Craft Mechanized: 69 ft | L36739 | 14 | |
| Landing Craft Utility: Roll-on Roll-off | L36989 | 42 | |

USAR Average Age of Equipment

Table 2

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|---|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Tug: Large Coastal and Inland Waterway Diesel | T68330 | 20 | |
| Tug: Small 900 Class | T68398 | 14 | |
| Vessel Logistics Support: 245 to 300 ft Length | V00426 | 26 | |
| Tractor Wheeled Warehouse: Gas/Diesel 4000 lb | W89557 | 35 | |
| Tug: 1200 to 1530 HP | X71046 | 59 | |
| Trailers | | | |
| Semitrailer Tanker, 5000-gal Bulk Haul, M967 | S10059 | 22 | |
| Semitrailer, 34-ton Flatbed, M872 | S70159 | 25 | |
| Semitrailer, 25-ton 4-wheel | S70517 | 53 | |
| Semitrailer, Low Bed: 40-ton 6-wheel | S70594 | 22 | |
| Semitrailer Low Bed: 70-ton HET | S70859 | 17 | |
| Semitrailer, Fuel Tank, M1062 | S73119 | 22 | |
| PLS Trailer, 16.5-ton, M1076 | T93761 | 12 | |
| Trailer Flatbed: 5-ton 4-wheel General Purpose | T96883 | 19 | |
| Trailer Cargo: 3/4-ton 2-wheel | W95537 | 35 | |
| Trailer Cargo: 1.5-ton 2-wheel | W95811 | 38 | |
| Trucks | | | |
| HMMWV Shelter Carrier, Heavy, M1097 | T07679 | 14 | |
| Truck Utility: ECV Armament Carrier w/IAP Armor | T34704 | 6 | |
| Truck Ambulance: 4-Litter Armd HMMWV | T38844 | 25 | |
| Truck Cargo: Heavy PLS transporter 15-16.5 ton | T40999 | 11 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/W | T41135 | 16 | |
| Truck Cargo: 2.5-ton 4x4 LMTV W/W LAPES | T42063 | 16 | |
| Truck Tank: wo/Winch | T58318 | 7 | |
| Truck Tractor: HET | T59048 | 18 | |
| Truck Cargo: LMTV | T60081 | 12 | |
| Truck Cargo: LMTV W/W | T60149 | 15 | |
| Truck Tractor: LET | T60946 | 6 | |
| Truck Tractor: Line Haul C/S 5000 GVWR M915 | T61103 | 21 | |
| Truck Tractor: MTV | T61239 | 14 | |
| Truck Utility: Cargo/Troop Carrier HMMWV | T61494 | 21 | |
| Truck Utility: Cargo/Troop Carrier HMMWV | T61562 | 22 | |
| Truck Utility: ECV 4x4 M1113 | T61630 | 13 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV 5-ton | T61704 | 6 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV | T61908 | 10 | |
| Truck Wrecker: Tactical 8x8 Heavy Expanded | T63093 | 15 | |
| Truck Dump: MTV | T64911 | 17 | |
| Truck Tank: Fuel Servicing 2500 gal | T87243 | 18 | |
| Truck Tractor: LET 6x6 66000 GVW W/W C/S | T91656 | 19 | |

USAR
Average Age of Equipment

Table 2

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|--|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Truck Utility | T92242 | 18 | |
| Truck Utility: Armt Carrier Armd HMMWV | T92310 | 24 | |
| Truck Utility: ECV Up-armored HMMWV | T92446 | 10 | |
| Truck Van: LMTV | T93484 | 11 | |
| Truck Wrecker: MTV W/W | T94709 | 18 | |
| Truck Cargo: Tactical 8x8 Heavy Expanded LHS | T96496 | 14 | |

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of programmed equipment procurement as identified in the P-1R exhibit of the FY 2015 President's Budget Request. All values are costs in dollars and exclude ammunition procurements. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2015 are expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2016 or FY 2017.

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Aircraft | | | |
| UH-60 Blackhawk M Model (MYP) | \$111,180,000 | | |
| CH-47 Helicopter | 198,000,000 | | |
| Modification of Aircraft | | | |
| Utility/Cargo Airplane Modifications | 5,434,000 | \$6,221,000 | \$2,102,000 |
| Network and Mission Plan | 1,230,000 | 1,129,000 | 1,687,000 |
| Comms, Nav Surveillance | | 6,386,000 | 5,841,000 |
| Global Air Traffic Management (GATM) Rollup | | 2,447,000 | 5,775,000 |
| Support Equipment and Facilities | | | |
| Common Ground Equipment | 1,269,000 | 2,581,000 | 2,743,000 |
| Air Traffic Control | 1,082,000 | | |
| Modification of Missiles | | | |
| Improved Target Acquisition System (ITAS) / TOW Modifications | | 514,000 | |
| Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles (WTCV) | | | |
| Joint Assault Bridge | | | 21,231,000 |
| XM320 Grenade Launcher Module (GLM) | 1,630,000 | 7,000,000 | 1,397,000 |
| Carbine | | 3,595,000 | 4,020,000 |
| Handgun | | | 1,593,000 |
| M2 .50 cal Machine Gun Modifications | 6,830,000 | 11,979,000 | 17,670,000 |
| Tactical and Support Vehicles | | | |
| Tactical Trailers/Dolly Sets | 2,376,000 | 3,360,000 | 3,315,000 |
| Truck, Dump, 20-ton (CCE) | | 3,036,000 | 4,978,000 |
| Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles (FHTV) | 3,341,000 | 9,935,000 | 6,498,000 |
| Palletized Load System (PLS) Extended Service Program (ESP) | 30,349,000 | 36,874,000 | |
| Modification of In-service Equipment | 7,778,000 | 40,477,000 | 18,524,000 |
| Communications and Electronics Equipment | | | |
| Warfighter Information Network-Tactical (WIN-T) - Ground Forces Tactical Network | 21,234,000 | 3,180,000 | 2,044,000 |
| Transportable Tactical Command Communications | | | 3,045,000 |
| SHF Term | 1,419,000 | | |
| SMART-T (Space) | | 250,000 | |
| Global Broadcast Service (GBS) | 1,170,000 | | |
| Army Materiel Command (AMC) Critical Items - OPA-2 | 3,607,000 | 4,879,000 | 4,623,000 |
| Family of Medical Communications for Combat Casualty Care | 10,051,000 | 10,215,000 | 6,128,000 |
| Army Civil Affairs (CA)/Military Information Support Operations (MISO) GPF Equipment | 2,878,000 | 10,002,000 | 16,417,000 |
| Communications Security (COMSEC) | 3,082,000 | 2,234,000 | 2,528,000 |
| Distributed Common Ground System - Army (DCGS-A) (MIP) | 2,159,000 | 4,655,000 | 4,665,000 |
| Counterintelligence (CI) and Human Intelligence (HUMINT) Automated Reporting and Collection System (CHARCS) (MIP) | 67,000 | 69,000 | 71,000 |
| Night Vision Devices | 22,935,000 | 984,000 | 1,000,000 |

USAR

Table 3

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Joint Battle Command - Platform (JBC-P) | 10,768,000 | 10,733,000 | 11,756,000 |
| Air & Missile Defense Planning and Control System (AMDPCS) | 5,443,000 | 9,032,000 | |
| Network Management Initialization and Service | 2,823,000 | 1,348,000 | 1,290,000 |
| Maneuver Control System (MCS) | 9,244,000 | 23,954,000 | 44,656,000 |
| Global Combat Support System - Army (GCSS-A) | 35,812,000 | 39,147,000 | 37,157,000 |
| Reconnaissance and Surveying Instrument Set | 3,380,000 | 5,548,000 | 5,060,000 |
| Items less than \$5M (Surveying Equipment) | 1,032,000 | 896,000 | 417,000 |
| Other Support Equipment | | | |
| CBRN Defense | | 8,852,000 | 3,794,000 |
| Tactical Bridge - Float Ribbon | | 4,956,000 | 19,608,000 |
| Ground Standoff Minefield Detection System (GSTAMIDS) | 6,406,000 | 11,133,000 | 8,472,000 |
| Husky Mounted Detection System (HMDS) | 3,338,000 | 4,638,000 | 6,571,000 |
| Robotic Combat Support System (RCSS) | | 2,719,000 | 1,571,000 |
| Remote Demolition Systems | 1,710,000 | 1,560,000 | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Countermining Equipment) | | 1,941,000 | 757,000 |
| Heaters and Environmental Control Units (ECUs) | 922,000 | 3,044,000 | 5,475,000 |
| Field Feeding Equipment | 660,000 | 1,650,000 | 1,254,000 |
| Cargo Aerial Delivery & Personnel Parachute System | 132,000 | 132,000 | 132,000 |
| Family of Engineer Combat and Construction Sets | 7,984,000 | 6,953,000 | 5,942,000 |
| Quality Surveillance Equipment | 1,435,000 | 1,343,000 | |
| Distribution Systems, Petroleum & Water | 3,070,000 | 1,614,000 | |
| Combat Support Medical | 11,569,000 | 1,779,000 | 13,480,000 |
| Mobile Maintenance Equipment Systems | 2,217,000 | 2,224,000 | 2,224,000 |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Maintenance Equipment) | 33,000 | 28,000 | 28,000 |
| Scrapers, Earthmoving | 3,298,000 | | |
| Compactor | 1,615,000 | | |
| Hydraulic Excavator | 4,938,000 | | |
| Tractor, Full Tracked | 2,130,000 | 12,156,000 | |
| All Terrain Cranes | | 5,035,000 | 2,048,000 |
| Plant, Asphalt Mixing | | 992,000 | |
| Enhanced Rapid Airfield Construction Capability | 2,098,000 | 7,586,000 | 5,507,000 |
| Construction Equipment ESP | 3,156,000 | 2,245,000 | 5,329,000 |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Construction Equipment) | 2,344,000 | 1,742,000 | 4,059,000 |
| Army Watercraft ESP | | 10,025,000 | 10,025,000 |
| Generators and Associated Equipment | 2,503,000 | 2,921,000 | 35,215,000 |
| Family of Forklifts | 4,064,000 | 4,344,000 | 3,520,000 |
| Training Devices, Nonsystem | 5,085,000 | 9,526,000 | 13,760,000 |
| Aviation Combined Arms Tactical Trainer | 2,511,000 | 5,219,000 | 5,000,000 |
| Gaming Technology in Support of Army Training | 1,514,000 | 2,482,000 | 3,726,000 |
| Integrated Family of Test Equipment (IFTE) | 2,712,000 | 2,941,000 | 1,635,000 |
| Test Equipment Modernization (TEMOD) | 1,606,000 | 1,625,000 | 1,527,000 |
| Modification of In-service Equipment (OPA-3) | 2,733,000 | 1,666,000 | 3,143,000 |
| Army Materiel Command (AMC) Critical Items - OPA-3 | 1,843,000 | 7,430,000 | 6,250,000 |
| Total | \$591,229,000 | \$395,161,000 | \$408,283,000 |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of planned equipment procurements with the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA). These funds are available for a three-year period from the year of appropriation. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years from date of procurement before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2014 would be expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2015 or FY 2016. All values are costs in dollars.

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|--|--------------|------------|----------------------|
| <u>FY 2012 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | |
| Field Logistics | \$75,000,000 | | |
| General Engineering | 52,000,000 | | |
| Force Protection | 5,000,000 | | |
| Heavy Tactical Vehicles | 5,000,000 | | |
| Simulators | 5,000,000 | | |
| Medium Tactical Vehicles | 3,000,000 | | |
| <u>FY 2013 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | |
| Command and Control Equipment | | | |
| Tactical Network Systems | | 4,309,973 | |
| Logistics Automation Systems | | \$652,000 | |
| Construction and Engineering Equipment | | | |
| Heavy Scraper | | 5,803,340 | |
| Field Logistics Systems | | | |
| Rough Terrain Cargo Handler | | 15,480,000 | |
| Generators | | 14,627,040 | |
| Field Kitchen | | 2,709,200 | |
| Transportation | | | |
| Transportation Costs | | 2,001,800 | |
| Aviation | | | |
| External Stores Subsystem | | 20,283,330 | |
| Warning Receiver Counter Terrorism | | 7,665,000 | |
| Aviation Ground Support System | | 544,698 | |
| Armament Subsystem | | 320,000 | |
| Medical Systems | | | |
| Mobile Medicine Equipment Set | | 3,600,000 | |
| Simulators | | | |
| Engagement Skills Training (EST) | | 9,690,000 | |
| Homestation Institutional Training System (HITS) | | 8,500,000 | |
| Containerized Ranges | | 3,000,000 | |
| Watercraft Bridge Simulator | | 1,500,000 | |
| Construction Simulator | | 8,000 | |
| Tactical Wheeled Vehicles | | | |
| Truck PLS (M1075A1) | | 98,787,128 | |
| Truck Wrecker (M984A4) | | 29,169,865 | |
| Truck Medium Tactical Vehicle (MTV) | | 1,099,744 | |
| Truck HEMTT (M1120A4) | | 853,173 | |

USAR

Table 4

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) | | 255,709 | |
| Watercraft | | | |
| Command and Control Equipment | | 9,000,000 | |
| Shore Support Equipment | | 140,000 | |
| Total | \$145,000,000 | \$240,000,000 | |
| 1. Service FY 2014 NGREA equipment list was not available in time for publication in the NGRER. Equipment list for FY 2014 will be provided in next year's NGRER. | | | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

NOTE: This table portrays the planned equipment transfers (Active to Reserve), withdrawals (-), and decommissioning (-). Transferred equipment is commonly called "cascaded equipment," or equipment that is provided to the RC once the AC receives more modern equipment. Although this table highlights a three-year period, many Services will not know exact quantities of transfers or withdrawals until year of execution, due to the uncertainty of the procurement/delivery cycle of new equipment.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Aircraft | | | | | |
| CH-47F Improved Cargo Helicopter | C15172 | | +18 | | |
| Small Unmanned Aircraft System: Raven B | S83835 | | +1 | | |
| Aviation | | | | | |
| Radio Set: High Frequency AN/VRC-100 (V)1 | R81691 | | +2 | | |
| Warning Receiver System Counterterm | W55180 | | +7 | | |
| Battle Command (Command & Control) | | | | | |
| BTUH 60000 Enviromental Control Unit: HD-1240/G | B29108 | | +242 | | |
| Computer System: Digital AN/UYQ-90(V)2 | C18278 | | | | |
| Computer Set, Digital: AN/UYK-128 | C18378 | | +1,123 | | |
| Computer Set: Digital OI-604/TYQ | C18684 | | +132 | +17 | |
| Com ULLS-AE W/Ptr | C40745 | | +12 | | |
| Computer Set: Digital OI-603/TYQ | C78827 | | +39 | +10 | |
| Computer System: Digital AN/UYQ-90(V)3 | C78851 | | +74 | | |
| Generator Set: Diesel Engine Trailer PU-807A | G17528 | | +13 | | |
| Generator Set: DED Skid Mtd 3kW 60Hz | G18358 | | +1,284 | | |
| Generator Set: DED 15kW 50/60Hz: Skid-mtd | G49966 | | | +9 | |
| LTT Trailer-mtd: PU-2002 10kW 50/60Hz | L84622 | | +5 | | |
| Navigation Set: Satellite Signals AN/GSN-13 | N96180 | | | | |
| Power Supply: PP-6224/U | P40750 | | | | |
| Panel Power Distr: 60Hz 400-amp | P60558 | | +40 | | |
| Trailer-mtd: PU-2101 15kW 50/60Hz M200A1 | T40090 | | +24 | | |
| Trailer-mtd: PP-3106 60kW 50/60Hz 2M200A1 | T93232 | | +1 | | |
| Army Human Resources Workstation (AHRW) | Z39781 | | +28 | | |
| Battlespace Awareness | | | | | |
| Digital Topographic System: AN/TYQ-67(V) | D10281 | | +4 | | |
| Detecting System Countermeasures: AN/MLQ-40(V)4 | D04182 | | | +4 | |
| Data Analysis Central: AN/MSW-24 | D77801 | | +2 | | |
| Distributed Common Ground System: Army Portable MFWS AN/TSQ-256(V)2 | Z01377 | | +7 | | |
| Battle Command Transport Networks | | | | | |
| Battalion Command Post Switching Group | B67234 | | +3 | | |
| Computer System Digital: AN/PYQ-10(C) | C05002 | | +73 | +136 | |
| Cryptographic Speech Equipment: MTU TSEC/KY 100 Airterm | C52700 | | | +81 | |
| Receive Suite: AN/TSR-8 | R30658 | | | | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-89F(C) | R44999 | | +98 | +124 | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-92F(C) | R45543 | | +649 | +16 | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Radio Set | R55336 | | +65 | +73 | |
| Radio Set: AN/PSC-5 | R57606 | | +132 | +42 | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-88F(C) | R67330 | | +11 | | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-90F(C) | R68044 | | +2,579 | +724 | |
| Radio Set: AN/VRC-91F(C) | R68146 | | +124 | +41 | |
| Radio Set: AN/PRC-119F(C) | R83141 | | +1 | | |
| Satellite Communication System: AN/TSC-156 | S23268 | | +1 | | |
| Satellite Communication Terminal: AN/TSC-154 | T81733 | | +2 | +1 | |
| Radio Set: Hand-held Radio | Z01320 | | +1,741 | | |
| Combat Mobility | | | | | |
| Detecting Set: Mine AN/PSS-14 | D03932 | | +112 | +21 | |
| Loader Skid Steer: Type III | L77215 | | +15 | +17 | |
| Mine Resistant Vehicle | M74226 | | +12 | | |
| Trailer Set Mine De | T05003 | | +5 | | |
| Field Logistics | | | | | |
| Containerized Batch Laundry | C28019 | | +5 | | |
| Diagnostic Test Set | D12196 | | | +1 | |
| Fuel System Supply Point: FSSP Type 4 300K | F04966 | | +2 | | |
| Conveyor Belt: Portable Driving Unit Elec | F06972 | | +5 | | |
| Electronic Shop Shelter-mtd Avionics: AN/ASM-146 | H01907 | | +59 | +8 | |
| Electronic Shop Shelter-mtd Avionics: AN/ASM-147 | H01912 | | +1 | | |
| Hydraulic System Test and Repair Unit (MX3) | H05002 | | +23 | | |
| Hoseline Outfit Fuel Handling: 4-in Diameter Hose | K54707 | | +14 | | |
| Laundry Advanced System (LADS): Trailer-mtd | L70538 | | +7 | | |
| Mobile Integrated Remains: Collection System | M57970 | | +8 | | |
| Rough Terrain Container Handler (RTCH): Kalmar RT240 | R16611 | | +28 | | |
| Food Sanitation Center | S33399 | | +36 | +9 | |
| Load Handling System (LHS) Compatible, 2000-gal Water Tank Rack (HIPPO) | T32629 | | +8 | | |
| Truck Tractor: Yard 46000 GVW 4X2 | T60353 | | +10 | | |
| Tophandler Attachment: 20-ft IC Freight Container MIL-T-52951 ME | T67595 | | +1 | | |
| Truck Lift: Fork Variable Reach Rough Terrain | T73347 | | +64 | +2 | |
| Test Set Radio Frequency Power: AN/USM-491 | T89944 | | +1 | | |
| Tank Fabric Collapsible: Water 3000-gal | V15018 | | +6 | | |
| Tank Unit Liquid Dispensing Trailer Mounting | V19950 | | +2 | | |
| Water Purification: Reverse Osmosis 3000-gph Trailer-mtd | W47225 | | +8 | | |
| Trailer Tank: Water 400-gal 1.5-ton 2-wheel W/E | W98825 | | +1 | +8 | |
| Voltmeter Digital: AN/GSM-64 | Y14526 | | +6 | | |
| Force Protection | | | | | |
| Joint Chemical Agent: Detector | J00697 | | +19 | +11 | |
| Mask Chemical-Biological Joint Service General Purpose: Field M50 | M12986 | | +129 | | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|--|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| NBC Reconnaissance Vehicle (NBCRV) | N96543 | | +31 | | |
| Radiac Set: AN/PDR-75A | R30925 | | +226 | +23 | |
| General Engineering | | | | | |
| Comp Unit Rty: Air Trailer-mtd DED 250-cfm 100-psi | E72804 | | +2 | | |
| Motorized Grader | M05001 | | | +9 | |
| Mixer Concrete Module: PLS 2600-gal | M81382 | | +2 | | |
| Roller Vibratory: Self-propelled High-impact Single Drum | S12916 | | +3 | +2 | |
| Scraper Elevating: Self-propelled 8-11 cu yd Non-Sectionalized | S29971 | | +1 | | |
| Tractor FT High-speed: Deployable Lt Engineer (DEUCE) | T76541 | | +3 | | |
| Tractor FT Low-speed: DSL Med Dbp w/Buldoz w/Scarif Winch | W76816 | | | +10 | |
| Tractor FT Low-speed: T-5 Type II w/Ripper | Z01433 | | +4 | | |
| Maneuver Systems | | | | | |
| Drivers Enhancers: AN/VAS-5 | D41659 | | +651 | | |
| Medical Field Systems | | | | | |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/TYQ-107(V)1 | C18277 | | +53 | | |
| Computer Set: Digital AN/TYQ-106(V)1 | C18345 | | +222 | +2 | |
| Computer System: Digital AN/TYQ-108(V)1 | C41358 | | +9 | | |
| Monitor Patient Vital Signs | M66626 | | +229 | | |
| Soldier Systems | | | | | |
| Night Vision Goggle | N05482 | | +7,445 | +400 | |
| Sight Bore Optical: M150 | S45729 | | +6,675 | +680 | |
| Medium Weapon Thermal Sight: AN/PAS-13(V)2 | S90535 | | +2,886 | +47 | |
| Soldier Weapons | | | | | |
| Machine Gun: Light 5.56mm M249 | M39263 | | +47 | +19 | |
| Launcher Grenade: M320A1 | L69080 | | +1,757 | | |
| Pistol 9mm: M11 | P47365 | | +20 | | |
| Strike | | | | | |
| Computer Set: AN/GYG-1(V)3 | C18004 | | +1 | | |
| Computer Set Field Artillery General: AN/GYK-47(V)5 | F55607 | | +1 | | |
| Support Systems | | | | | |
| Platform: Container Roll-in/Roll-out | B83002 | | +2,801 | | |
| Tractor Wheeled Warehouse: Gas/Diesel 4000-lb. | W89557 | | +3 | | |
| Trailers | | | | | |
| Semitrailer Tank: 5000-gal Bulk Haul Self-Load/Unload W/E | S10059 | | +3 | +2 | |
| Semitrailer Low Bed: 25-ton 4-wheel W/E | S70517 | | | +1 | |
| Semitrailer Low Bed: 40-ton 6-wheel W/E | S70594 | | | | |
| Semitrailer Low Bed: 70-ton Heavy Equipment Transporter (HET) | S70859 | | | +18 | |
| Semitrailer Tank: Petroleum 7500-gal Bulk Haul | S73119 | | +33 | +19 | |
| Trailer: Palletized Loading 8X20 | T93761 | | +59 | | |
| Trailer Cargo: MTW W/Dropsides M1095 | T95555 | | +135 | +48 | |
| Trailer Cargo: High Mobility 1-1/4 ton | T95924 | | +108 | | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Trailer Flatbed: 5-ton 4-wheel General Purpose | T96883 | | | | |
| Trailer Cargo: 1.5-ton 2-wheel W/E | W95811 | | +4 | | |
| Trucks | | | | | |
| Armored Security Vehicle: Wheeled w/Mount | A93374 | | +30 | | |
| Truck Utility: ECV Armament Carrier w/IAP Armor-ready M1151A1 | T34704 | | +168 | +125 | |
| Truck Utility Expanded Capacity Enhanced: M1152A1 | T37588 | | +52 | +75 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E W/W | T41135 | | | +2 | |
| Truck Van: Expansible MTV W/E M1087A1 | T41271 | | +13 | | |
| Truck Cargo: 5-ton WO/Winch | T41515 | | +274 | +1 | |
| Truck Cargo: 2.5-ton 4X4 LMTV W/E W/W LAPES/AD | T42063 | | +8 | | |
| Truck Palletized (LHS): M1120A4 | T55054 | | +317 | +17 | |
| Truck Utility Expanded Capacity Enhanced 4X4: M1165A1 | T56383 | | +159 | +4 | |
| Truck Tank: WO/Winch | T58318 | | +17 | +3 | |
| Truck Cargo: M985A4 | T59380 | | +20 | | |
| Truck Cargo: WO/Winch | T59448 | | +251 | +33 | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E | T60081 | | +13 | | |
| Truck Utility: Cargo/Troop Carrier 1-1/4 ton 4X4 W/E (HMMWV) | T61494 | | +108 | | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV LWB W/E | T61704 | | | +2 | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E | T61908 | | +8 | | |
| Truck Wrecker: Tactical 8X8 Heavy Expanded Mobility W/W | T63093 | | +1 | | |
| Truck Wrecker: M984A4 | T63161 | | +72 | | |
| Truck Dump: MTV W/E | T64911 | | | +2 | |
| Truck Dump: MTV W/E W/W | T64979 | | | | |
| Truck Dump: 10-ton WO/Winch | T65342 | | | +22 | |
| Truck: Palletized Loading | T81874 | | +23 | | |
| Truck Tractor: WO/Winch | T88983 | | +4 | | |
| Truck Wrecker: MTV W/E W/W | T94709 | | +10 | | |
| Truck Cargo: Tactical 8X8 Heavy Expanded Mobility w/LHS | T96496 | | | +1 | |

USAR

Table 6

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

NOTE: This table compares planned Service procurements and transfers to the RC in FY 2011 with actual procurements and transfers. FY 2011 is selected as these are the most recent funds to expire. Because the procurement cycle is normally one to two years from funding to delivery, this table identifies only deliveries through the end of FY 2013. Procurement and NGREA columns reflect cost values in dollars.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| <u>FY 2011 Planned Transfers & Withdrawals</u> | | | | | | | |
| <i>USAR indicated no planned transfers or withdrawals in the FY 2011 NGRER.</i> | | | | | | | |
| <u>FY 2011 P-1R Equipment</u> | | | | | | | |
| Modification of Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| Utility/Cargo Airplane modifications | | | | \$5,078,000 | \$7,000,000 | | |
| Utility Helicopter modifications | | | | 12,000,000 | 6,050,000 | | |
| Global Air Traffic Management (GATM) Rollup | | | | 2,220,000 | 700,000 | | |
| Modification of Tracked Combat Vehicles | | | | | | | |
| Joint Assault Bridge modifications | | | | 6,434,000 | 0 | | |
| Weapons & Other Combat Vehicles | | | | | | | |
| Machine Gun, M240 Medium (7.62mm) | | | | 10,488,000 | 0 | | |
| Machine Gun, .50 cal M2 Roll | | | | 8,123,000 | 8,100,000 | | |
| MK-19 Grenade Machine Gun (40mm) | | | | 2,022,000 | 2,022,000 | | |
| XM320 Grenade Launcher Module (GLM) | | | | 343,000 | 300,000 | | |
| M4 Carbine | | | | 3,207,000 | 3,200,000 | | |
| Shotgun, Modular Accessory System (MASS) | | | | 96,000 | 55,000 | | |
| M4 Carbine modifications | | | | 111,000 | 0 | | |
| M16 Rifle modifications | | | | 294,000 | 0 | | |
| Tactical Vehicles | | | | | | | |
| Tactical Trailers/Dolly Sets | | | | 4,908,000 | 0 | | |
| Semitrailers, Flatbed | | | | 10,854,000 | 0 | | |
| Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles (FMTV) | | | | 208,426,000 | 208,426,000 | | |
| Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles (FHTV) | | | | 208,277,000 | 188,600,000 | | |
| Palletized Load System (PLS) Extended Svc Program (ESP) | | | | 10,127,000 | 5,700,000 | | |
| Armored Security Vehicles (ASV) | | | | 18,585,000 | 5,600,000 | | |
| Mine Protection Vehicle Family | | | | 57,751,000 | 57,751,000 | | |
| Truck, Tractor, Line Haul, M915/M916 | | | | 24,210,000 | 22,900,000 | | |
| Heavy Expanded Mobile Tactical Truck (HEMTT) ESP | | | | 23,458,000 | 23,458,000 | | |
| HMMWV Recapitalization Program | | | | 465,646,000 | 0 | | |
| Joint Communications | | | | | | | |
| WIN-T Ground Forces Tactical Network | | | | 24,000,000 | 24,000,000 | | |
| Satellite Communications | | | | | | | |
| NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (Space) | | | | 16,550,000 | 0 | | |

USAR

Table 6

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| Secure Mobile Anti-Jam Reliable Tactical Terminal (SMART-T) (Space) | | | | 92,000 | 0 | | |
| Global Broadcast Service (GBS) | | | | 803,000 | 0 | | |
| Mod of In-service Equipment (Tactical Satellite) | | | | 50,000 | 0 | | |
| Combat Communications | | | | | | | |
| Army Materiel Command (AMC) Critical Items (OPA2) | | | | 1,973,000 | 1,973,000 | | |
| Communications-Electronics Equipment Fielding | | | | 1,452,000 | 1,452,000 | | |
| SPIDER Anti-personnel Landmine Alternative (APLA) Remote Control Unit | | | | 561,000 | 300,000 | | |
| Medical Communications for Combat Casualty Care (MC4) | | | | 11,686,000 | 11,600,000 | | |
| Communications Information Security | | | | | | | |
| Telecommunications Security (TSEC) - Army Key Management System (AKMS) | | | | 2,390,000 | 2,390,000 | | |
| Information Systems Security Program (ISSP) | | | | 1,408,000 | 0 | | |
| Electrical Equipment - Tactical Intelligence | | | | | | | |
| Distributed Common Ground System - Army (DCGS-A) (MIP) | | | | 537,000 | 0 | | |
| Counterintel (CI) and Human Intel (HUMINT) Automated Reporting and Collection System (CHARCS) (MIP) | | | | 1,174,000 | 1,174,000 | | |
| Electrical Equipment - Tactical Surveillance | | | | | | | |
| Night Vision Devices | | | | 10,020,000 | 10,020,000 | | |
| Night Vision, Thermal Weapon Sight | | | | 706,000 | 706,000 | | |
| Force XXI Battle Command Brigade & Below (FBCB2) | | | | 2,550,000 | 0 | | |
| Electrical Equipment - Tactical Command & Control (C2) Systems | | | | | | | |
| Tactical Operations Centers | | | | 3,728,000 | 3,728,000 | | |
| Battle Command Sustainment Support System (BCS3) | | | | 5,823,000 | 5,823,000 | | |
| Air & Missile Defense Planning & Control System (AMDPCS) | | | | 2,314,000 | 4,062,000 | | |
| Transportation Coordinators-Automated Information for Movement System II (TC-AIMS II) | | | | 2,155,000 | 2,155,000 | | |
| Maneuver Control System (MCS) | | | | 410,000 | 19,300,000 | | |
| Single Army Logistics Enterprise (SALE) | | | | 6,159,000 | 6,159,000 | | |
| Reconnaissance and Surveying Instrument Set | | | | 4,291,000 | 4,291,000 | | |
| Electrical Equipment - Automation | | | | | | | |
| Combat Service Support (CSS) Communications | | | | 6,371,000 | 6,200,000 | | |
| Electrical Equipment - Audio Visual Systems | | | | | | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Surveying Equipment) | | | | 954,000 | 954,000 | | |
| Chemical Defensive Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Family of Non-lethal Equipment (FNLE) | | | | 1,873,000 | 1,873,000 | | |
| CBRN Soldier Protection | | | | 92,734,000 | 34,100,000 | | |
| Bridging Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Tactical Bridging | | | | 29,317,000 | 4,200,000 | | |
| Tactical Bridge, Float-Ribbon | | | | 40,308,000 | 2,918,000 | | |

USAR

Table 6

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| Engineer (Non-Construction) Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Handheld Standoff Mine Detection System (HSTAMIDS) | | | | 17,532,000 | 17,434,000 | | |
| Ground Standoff Minefield Detection System (GSTAMIDS) | | | | 8,421,000 | 8,371,000 | | |
| Combat Service Support Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Heaters and Environmental Control Units (ECUs) | | | | 3,219,000 | 3,219,000 | | |
| Field Feeding Equipment | | | | 9,164,000 | 9,600,000 | | |
| Cargo Aerial Delivery & Personnel Parachute System | | | | 4,277,000 | 4,300,000 | | |
| Mobile Integrated Remains Collection System | | | | 19,087,000 | 26,400,000 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Engineer Support) | | | | 3,156,000 | 3,156,000 | | |
| Petroleum & Water Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Distribution Systems, Petroleum & Water | | | | 47,429,000 | 44,600,000 | | |
| Water Purification Systems | | | | 1,283,000 | 0 | | |
| Medical Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Combat Support Medical | | | | 13,085,000 | 12,914,000 | | |
| Maintenance Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Mobile Maintenance Equipment Systems | | | | 34,274,000 | 29,300,000 | | |
| Construction Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Skid Steer Loader (SSL) Family of Systems (FOS) | | | | 6,676,000 | 6,600,000 | | |
| Mission Modules - Engineering | | | | 10,830,000 | 5,900,000 | | |
| Hydraulic Excavator | | | | 5,919,000 | 5,919,000 | | |
| Tractor, Full Tracked | | | | 4,224,000 | 4,224,000 | | |
| High Mobility Engineer Excavator (HMEE) FOS | | | | 1,112,000 | 1,112,000 | | |
| Construction Equipment ESP | | | | 4,761,000 | 4,699,000 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Construction Equipment) | | | | 2,369,000 | 1,988,000 | | |
| Rail Float Containerization Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Harbormaster Command and Control Center (HCCC) | | | | 9,112,000 | 9,112,000 | | |
| Generators | | | | | | | |
| Generators and Associated Equipment | | | | 37,379,000 | 37,379,000 | | |
| Material Handling Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Rough Terrain Container Handler (RTCH) | | | | 21,208,000 | 21,208,000 | | |
| All Terrain Lifting Army System (ATLAS) | | | | 16,685,000 | 16,587,000 | | |
| Test, Measurement, and Diagnostic Equipment (TMDE) | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Family of Test Equipment (IFTE) | | | | 7,160,000 | 7,160,000 | | |
| Test Equipment Modernization (TEMOD) | | | | 724,000 | 724,000 | | |
| Modification of Other Support Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Modification of In-service Equipment (OPA3) | | | | 27,622,000 | 34,000,000 | | |
| FY 2011 NGREA Equipment¹ | | | | | | | |
| Simulators, Collective and Individual | | | | | | \$35,550,000 | \$18,708,774 |
| Command Post Systems | | | | | | 22,100,000 | 21,510,001 |

USAR

Table 6

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| Engineer Equipment | | | | | | 19,151,022 | 35,318,347 |
| Material Handling Equipment (MHE) | | | | | | 17,099,600 | 16,989,820 |
| Heavy Tactical Vehicles | | | | | | 15,350,000 | 15,135,069 |
| Medium Tactical Vehicles | | | | | | 15,077,950 | 14,890,774 |
| Civil Affairs & Military Information Support Operations (MISO) equipment | | | | | | 7,786,123 | 7,229,759 |
| Test and Diagnostic Equipment | | | | | | 4,287,280 | 4,287,280 |
| Power Generation and Distribution Systems | | | | | | 1,977,351 | 1,959,780 |
| Medium Tactical Vehicles Trailers | | | | | | 1,619,200 | 1,543,922 |
| Total | | | | \$1,671,755,000 | \$1,005,146,000 | \$139,998,526 | \$137,573,526 |
| 1. A decrement of \$2,425,000 was applied to USAR FY 2011 NGREA due to FY 2013 sequestration reduction allocation. | | | | | | | |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

NOTE: This table identifies equipment authorized by the Service to be used as a substitute for a primary item of equipment. The table also identifies whether or not the item is deployable in wartime. This data meets the Title 10 requirement to identify equipment that is not the most desired equipment item.

| Required Item Nomenclature ¹ | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|--|---------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| Aircraft | | | | | | |
| Airplane Cargo-Transport: C-12F * | A30062 | Airplane Cargo Transport: C-12D | A29812 | 4 | X | |
| Airplane Cargo-Transport: C-12F * | A30062 | Airplane, Cargo Transport | BA108Q | 6 | X | |
| Airplane Cargo-Transport: C-12F * | A30062 | Airplane, Utility | BA1000 | 12 | X | |
| MEDEVAC Helicopter: HH-60L * | U84291 | Helicopter Utility: UH-60L | H32361 | 4 | X | |
| Aviation | | | | | | |
| Detecting Set, Laser AN/AVR-2B(V)1 | L60482 | Laser Detecting Set: AN/AVR-2A(V)1 | L60414 | 24 | X | |
| Battle Command (Command & Control) | | | | | | |
| Gen Set: DED TM PU-807A | G17528 | Gen Set: 100kW 60Hz mtd on M353 PU-495 | J35801 | 68 | X | |
| Gen Set: DED 3kW 60Hz Skid-mtd * | G18358 | Gen Set: 5kW 60Hz mtd on M116A2 | G42238 | 21 | X | |
| Gen Set: DED 3kW 60Hz Skid-mtd * | G18358 | Gen Set: DED 60Hz AC MEP-531A | G36237 | 138 | X | |
| Gen Set: DED 3kW 60Hz Skid-mtd * | G18358 | Gen Set: DED 5kW 60Hz Skid-mtd | G11966 | 121 | X | |
| Power Supply: PP-6224/U * | P40750 | Power Supply: PP-2953/U | P38588 | 161 | X | |
| Battle Command Transport Networks | | | | | | |
| Crypto Equipment: MTU TSEC/KY-100 * | C52700 | Speech Security Equip 28V RED: TSEC/KY-58 | S01441 | 5 | X | |
| Combat Mobility | | | | | | |
| Mine Detecting Set: AN/PSS-14 | D03932 | MINE Detecting Set: AN/PSS-11 | G02341 | 651 | X | |
| Field Logistics | | | | | | |
| Elec Shelter Mtd Avionics: AN/ASM-146 * | H01907 | Electronic Shop Trailer-mtd: AN/ASM-189 | H01855 | 34 | X | |
| Elec Shelter Mtd Avionics: AN/ASM-147 * | H01912 | Electronic Shop Trailer-mtd: AN/ASM-190 | H01857 | 6 | X | |
| Truck Lift Fork: Variable Reach RT * | T73347 | Truck Lift Fork: 6K-lb Variable Reach RT | T48944 | 3 | X | |
| Truck Lift Fork: Variable Reach RT * | T73347 | Truck Lift Fork: 10K-lb Cap 48-in RT | T49119 | 13 | X | |
| Force Protection | | | | | | |
| Mask Chem-Bio Joint Service: M50 | M12986 | Mask Chemical Biological: M40 | M12418 | 8,294 | X | |
| Mask Chem-Bio Joint Service General Purpose: M51 | M13236 | Mask Chemical Biological: M42 | M18526 | 24 | X | |
| General Engineering | | | | | | |
| Scraper Elevating: 8-11 cu yd * | S29971 | Scraper Elevating: 9-11 cu yd | S30039 | 9 | X | |
| Tractor Full Tracked: w/Buldoz - Scarif Winch * | W76816 | Tractor Full Tracked Low-speed: T9 | T05015 | 6 | X | |
| Soldier Systems | | | | | | |
| Night Vision Goggle, PVS-7 * | N05482 | Monocular Night Vision Dev: AN/PVS-14 | M79678 | 49,426 | X | |
| Medium Weapon Thermal Sight: AN/PAS-13(V)2 * | S90535 | Night Vision Sight CSW: AN/TVS-5 | N04596 | 24 | X | |
| Soldier Weapons | | | | | | |
| Machine Gun 5.56mm: Light M249 | M39263 | Machine Gun 5.56mm: M249 | M09009 | 814 | X | |
| Rifle 5 56mm: M4 * | R97234 | Rifle 5.56mm: M16A2 | R95035 | 1,709 | X | |
| Rifle 5 56mm: M4 * | R97234 | Rifle 5.56mm: M16A4 | R97175 | 338 | X | |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

| Required Item Nomenclature ¹ | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|--|---------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| Support Systems | | | | | | |
| Platform: Container Roll-In/Roll-Out * | B83002 | Flatrack: Palletized Loading | F12581 | 588 | X | |
| Container Handling: HEMTT * | C84930 | Container Handling | C27294 | 8 | X | |
| Trailers | | | | | | |
| Semitrailer Tank: 5000G Bulk Haul * | S10059 | Semitrailer Tank: 5000G Fuel Dispensing | S73372 | 54 | X | |
| Trailer Cargo: High Mobility 1-1/4 ton * | T95924 | Light Tactical Trailer: 3/4 ton | T95992 | 291 | X | |
| Trailer Cargo: 1-1/2 ton 2-Wheel W/E * | W95811 | Trailer Flatbed: M1082 LMTV W/Dropsides | T96564 | 19 | X | |
| Trucks | | | | | | |
| Truck Utility: Heavy Variant 10000 GVW * | T07679 | Truck Utility: M1152A1 | T37588 | 53 | X | |
| Truck Utility: Armor Ready M1151A1 * | T34704 | Truck Utility: Up Armored HMMWV | T92446 | 18 | X | |
| Truck Utility: M1152A1 * | T37588 | Truck Utility: M1152 | T11588 | 28 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: Heavy PLS 15-16.5T 10X10 * | T40999 | Truck Cargo: Heavy PLS 15-16.5T 10X10 | T41067 | 48 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: Heavy PLS 15-16.5T 10X10 * | T40999 | Truck: PLS | T81874 | 292 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E W/W * | T41135 | Truck Cargo: MTV W/E | T61908 | 74 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E W/W * | T41135 | Truck Cargo: MTV LWB W/E W/W | T61772 | 1 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E W/W * | T41135 | Truck Cargo: Dropside 5-ton 6X6 W/E | X40794 | 47 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E W/W * | T41135 | Truck Cargo: 5-ton W/W | T41447 | 22 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E W/W * | T41135 | Truck Cargo: Dropside 5-ton 6X6 | X40931 | 89 | X | |
| Truck Van: Expansible MTV M1087A1 * | T41271 | Truck: Expandable Van wo/Winch | T67136 | 105 | X | |
| Truck Van: Expansible MTV M1087A1 * | T41271 | Truck Van: Expansible 5-ton 6X6 | X62237 | 32 | X | |
| Truck Tractor: Heavy Equip Transport * | T59048 | Truck Tractor: XM1070A1 | T05012 | 90 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: wo/Winch * | T59448 | Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E W/W | T60149 | 1 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: wo/Winch * | T59448 | Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E | T60081 | 17 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E * | T60081 | Truck Cargo: Dropside 5-ton 6X6 W/E | X40794 | 97 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E * | T60081 | Truck Cargo: 2 1/2 ton 4X4 LMTV | T41995 | 6 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E * | T60081 | Truck Cargo: 2.5-ton W/W | T42131 | 45 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E * | T60081 | Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E W/W | T60149 | 63 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E * | T60081 | Truck Cargo: Dropside 5-ton 6X6 | X40931 | 38 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E * | T60081 | Truck Cargo: wo/Winch | T59448 | 911 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E W/W * | T60149 | Truck Cargo: 2.5-ton W/W | T42131 | 53 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E W/W * | T60149 | Truck Cargo: wo/Winch | T59448 | 48 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E W/W * | T60149 | Truck Cargo: Dropside 5-ton 6X6 | X40931 | 21 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E W/W * | T60149 | Truck Cargo: 4X4 LMTV W/E | T60081 | 42 | X | |
| Truck Tractor: MTV W/E | T61239 | Truck Tractor: 5-ton 6X6 W/E | X59326 | 293 | X | |
| Truck Tractor: MTV W/E * | T61239 | Truck Tractor: wo/Winch | T88983 | 323 | X | |
| Truck Tractor: MTV W/E * | T61239 | Truck Tractor: 5-ton 6X6 W/W W/E | X59463 | 36 | X | |
| Truck Tractor: MTV W/E * | T61239 | Truck Tractor: MTV W/E W/W | T61307 | 7 | X | |
| Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV * | T61494 | Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV | T61562 | 36 | X | |
| Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV * | T61494 | Truck Utility: HMMWV 10000 GVW | T07679 | 6,697 | X | |
| Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV * | T61494 | Truck Utility: M1165 | T38873 | 13 | X | |
| Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV * | T61494 | Truck Utility: M1152A1 | T37588 | 685 | X | |
| Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV * | T61494 | Truck Utility: M1152 | T11588 | 18 | X | |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

| Required Item Nomenclature ¹ | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|---|---------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV * | T61494 | Truck Utility: M1165A1 | T56383 | 1,351 | X | |
| Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV * | T61562 | Truck Utility: M1152A1 | T37588 | 31 | X | |
| Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV * | T61562 | Truck Utility: M1165A1 | T56383 | 2 | X | |
| Truck Utility: 1-1/4 ton HMMWV * | T61562 | Truck Utility: Heavy Variant 10000 GVW | T07679 | 37 | X | |
| Truck Utility: HMMWV M1113 * | T61630 | Truck Utility: Heavy Variant 10000 GVW | T07679 | 101 | X | |
| Truck Utility: HMMWV M1113 * | T61630 | Truck Utility: M1152 | T11588 | 1 | X | |
| Truck Utility: HMMWV M1113 * | T61630 | Truck Utility: M1152A1 | T37588 | 265 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV LWB W/E * | T61704 | Truck Cargo: LWB wo/Winch | T93271 | 97 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E * | T61908 | Truck Cargo: Dropside 5-ton 6X6 W/E | X40794 | 470 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E * | T61908 | Truck Cargo: Dropside 5-ton 6X6 | X40931 | 49 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E * | T61908 | Truck Cargo: 5-ton W/W | T41447 | 5 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E * | T61908 | Truck Cargo: MTV W/E W/W | T41135 | 6 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: MTV W/E * | T61908 | Truck Cargo: 5-ton wo/Winch | T41515 | 1,350 | X | |
| Truck Wrecker: 8X8 Heavy Mobility * | T63093 | Truck Wrecker: M984A4 | T63161 | 113 | X | |
| Truck Dump: MTV W/E * | T64911 | Truck Dump: 5-ton 6X6 W/W W/E | X43845 | 21 | X | |
| Truck Dump: MTV W/E * | T64911 | Truck Dump: 5-ton 6X6 W/E | X43708 | 160 | X | |
| Truck Dump: MTV W/E * | T64911 | Truck Dump: 10 ton wo/Winch | T65342 | 144 | X | |
| Truck Dump: MTV W/E W/W * | T64979 | Truck Dump: 5-ton 6X6 W/E | X43708 | 27 | X | |
| Truck Dump: MTV W/E W/W * | T64979 | Truck Dump: 5-ton 6X6 W/W W/E | X43845 | 8 | X | |
| Truck Dump: MTV W/E W/W * | T64979 | Truck Dump: MTV W/E | T64911 | 5 | X | |
| Truck Tank: Fuel Servicing 2500G * | T87243 | Truck Tank: wo/Winch | T58318 | 100 | X | |
| Truck Tank: Fuel Servicing 2500G 8X8 * | T87243 | Truck Tank: Fuel Servicing 2500G 8X8 | T58161 | 22 | X | |
| Truck Tractor: wo/Winch * | T88983 | Truck Tractor: 5-ton 6X6 W/E | X59326 | 13 | X | |
| Truck Tractor: LET 6X6 66000 GVW * | T91656 | Truck Tractor: LET | T60946 | 6 | X | |
| Truck Utility: Armored HMMWV * | T92242 | Truck Utility: Armored HMMWV | T92310 | 1 | X | |
| Truck Utility: Armored HMMWV * | T92310 | Truck Utility: Armor Ready M1151A1 | T34704 | 4 | X | |
| Truck Utility: Armored HMMWV * | T92310 | Truck Utility: Armored HMMWV | T92242 | 54 | X | |
| Truck Utility: Up Armored HMMWV * | T92446 | Truck Utility: Armor Ready M1151A1 | T34704 | 1,833 | X | |
| Truck Van: LMTV W/E * | T93484 | Truck Van: M1079A1P2 wo/Winch | T62359 | 116 | X | |
| Truck Wrecker: MTV W/E W/W * | T94709 | Truck Wrecker: 5-ton 6X6 W/W W/E | X63299 | 69 | X | |
| Truck Wrecker: MTV W/E W/W * | T94709 | Truck Wrecker: | T94671 | 17 | X | |
| Truck Cargo: Tactical 8X8 LHS * | T96496 | Truck Palletized (LHS): M1120A4 | T55054 | 499 | X | |

1. "*" indicates a Critical Dual Use (CDU) equipment item

Significant Major Item Shortages

NOTE: This table provides a RC top ten prioritized (PR) shortage list for major equipment items required for wartime missions but which are currently not funded in the FYDP. It lists the total quantity required, the total unfunded requirement, the individual item cost, and the cost of the unfunded portion. This data is consistent with other unfunded equipment data submitted by the Service.

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|--|-------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------|--|
| 1 | Tactical Light Truck (Armor-capable HMMWV) | 18,738 | 11,912 | \$185,373 | \$2,208,163,176 | 37% of the fleet is projected to meet HMMWV armor-capable requirements by FY 2017. The HMMWV Recapitalization program terminated in FY 2010. Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV) distribution is not scheduled until FY 2022. |
| 2 | Combat Mobility | 8,517 | 2,880 | \$106,259 | \$306,025,920 | Army Reserve route clearance companies are the basis for the majority of equipment shortages. |
| 3 | Field Logistics (Liquid Logistics / Material Handling) | 9,434 | 2,302 | \$77,867 | \$179,250,419 | The Army Reserve provides over 50% of the logistics capability footprint for the Army. Modernization shortages exist for liquid logistics, maintenance, and material handling systems. |
| 4 | Medical Field Systems | 19,200 | 4,143 | \$66,344 | \$274,863,192 | Due to rapid changes in medical technology and projected sustainment costs, only 4 of 16 required modern medical equipment sets are fielded and centrally managed for Army Reserve Combat Support Hospitals. Thirty medical triage training sets are required to maintain proficiency. |
| 5 | Tactical Heavy Truck (Armor-capable) | 4,807 | 1,931 | \$239,645 | \$462,754,495 | USAR will field 60% of the total heavy fleet armor-capable requirements by FY 2017. However, only 43% of the M915 line haul capability will be armor-capable. |
| 6 | Battle Command Systems | 80,002 | 10,969 | \$16,976 | \$186,209,744 | Budget decrements have pushed fielding of key modern network enablers and applications to FY 2017 or beyond. |
| 7 | Tactical Medium Truck (Armor-capable) | 4,976 | 1,911 | \$206,785 | \$395,166,135 | Medium Tactical Vehicle (MTV) programs are fully funded based on projected deliveries. USAR will exceed 90% of armor-capable requirements by FY 2017. |
| 8 | Battle Command Transport Networks | 99,958 | 31,069 | \$9,547 | \$296,615,743 | The shortage cost is primarily a modernization issue. The Army Reserve is only 33% modernized in Battle Command Transport Networks. |
| 9 | Aviation Support Systems | 3,947 | 991 | \$152,457 | \$151,084,887 | Shortages are primarily associated with the conversion of two helicopter battalions from AH-64 attack to UH-60 assault units. |
| 10 | Force Protection | 214,555 | 142,025 | \$1,662 | \$236,045,550 | The Nuclear-Biological-Chemical Reconnaissance Vehicle (NBCRV), a Stryker-based platform incorporating multiple detection systems, accounts for \$211M of the Force Protection shortfall. USAR is projected to fill 65% of the modern requirement in FY 2017. |

Chapter 3

United States Marine Corps Reserve

I. Marine Corps Overview

“Our nation has long recognized the need for a ready expeditionary force, one able to deter those who would do us harm, swiftly intervene when they act to do so, and fight to win where the security interests of our nation are threatened.”¹ No matter where they serve or what the mission, the Marine Corps continues to be the Nation’s Expeditionary Force in Readiness. Since the founding of our corps, Marines have been guided by corps values, honor, courage, and commitment. They continue to hold true to professional ethos deeply rooted in discipline, fidelity, and sacrifice. More than a decade of sustained combat operations has forged a force of courageous, compassionate leaders and warriors who understand that hard training and a willingness to sacrifice are what make our Corps one of the most capable fighting forces the world has ever known.

This past year, Marines have been actively engaged in every corner of the global security environment. The Marine Corps continued to meet operational commitments in Afghanistan, while simultaneously working with more than 90 allies and partners to train, to learn, and to build effective security institutions. In addition to forces committed to Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF), our Marine Expeditionary Units (MEUs), in partnership with Navy Amphibious Ready Groups (ARGs), continued to patrol regions of likely crisis. Other task-organized Marine Air Ground Task Forces (MAGTFs), operating from expeditionary locations, supported U.S. national security objectives through forward presence, deterrence, multinational theater security cooperation exercises, and building partner capacity. Marines have been active in every geographical combatant command, serving as a key component of the joint force. Even under fiscal restraint, we will continue to support these strategically important activities to the greatest extent possible.²

As Marine Corps commitments in Afghanistan decrease through 2014, routine participation in forward presence and crisis response missions will again become the primary focus of the Marine Corps Reserve.

First and foremost, Marine forces are amphibious in character and at their best when operating in close partnership with the United States Navy. To maximize flexibility, strategic agility, and responsiveness, the Marine Corps is optimized for rapid deployment, versatile employment, and self-sustainment via a MAGTF that consists of four core elements: a command element, a ground combat element, an aviation combat element, and a logistics combat element. MAGTFs are a potent and capable middleweight fighter filling the seam between the Nation’s heavy conventional force and its special operations force. Each MAGTF includes a Reserve capability that is designed to seamlessly integrate with the Active Component (AC) forces when needed. MAGTFs are light enough to arrive rapidly at the scene of a crisis yet heavy enough to carry the day and sustain themselves upon arrival.

¹ *The Posture of the United States Marine Corps*, April 2013, pg. 2.

² *The Posture of the United States Marine Corps*, April 2013, pg. 4.

“Operating in partnership with the Navy, MAGTFs create the strategic asymmetries that make the joint force so effective on the modern battlefield. Amphibious and expeditionary capabilities contribute to each of the ten mission areas of the joint force, and are directly responsive to the security demands articulated in the President’s *Defense Strategic Guidance for the 21st Century*.”³

A. Marine Corps Planning Guidance

1. Strategic Concept of the Marine Corps

We are committed to optimizing our force structure for crisis response and forward presence.

As we move into FY 2014 and beyond, our budget submission balances our force structure, our readiness, and our capability to meet national security commitments. A critical measure of the effectiveness of our Marine Corps is its readiness. Our readiness is preserved through a careful balance of high quality people, well-trained units, modernized equipment, well-maintained installations, and a force level sufficient to accomplish our many missions. Failure in any one of these pillars of readiness begins to set the conditions for an eventual hollowing of the force.⁴

We also understand the importance of tailoring our limited resources to our structure and, where necessary, “living with what is good enough.”

2. Marine Corps Total Force Concept

Within the Marine Corps, the AC and Reserve Component (RC) are integrated as a total force.

Reserve component units are similar to their Active Component counterparts in structure, capability, and equipment and they maintain the same fundamental individual and unit training standards. This enables them to conduct assigned missions in a manner commensurate to their active counterparts after appropriate pre-deployment training. The Reserve Component serves to augment and reinforce Active Component Marine Air Ground Task Forces (MAGTFs), but also maintains the capability to task-organize into MAGTFs composed entirely of Reserve units.⁵

The RC is purpose-built and able to provide the strategic depth and operational augmentation that make it a critical “shock absorber” for America’s Expeditionary Force in Readiness.

B. Marine Corps Equipping Policy

The Marine Corps develops a Total Force Approved Acquisition Objective (AAO) for each new item of equipment using an integrated system of dynamic processes. These processes capitalize on operational experience to identify, define, and meet the emerging needs of Marine forces in support of combatant commander (CCDR) requirements. The Marine Corps also uses a push-fulfillment process to sustain major end items. This materiel management approach ensures that equipment is sourced and aligned with the Commandant of the Marine Corps’ equipping

³ *The Posture of the United States Marine Corps*, April 2013, pg. 3.

⁴ *The Posture of the United States Marine Corps*, April 2013, pg. 14.

⁵ *Guidance for Integration of the Total Force*, November 2013, pg. 1, 2.

strategies. It also reduces latency in distribution and improves visibility and transparency of the materiel distribution process.

C. Plan to Fill Mobilization Shortages in the RC

Reserve units maintain equipment based upon the unit's Training Allowance (T/A), which is a portion of the warfighting equipment requirement set forth in the unit's Table of Organization and Equipment (TO&E). Marine Corps Systems Command (MARCORSYSCOM) procures equipment for the RC, with all equipment above the T/A maintained "in-stores" at Marine Corps Logistics Bases. In-theater assets, in conjunction with pre-positioned equipment, can be used to satisfy the resourcing of the delta of TO&E minus T/A for activated units. This methodology for "global sourcing" has been used effectively to satisfy both AC and RC unit equipment shortfalls.

D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment

Due to sequestration and budget cuts, the Marine Corps will be forced to reduce or cancel modernization programs and infrastructure investments while maintaining readiness for both AC and RC Marines. "Money that should be available for procuring new equipment will be rerouted to maintenance and spares for legacy equipment, including a 42-year-old Nixon-era amphibious assault vehicle."⁶ Though some funding will be rerouted to maintenance and spares for legacy equipment, maintaining this equipment over time will become more costly. These budget cuts will have a significant effect on the RC's ability to maintain its legacy equipment which in turn will have a negative effect on its training and overall readiness.

E. Plan to Achieve Full Compatibility between AC and RC

Concurrent horizontal fielding of new equipment to the AC and RC by MARCORSYSCOM maintains common and interchangeable capability sets within the Total Force. This fielding policy complements the "mirror-imaging" and push-fulfillment sustainment policies, both of which significantly contribute to optimizing equipment supportability and associated unit operational readiness.

⁶Commandant of the Marine Corps Testimony from House Armed Services Committee Hearing on "Planning for Sequestration in Fiscal Year 2014 and Perspectives of the Military Services on the Strategic Choices and Management Review", September 18, 2013.

II. Marine Corps Reserve Overview

A. Current Status of the Marine Corps Reserve

1. General Overview

The RC is an integral element of the Marine Corps Total Force. The RC shares the deployment and expeditionary culture that has dominated the Marine Corps ethos for more than two centuries.

Accordingly, Reserve units are organized, trained, and equipped in the same manner as their AC counterparts and are operationally interchangeable with them. All Marines stand ready to answer their Nation's call to arms.

Marine Reserve Forces continue to serve as a strong force multiplier of the total force, and are a high-payoff investment in capability. Since September 11, 2001, more than 60,000 Marine Reservists, from all across the United States, have participated in over 80,000 activations or mobilizations. Our Reserve Marines are uniquely well-positioned to seamlessly integrate with the AC, to reinforce our service priorities, and to provide a reservoir of capacity for future national emergencies. Our Reserve Marines are well-equipped and highly trained professionals, providing an essential shock absorber for the active component in the uncertain global environment.⁷

Marine Forces Reserve (MARFORRES) is capable of simultaneously fulfilling its role as both an operational and a strategic force. In the operational role, MARFORRES sources preplanned, rotational, and routine CCDR and Service requirements across the spectrum of military operations. In addition to supporting operations in Afghanistan, MARFORRES concurrently sources CCDR requirements, such as the Special Purpose–MAGTF Africa in support of United States Africa Command, the Black Sea Rotational Force in support of United States European Command, the Unit Deployment Program in support of United States Pacific Command, and the Southern Partnership Station in support of United States Southern Command. Additionally, MARFORRES continues to perform its strategic role with CCDR exercise involvement and focused readiness that coherently enables a rapid transition to operational roles in support of major contingency operations.

As the RC continues to implement Force Structure Review Group (FSRG) decisions, the transfer and maintenance of affected equipment remains an equipping and maintenance challenge for the force. Similarly, as additional capabilities are developed within the RC, the requirement to sustain the associated equipment also increases. FSRG transitions will take several more years to complete and will require continued attention to ensure the most efficient fielding, sustainment, and transfer of equipment.

The KC-130J has already been fielded to the AC Marine Corps, while the KC-130T will remain in service in the RC until beyond the year 2020, with the first RC KC-130J scheduled for delivery in 2014. These two aircraft are very different airframes, each having completely different logistical, maintenance, and aircrew requirements. The longer we maintain both airframes, the longer we have to invest in twice the logistics, maintenance training, and aircrew

Top RC Equipping Challenges

- Transition to the KC 130J Super Hercules
- Procurement of the RQ-21A Blackjack Small Tactical Unmanned Aircraft System (STUAS)

⁷ *The Posture of the United States Marine Corps*, April 2013, pg. 18.

training. The total cost to purchase all 28 RC KC-130J aircraft is more than \$2B. Currently, only five of the 28 airframes are programmed across the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP).

The RQ-21A will provide the Marine Expeditionary Force and subordinate commands (divisions and regiments) a dedicated intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance system capable of delivering intelligence products directly to the tactical commander in real time. This program is still in low rate initial production with AC procurement beginning in FY 2014. The RC is scheduled to receive the RQ-21A at the end of the current fielding plan but, falls outside of the FYDP. Lack of these systems creates a significant capability gap between RC and AC forces.

2. Status of Equipment

Reserve equipment inventory levels have increased over the past three years. This increase is primarily due to the fielding of new equipment to meet newly established unit capabilities. As the capability sets in the RC adapt to fulfill new roles in support of FSRG initiatives, so must the equipment. With the unique geographic dispersion of our Reserve units and their limited storage capacity, proper accountability of equipment and validation of the T/A is essential while maintaining overall readiness. MARFORRES will continue to meet the Commandant's first priority to provide the best trained and equipped Marine units while protecting the enduring health of the operational reserve. The RC has been able to ensure units augmenting and reinforcing the AC are as proficient as their AC counterparts. NGREA has been a vital resource in these efforts.

a. Equipment On-hand

The Marine Corps has maintained the RC's ability to train through its use of a T/A that is not routinely utilized to source operational requirements. The equipment on-hand, outlined in *Table 1*, reflects the items possessed by MARFORRES units beginning in FY 2015. This on-hand quantity does not reflect the (additional) equipment available to fill the delta between TO&E and the T/A through global sourcing to meet full wartime requirements. The majority of the \$893M (TO&E vs. T/A) delta is not a deficiency resulting from a lack of procurement funding; rather, it reflects T/A utilization and prioritization of fielding to meet operational requirements. Therefore, the items listed in *Table 8* reflect the programs that will directly enhance the RC's current training allowance.

b. Average Age of Major Items of Equipment

Table 2 provides the average age of selected major equipment items. The average age of RC equipment is consistent with the age of equipment in the AC. The majority of ground combat systems that are at the end of their life cycle have new equipment fielding already planned or have programs that will extend the life cycle of that equipment through upgrades and modifications.

c. Compatibility of Current Equipment with Active Component

Although complete compatibility is difficult to achieve due to high equipment demand for force generation, training support, and the application of funds against higher priority requirements, equipment compatibility between the AC and RC is closer than ever. Most existing cases where compatibility is lacking are the result of fiscal constraints that have delayed the RC fielding of

new equipment programs. The Marine Corps continues to use NGREA funding to accelerate the RC fielding of new equipment programs. The positive impact of NGREA on improving Total Force compatibility cannot be overstated.

d. Maintenance Issues

With minimal full-time active duty support and limited time to train reserve maintenance personnel, sustainment of the RC equipment sets has been accomplished through the use of Contracted Logistics Support (CLS) teams. The Logistics Mobile Maintenance Team, the Small Arms Repair Teams, and the Corrosion Prevention and Control Team have become an integral part to the sustainment of RC equipment during deployment. Costs associated with these teams were primarily funded through the use of supplemental funding associated with the repair of RC pre-deployment training equipment and weapons that were deployed in support of overseas contingency operations. As the force transitions back to its organic maintenance requirements, units must be able to perform required maintenance and operate within the limits of their Operation and Maintenance (O&M) funding. With supplemental funding and plus-ups coming to an end in FY 2014 and a reduction of funding across the DoD, funding for CLS will no longer be available. This may cause a downgrade in equipment readiness until maintenance facilities adjust schedules back to their pre-deployment levels.

e. Modernization Programs and Shortfalls

The Marine Corps modernization programs are designed to keep pace with the changing character of current and future operations. The RC uses various funding sources to execute these programs and fill equipment shortfalls.

- **Training and Simulators:** Marine Forces Reserve strives to incorporate the latest technological innovations to create cost-effective training and education opportunities for Reserve Marines, increasing their ability to perform at the same level as their AC counterparts. Utilizing FY 2011 through FY 2013 NGREA funding, the RC has been able to (or is programmed to) procure nearly \$102M worth of aircraft Flight Training Devices (FTDs). The addition of these FTDs and their linkage via the Aviation Virtual Training Environment will allow aircrews to conduct more sorties via the simulator/training device and train with other units and aircrews as a way to reduce costs in a resource-constrained environment. The Marine Corps continues to evaluate new training and simulation technologies to identify cost-effective training options.
- **Combat Equipment Modernization:** The Marine Corps' various combat equipment modernization programs are providing the RC with the latest generation of warfighting capabilities. These programs include: the Generation IV Abrams Ammo Racks and Tank Suspension upgrades, and the development of survivability upgrades to the Light Armored Vehicle (LAV). NGREA funding accounted for nearly \$20M in tank upgrades and nearly \$18M in LAV upgrades. The majority of the Marine Corps' combat equipment modernization programs are already in the fielding phase or within the final phase of acquisition.
- **Aviation Modernization:** The RC is also included in the Marine Corps Aviation Plan. During the current FYDP, Reserve squadrons will begin the transition from the KC-130T

to the KC-130J, from the CH-46E to the MV-22B, and from the UH-1N to the UH-1Y, subject to available resources. The RC has historically utilized NGREA funding to procure aircraft and provide upgraded capabilities to existing aircraft where applicable.

- **Command and Control (C2) Modernization:** C2 modernization remains a top priority for the Marine Corps Reserve. Continued investment in current and emergent command, control, communications, and computer systems (C4) related programs and infrastructure will enable the Marine Corps Reserve to sustain its high level of operational readiness in support of global mission requirements. Additional funding applied to programs, such as the Expeditionary Command and Control Suite and the Data Distribution System Module, will accelerate the fielding of these critical tactical communications systems. Furthermore, the procurement and integration of information technology upgrades will further complement and enhance the Marine Corps' Next Generation Enterprise Network architecture and infrastructure, enabling greater garrison C2 through improved network performance and data reliability.

f. Overall Equipment Readiness

Equipment readiness of RC units remains consistent with AC reporting levels. The RC continues to effectively maintain its T/A in a high state of operational readiness.

B. Changes since the Last NGRER

The impact of Sequestration on both ground and aviation equipment presents additional challenges for the RC. Budget cuts will adversely affect the RC's ability to perform preventive maintenance and limited technical inspections. Due to geographic dispersion of units, lack of maintenance funding has the potential to downgrade overall force readiness.

C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017)

1. FY 2017 Equipment Requirements

The Marine Corps develops a Total Force AAO for the Service that is planned and programmed. In most cases, the decision of where to distribute purchased equipment (for both the AC and RC) does not occur until after the equipment is procured. This allows the Marine Corps flexibility in determining fielding priorities that impact training and combat operations. The RC competes equally with the AC for fielding decisions.

2. Anticipated New Equipment Procurements

a. MV-22B Osprey

The MV-22 is a multipurpose, tilt-rotor, vertical and/or short takeoff and landing aircraft developed to replace the current fleet of CH-46E helicopters. This aircraft has the capability to participate in amphibious and land assault operations, provide medium cargo lift, and perform aircraft and personnel recovery. The MV-22 is capable of carrying 24 combat-equipped Marines or a 10,000 pound internal load and has a 2,100 nautical mile range with a single aerial refueling. Under the current Marine Corps Aviation Plan, the RC began its transition to the MV-22 in FY 2013 with a completion date slated for the fourth quarter of FY 2018. Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 764 (HMM-764) began its transition in the third quarter of FY 2013 with

HMM-774 scheduled to begin its transition in the third quarter of FY 2016. The RC MV-22B transition schedule is an important goal for the Marine Corps.

b. KC-130J Super Hercules

The KC-130J is a multi-role, multi-mission tactical tanker/transport aircraft developed to replace the KC-130F/R/T models. The KC-130J has increased range and speed, lower cost per flight hour, better fuel efficiency, improved reliability, and better maintainability. The AC completed the KC-130J transition in FY 2009, leaving 28 RC KC-130T aircraft yet to begin transition to the KC-130J. Current policies prohibit the employment of the legacy aircraft in Operation Enduring Freedom. Budget challenges, resulting from competing Aviation Procurement Navy appropriation priorities within the Navy and Marine Corps, have resulted in a delay of five years in the fielding of the KC-130J to the RC. Fielding is scheduled to begin with five aircraft being delivered to the RC starting in FY 2014. Five aircraft are programmed in the current FYDP and 13 total aircraft between now and 2020. Compatibility differences between the KC-130J and KC-130T are creating significant challenges in training, manning, and logistical support of the KC-130T. Accelerating the RC transition to the KC-130J is a priority for the Marine Corps Reserve. It is also the most expensive Reserve equipment shortfall, costing \$2B.

c. UH-1Y Venom

The UH-1Y is a multi-role, multi-mission, utility helicopter developed to replace the UH-1N. The UH-1Y utility helicopter is fully capable of shipboard operations worldwide, including take-off, landing, refueling and rearming. Its mission capabilities include airborne command and control, aeromedical evacuation, troop transport, and search and rescue. Marine Light Attack Helicopter Squadron 773 will receive its first UH-1Y aircraft in the third quarter of FY 2014 and will complete its transition in the second quarter of FY 2015.

d. RQ-21A Blackjack

The RQ-21A Blackjack is a multi-role, multi-mission, unmanned aircraft system (UAS) that is employed primarily as an intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, and target acquisition asset. Each system consists of five air vehicles, two ground control stations, one launcher, one recovery system, and associated support equipment. The expeditionary nature of the RQ-21A makes it possible to deploy a multi-intelligence capable UAS with minimal footprint, ideal for amphibious, humanitarian and/or combat operations.

3. Anticipated New Equipment Requirements

a. Ground/Air Radar Systems

A highly mobile multi-mission radar system designed to fully support worldwide expeditionary requirements is needed to replace legacy radar systems. An advanced radar system is required to provide a multi-faceted detection and tracking capability to enable engagements of a wide range of hostile threats. Currently in the engineering and development phase, AN/TPS-80 Ground/Air Task Oriented Radar (G/ATOR) system offers a robust air traffic control capability to ensure the safety of Marines worldwide. Operational capabilities enhanced by proven Active Electronically Scanned Array radar technology give the AN/TPS-80 G/ATOR system the ability to perform multi-mission tasks at significantly lower O&M costs compared to existing radar systems. In addition to providing a broad range of optimized radar capabilities, AN/TPS-80 G/ATOR

provides automatic adaptability via scalable open system architecture. G/ATOR's multi-network capability ensures compatibility with additional DoD command and control systems.

b. Multi-mission, Medium-altitude, Long-endurance Unmanned Aircraft System

The Marine Corps Tactical UAS (MCTUAS) requirements are currently being supported by the RQ-7B Shadow. In accordance with the Commandant's Aviation Plan, the RQ-7B is scheduled for replacement at some point beyond the FYDP. The future MCTUAS platform will incorporate increased cruise time, wide-range sensors, multi-mode communications suite, and precision weapons. Acquisition and fielding of a large group UAS will further enable the Marine Corps to accomplish the following missions in support of the MAGTF: intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, electronic warfare, close air support, combat search and rescue, precision strike, buddy-laser, convoy/raid overwatch, route clearance, target development, and terminal air guidance.

c. Amphibious Combat Vehicle

The Amphibious Combat Vehicle (ACV) is a program providing advanced generation fully amphibious, armored lift capability to the Marine Air Ground Task Force. ACV supports ship-to-objective maneuvers by providing the capability to self-deploy from amphibious ships. A seamless transition between sea and land enables the seizure of beach landing zones where conditions preclude other types of entry, and facilitates rapid build-up of combat power ashore before an enemy can react. The Marine Corps needs the ACV to achieve an over-the-horizon, joint forcible-entry capability that aligns with future amphibious concepts of operation. The ACV acquisition strategy is in development and subject to Marine Corps decision regarding required capabilities.

4. Anticipated Transfers from AC to RC

One KC-130J aircraft is scheduled to be transferred from the AC to the RC in FY 2014 and two in FY 2015 to initiate the transition plan from the KC-130T. Eight MV-22B aircraft are scheduled to be transferred from the AC to the RC in FY 2014, four in FY 2015 and 12 in FY 2016 to initiate the transition plan from the CH-46E. Four AH-1W aircraft are scheduled to be transferred from the AC to the RC in FY 2014 and two in FY 2015 to backfill previous foreign military sales and preapproved OEF support requirements.

5. Anticipated Withdrawals from RC Inventory

Three AH-1W aircraft were removed from the RC inventory for foreign military sales in FY 2012. These aircraft will be replaced starting in FY 2014, in synchronization with the AC AH-1Z fielding.

6. Equipment Shortages and Modernization Shortfalls at the End of FY 2017

The RC wartime requirements are addressed in *Table 1*, which delineates the major item shortfalls that are anticipated to exist at the end of FY 2017. *Table 8* presents the RC's highest priority unfunded equipment and modernization shortfalls affecting Reserve unit training allowances.

D. Summary

The Marine Corps is improving its Total Force integration and expeditionary capability. The RC is an operationally effective force, capable of augmenting, reinforcing, and sustaining the AC. While there are challenges before us, such as modernizing the RC with KC-130Js, quickly fielding new ground combat equipment, and developing technologies that allow better communication and logistics support, the Marine Corps Total Force stands ready to protect and defend our Nation. The successful completion of our force structure review, concurrent with the above activities, will enable the RC to possess the assets to accomplish its mission to augment and reinforce the AC. The Marine Corps' Total Force fielding concept provides the latest generation of combat equipment at the same rate provided to the AC and takes care of our greatest asset—the outstanding men and women who wear the Marine Corps uniform.

USMCR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

NOTE: This table provides a comprehensive list of selected major equipment items. It provides the projected inventory quantity on-hand (QTY O/H) at the beginning/end of the selected fiscal year (FY). It also provides the quantity required (QTY REQ) to meet the full wartime requirements of the Reserve Component. In accordance with Title 10, the QTY REQ number provides the recommendation as to the quantity and type of equipment that should be in the inventory of each Reserve Component. FY 2015 unit cost estimates are provided by the Military Departments.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| Aircraft, Fighter/Attack, F/A-18A++ | F/A-18A++ | \$54,436,016 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Aircraft, Fighter, F-5F | F-5F | \$14,830,970 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Aircraft, Fighter, F-5N | F-5N | \$702,466 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Aircraft, Refueling/Cargo, KC-130J | KC-130J | \$80,121,410 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 28 |
| Aircraft, Refueling/Cargo, KC-130T | KC-130T | \$45,480,270 | 26 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| Aircraft, Utility/Cargo, UC-12W | UC-12W | \$10,000,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Aircraft, Utility/Cargo, UC-35C/D | UC-35 | \$8,179,661 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Helicopter, Attack, AH-1W | AH-1W | \$18,935,714 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Helicopter, Utility, UH-1Y | UH-1Y | \$30,826,000 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 9 |
| Helicopter, Cargo, CH-53E | CH-53E | \$37,658,528 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| Helicopter, Cargo, CH-46E | CH-46E | \$14,983,188 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tilt-rotor, Cargo, MV-22B | MV-22B | \$73,711,789 | 8 | 12 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| RQ-7B Shadow System | RQ-7B | \$22,433,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| RQ-21A Blackjack System | RQ-21A | \$10,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Tactical Operational Flight Trainer, F/A-18A++ | F/A-18A++ TOFT | \$6,500,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Flight Training Device, KC-130J | KC-130J FTD | \$28,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Fuselage Trainer, KC-130J | KC-130J FUT | \$12,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Cockpit Procedures Trainer, KC-130J | KC-130J CPT | \$7,000,000 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Observer Training Aid, KC-130J | KC-130J OTA | \$1,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Aircrew Procedures Trainer, AH-1W | AH-1W APT | \$5,000,000 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Flight Training Device, UH-1Y | UH-1Y FTD | \$16,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Flight Training Device, CH-53E | CH-53E FTD | \$14,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Containerized Flight Training Device, MV-22B | MV-22B CFTD | \$12,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Institutional Mission Simulator, RQ-7B | RQ-7B IMS | \$900,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Communications & Electronics | | | | | | | |
| Theater Battle Management Core System, AN/TYY-2 | A0013 | \$277,468 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Communications Data Link System, TYQ-101A | A0021 | \$324,501 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Communications Platform, Air Defense (ADCP) | A0025 | \$907,000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Teams Antenna | A0061 | \$145,000 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 91 |
| Radio Set, AN/MRC-148 | A0067 | \$53,234 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 |
| AN/GRC-256A, Radio Set, HF | A0068 | \$40,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| AN/TSR-9 E88XR Global Broadcast System TGRS | A0090 | \$194,063 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Radio Set, AN/VRC-110, 50-watt | A0097 | \$14,000 | 973 | 973 | 973 | 973 | 1,743 |

USMCR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Survey Instrument, Azimuth, M111 | A0116 | \$150,000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Satellite Comm Terminal, Phoenix AN/TSC-156 | A0122 | \$1,813,000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Remote Subscriber Access Module (RSAM) AN/TTC-63 | A0124 | \$69,886 | 103 | 103 | 105 | 105 | 105 |
| Deployable End Office Suite | A0125 | \$461,217 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 33 |
| Radio System, AN/VRC-103(V)2 | A0126 | \$39,667 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 422 |
| Radio Set, AN/PRC-152(V3) | A0129 | \$4,800 | 1,108 | 1,108 | 1,108 | 1,108 | 2,087 |
| Deployable Integrated Transport Suite (DITS) | A0132 | \$302,104 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 33 |
| Radio Set, AN/TRC-209 | A0139 | \$47,828 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 109 |
| Antenna, Communication, Trailer-mtd, AS-4429D/TSC | A0149 | \$495,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| Radio Set, AN/MRC-142C | A0153 | \$224,839 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 63 |
| DDS-R/M Communications Security Module (CSM) | A0173 | \$44,550 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 91 |
| DDS-R/M LAN Service Module (LSM) | A0174 | \$92,330 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 91 |
| DDS-R/M Configuration Module (CM) | A0175 | \$2,615 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 116 |
| DDS-R/M LAN Extension Module ON-704/TYC | A0176 | \$27,930 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 363 |
| DDS-R/M Application Server Module (ASM), AN/TYQ-147 | A0177 | \$14,980 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 97 |
| Beyond Line of Sight Gateway, AN/TYQ-145(V)2 | A0180 | \$140,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Support Wide Area Network (SWAN) D (V1) | A0234 | \$80,000 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 33 |
| SWAN D (V2) | A0241 | \$90,000 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 13 |
| Satellite Communication Subsystem | A0242 | \$295,000 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 14 |
| SWAN D Network Package | A0243 | \$90,000 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 60 |
| Support Wide Area Network MRT | A0244 | \$105,000 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 11 |
| Combat Operations Center, Set III - AN/TSQ-239(V)3 | A0254 | \$1,848,286 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 11 |
| Combat Operations Center, Set IV - AN/TSQ-239(V)4 | A0255 | \$1,372,700 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 |
| Radio Set, AN/VRC-104(V)5 | A0266 | \$50,378 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 140 |
| Combat Operations Center | A0271 | \$2,500,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| SCA Multiband Networking Radio | A0336 | \$28,908 | 257 | 257 | 257 | 257 | 489 |
| SCA Multiband Network Veh Radio Sys, AN/VRC-114(V)1 | A0352 | \$17,900 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 339 |
| Digital Tech Control (DTC), Facility, AN/TSQ-227 | A0499 | \$1,213,000 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Satellite Terminal, Multiband, LTWT (LMST) Maxi-HUB, AN/USC-65(V)1 | A0806 | \$1,500,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Sat Terminal, Multiband, LTWT (LMST) Mini-HUB, AN/USC-65(V)2 | A0807 | \$900,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Interrogator, Digital, AN/UPX-37 | A0880 | \$118,902 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS), AN/URC-107(V)10 | A0882 | \$683,000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Tactical Cop Workstation | A0932 | \$10,000 | 103 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 152 |
| Network Manager, EPLRS, AN/PSQ-25 | A1225 | \$5,889 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 24 |
| Radar Set, Firefinder, AN/TPQ-36/46 | A1440 | \$7,500,000 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Radar Set, Air Traffic Control, AN/TPS-63B | A1500 | \$377,777 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Radar Set, LW3D, AN/TPS-59(V)3 | A1503 | \$15,217,555 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Radio Set, AN/GRC-171B(V)4 | A1818 | \$55,874 | 22 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |

USMCR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Radio Set, AN/MRC-145A | A1957 | \$43,986 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 286 |
| Radio Set, AN/PRC-150 | A2042 | \$19,247 | 843 | 755 | 755 | 755 | 755 |
| Radio Set, Multiband, Urban | A2043 | \$7,115 | 874 | 874 | 874 | 874 | 1,053 |
| Radio Set, Multiband, Maritime | A2044 | \$7,431 | 243 | 243 | 243 | 243 | 755 |
| Radio Set, Multiband, FALCON II, AN/PRC-117F | A2068 | \$27,450 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 912 | 1,749 |
| Radio Set, AN/PRC-119F | A2079 | \$4,346 | 584 | 584 | 584 | 0 | 0 |
| Radio Set, EPLRS, AN/VSQ-2D(V)2 | A2152 | \$35,714 | 266 | 266 | 266 | 266 | 364 |
| Radio Terminal Digital, Troposcatter, AN/TRC-170 | A2179 | \$1,500,000 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| Facility, Anti-Air Warfare, Sector, AN/TYQ-87(V)2 | A2390 | \$427,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Tactical Air Operations Module, AN/TYQ-23(V)4 | A2525 | \$8,054,500 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Command System, Tactical, AN/USC-55A | A2551 | \$280,000 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System, AN/GYK-60 | A2555 | \$44,143 | 173 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 153 |
| Target Locator, Designator & Hand-off System (TLDHS) (BLKII), AN/PSQ-19A | A2560 | \$27,000 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 237 |
| Interrogator Computer, TSEC/KIR-1C | A8018 | \$1,499 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 11 |
| Transponder Computer, TSEC/KIT-1C | A8019 | \$1,254 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Engineer | | | | | | | |
| Air Conditioner, 1.5-ton, 60Hz, R-407C | B0003 | \$8,461 | 104 | 104 | 104 | 104 | 106 |
| Air Conditioner, 5-ton, 60K Btu | B0008 | \$20,167 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 146 |
| Air Conditioner, 10-ton, R-407C | B0010 | \$30,961 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 12 |
| Environmental Control Unit (Air Conditioner) | B0014 | \$15,092 | 354 | 354 | 354 | 354 | 562 |
| Integrated Trailer ECU | B0018 | \$85,000 | 50 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Hydroseeder, Skid-mounted | B0026 | \$25,650 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Distribution System, Mobile Elect Power, 5kW (Indoor) | B0027 | \$4,500 | 177 | 177 | 177 | 177 | 180 |
| Distribution System, Mobile Elect Power, 5kW (Outdoor) | B0028 | \$7,500 | 289 | 289 | 289 | 289 | 348 |
| Distribution System, Mobile Elect Power, 15kW | B0029 | \$8,800 | 116 | 116 | 116 | 116 | 129 |
| Distribution System, Mobile Elect Power, 30kW | B0030 | \$16,100 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 101 |
| Distribution System, Mobile Elect Power, 100kW | B0031 | \$28,500 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 65 |
| Distribution System, Mobile Elect Power, 300kW | B0032 | \$22,100 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| All Terrain Crane (ATC) MAC-50 | B0038 | \$578,000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 26 |
| Airfield Damage Repair (ADR) Kit, GBE Runway REP | B0039 | \$450,000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| Tractor, Medium | B0060 | \$253,000 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 56 |
| Tractor, Wheeled, Multipurpose (TRAM) 624K | B0063 | \$123,508 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 103 |
| Air Conditioner, 60Hz, R-407C | B0074 | \$8,984 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 47 |
| Grader, Road, Motorized, 120M | B0078 | \$236,008 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 21 |
| Boat, Bridge Erection, USCSBMK3 | B0114 | \$249,187 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 63 |
| Bridge, Medium Girder (MGB), Dry Gap | B0152 | \$964,515 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Container Handler, Rough Terrain, KALMAR | B0392 | \$525,000 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 11 |
| Detector, Mine, Advanced, AN/PSS14 w/TWD | B0476 | \$19,175 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Excavator, Armored Combat, M9 ACE | B0589 | \$1,000,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 20 |
| Tactical Airfield Fuel Dispensing System (TAFDS), M1966 | B0675 | \$331,062 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |

USMCR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Fuel System, Amphibious Assault, M69HC | B0685 | \$1,238,680 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Generator Set, 3kW, 60Hz, MEP-831A | B0730 | \$9,922 | 226 | 226 | 226 | 226 | 349 |
| Generator Set, 10kW, 60Hz, TQG MEP-803A | B0891 | \$10,700 | 213 | 213 | 213 | 213 | 329 |
| Generator Set, Skid-mtd, 10kW/400Hz, TQG, MEP813A | B0921 | \$15,304 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 12 |
| Generator Set, 20kW, MMG 25 | B0930 | \$16,380 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 82 |
| Generator Set, 30kW, 60Hz, MEP-005A/805A/B | B0953 | \$26,705 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 265 |
| Generator Ltwt, Man-Portable, MEP513A | B0980 | \$5,262 | 114 | 114 | 114 | 114 | 140 |
| Generator Set, 60kW, 400Hz, Skid-mtd, MEP816B | B1016 | \$29,793 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Generator Set, 60 kW, 60Hz, MEP-006A/806B | B1021 | \$25,073 | 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 | 190 |
| Generator Set, 100kW, 60Hz, TQG MEP-807A | B1045 | \$67,000 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 99 |
| Refueling System, Expedient, Helicopter | B1135 | \$101,863 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 9 |
| Fuel Pump Module (SIXCON) | B1580 | \$23,350 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 136 |
| Roller, Compactor, Vibratory, SP, CS563D | B1785 | \$155,150 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Storage, Tank, Module, Fuel (SIXCON) | B2085 | \$6,948 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 431 |
| Storage, Tank, Module, Water (SIXCON) MWT166 | B2086 | \$5,524 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 307 |
| Sweeper, Rotary, Vehicle Mounting | B2127 | \$215,781 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Loader, Backhoe (BHL), 420E IT | B2483 | \$83,359 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 34 |
| Forklift, Extended Boom | B2561 | \$85,556 | 69 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| Rough Terrain Forklift, Light Capacity | B2566 | \$110,000 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 89 |
| Tactical Water Purification System (TWPS) | B2605 | \$350,000 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 33 |
| General Supply | | | | | | | |
| Mask, Oxygen | C2278 | \$2,400 | 146 | 146 | 146 | 146 | 344 |
| Oxygen System, Portable | C2286 | \$2,495 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 344 |
| Re-breather Unit, Oxygen, PHAOS, OXCON | C2288 | \$15,400 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 72 |
| Container, Quadruple (QUADCON) | C4433 | \$2,475 | 4,142 | 4,142 | 4,142 | 4,142 | 5,331 |
| Multi-fuel Engine, Non-gasoline Burning Outboard Engine | C4548 | \$14,483 | 62 | 62 | 86 | 71 | 86 |
| Device, Propulsion, Diver (DPD) | C4549 | \$77,270 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 37 |
| Parachute, Personnel, Maneuverable (MMPS) | C5649 | \$17,000 | 158 | 158 | 158 | 158 | 261 |
| Raiding Craft, Combat, Rubber, Inflatable, F470 | C5901 | \$16,745 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 78 |
| Motor Transport | | | | | | | |
| Equipment Transporter, Semitrailer Low-bed, 50-ton, M870A2E1 | D0002 | \$45,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK23 | D0003 | \$153,900 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 470 |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK27 | D0005 | \$181,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| Truck, Dump, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK29 | D0007 | \$173,900 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 40 |
| Truck, RTAA, Tractor, 7-ton, w/o Winch, MK31A1 | D0009 | \$220,000 | 26 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Tractor, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK31 | D0013 | \$220,000 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 50 |
| Truck, Wrecker, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK36 | D0015 | \$400,000 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 55 |
| HMMWV, ECV, Enhanced, M1152 | D0022 | \$96,582 | 392 | 353 | 353 | 353 | 353 |
| HMMWV, ECV, Armament Carrier, M1151 | D0030 | \$210,000 | 596 | 596 | 596 | 596 | 743 |
| Truck, Utility: Expand Capacity, G2/GP Vehicle, M1165 | D0031 | \$154,261 | 163 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |

USMCR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| HMMWV, ECV, TOW Carrier, Armored, M1167A1 | D0032 | \$222,487 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 64 |
| HMMWV, ECV, Armored, M1152 (2-Door) | D0033 | \$177,000 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 295 |
| HMMWV, ECV, C2/General Purpose, M1165 | D0034 | \$182,164 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 239 |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton, MK23/MK25 | D0198 | \$195,271 | 467 | 467 | 467 | 467 | 467 |
| Semitrailer, Refueler, 5000 gal., MK970A | D0215 | \$214,064 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 64 |
| Semitrailer, 40-ton Low-bed, M870 | D0235 | \$61,710 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 55 |
| Trailer, Cargo, Resupply for HIMARS, MK38 | D0861 | \$56,156 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Trailer, Powered, Wrecker/Recovery, 4X4, MK15A1 Mod 0 | D0877 | \$192,000 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 31 |
| Trailer, Powered, 5th Wheel, Semitrailer, MK16A1 Mod 0 | D0878 | \$81,000 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 35 | 74 |
| Trailer, Tank, Water, 400 gal., 1 1/2 ton, 2-wheel, M149A2 | D0880 | \$12,955 | 193 | 193 | 194 | 191 | 266 |
| Truck Cargo 22.5-ton, 10X10, LVSR | D0886 | \$319,529 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 325 |
| Truck, Tractor, 10X10, LVSR | D0887 | \$330,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| HMMWV, Ambulance, 4 Litter, Armored, M997 | D1001 | \$90,283 | 65 | 83 | 83 | 80 | 89 |
| HMMWV, Ambulance, 2 Litter, Soft Top, M1035 | D1002 | \$68,212 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 40 |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton XLWB, MK27/MK28 | D1062 | \$238,424 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 146 |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton, MK37 w/Crane | D1063 | \$404,398 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Truck, Aircraft Crash/Structure Firefighting, A/S32P-19A | D1064 | \$162,562 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 24 |
| Truck, Dump, RTAA, 7-ton | D1073 | \$167,561 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| Truck, Wrecker, 10X10, LVSR MK15 | D1214 | \$550,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Ordnance & Weapons | | | | | | | |
| Night Sight, Scout Sniper Medium Range | E0020 | \$8,795 | 481 | 481 | 481 | 481 | 481 |
| Range Finder, Laser | E0042 | \$79,400 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 71 |
| Launcher, Tubular F/GM(TOW), M41A1 SABER | E0055 | \$970,000 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 92 |
| Mk 2 Mod 0 MTRS EOD Talon | E0066 | \$168,525 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Semiautomatic Sniper System (SASS), M110 | E0103 | \$8,500 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 198 |
| Circle, Aiming, M2A2 | E0180 | \$3,913 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Command Launch Unit, Javelin M98A1 | E0207 | \$133,063 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 64 |
| Sight, Thermal, AN/UAS-12C Hybrid | E0330 | \$116,014 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 28 |
| Howitzer, 155mm, Towed, Lightweight, M777 | E0671 | \$2,500,000 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Assault Amphibious Vehicle (AAV), Command/Communications, AAVC7A1 | E0796 | \$2,000,000 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 13 |
| AAV, Personnel, AAVP7A1 | E0846 | \$2,000,000 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 176 |
| AAV, Recovery, AAVR7A1 | E0856 | \$2,000,000 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 10 |
| Launcher, Assault Rocket, 83mm, MK153 Mod 0 | E0915 | \$31,650 | 225 | 225 | 225 | 224 | 243 |
| Launcher, Tubular F/GM (TOW), M220E4 | E0935 | \$75,742 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 26 |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Anti-Tank, LAV-AT | E0942 | \$2,091,280 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 24 |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Command/Control, LAV-C2 | E0946 | \$1,924,146 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 |
| Light Armored Vehicle, 25mm, LAV-25 | E0947 | \$3,224,110 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 88 |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Logistics, LAV-L | E0948 | \$1,883,020 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 22 |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Mortar, LAV-M | E0949 | \$2,507,080 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Maintenance/Recovery, LAV-R | E0950 | \$2,183,920 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 |

USMCR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Machine Gun, .50 cal., Browning, M2 | E0980 | \$8,118 | 539 | 539 | 539 | 539 | 648 |
| Machine Gun, .50 cal., M48 | E0984 | \$13,648 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 100 |
| Machine Gun, Medium, 7.62mm, M240B | E0989 | \$6,000 | 1,129 | 1,129 | 1,167 | 1,103 | 1,461 |
| Machine Gun, 40mm, MK-19 Mod3 | E0994 | \$15,320 | 446 | 446 | 446 | 446 | 561 |
| Mortar, 60mm, M224 | E1065 | \$64,652 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 72 |
| Mortar, 81mm, M252 | E1095 | \$121,855 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 76 |
| Velocity System, Muzzle (MVS), M94 | E1145 | \$25,000 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Recovery Vehicle, Heavy, Full-Tracked, M88A2 | E1378 | \$2,748,846 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 22 |
| Rifle, Sniper, 7.62mm, M40A5 | E1460 | \$6,034 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 149 |
| Rifle, Scoped, Special App (SASR), .50 cal. | E1475 | \$7,500 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 75 |
| High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) | E1500 | \$2,500,000 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 36 |
| Tank, Combat, Full-tracked, 120mm Gun, M1A1 | E1888 | \$2,393,439 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Test Set, Elect System, Direct Support, AN/USM-615 | E1906 | \$2,274,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Sight, Weapon, Thermal, Medium (MTWS) | E1975 | \$11,300 | 1,129 | 1,129 | 1,167 | 1,249 | 1,461 |
| Sight, Weapon, Thermal, Heavy (HTWS) | E1976 | \$15,653 | 783 | 783 | 819 | 819 | 819 |

Note: The above table reflects estimated on-hand quantities against the full wartime requirement. USMC equipping strategy is that the RC maintains on-hand a Training Allowance only. The Training Allowance is the portion of the wartime requirement necessary to conduct home station training. USMC operating concepts rely on global sourcing and pre-positioned assets for combat. When activated, the USMC plans on RC units falling in on either pre-positioned equipment or assets already in theater from previous rotations.

USMCR

Table 2

Average Age of Equipment

NOTE: This table provides the average age of selected major equipment items. The average age provides a projected average age of the fleet at the start of FY 2014.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|---|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Aircraft | | | |
| Aircraft, Fighter/Attack, F/A-18A++ | F/A-18A++ | 27 | |
| Aircraft, Fighter, F-5F | F-5F | 35 | |
| Aircraft, Fighter, F-5N | F-5N | 34 | |
| Aircraft, Refueling/Cargo, KC-130T | KC-130T | 24 | |
| Aircraft, Utility/Cargo, UC-12W | UC-12W | 3 | |
| Aircraft, Utility/Cargo, UC-35C | UC-35C | 14 | |
| Aircraft, Utility/Cargo, UC-35D | UC-35D | 11 | |
| Helicopter, Attack, AH-1W | AH-1W | 18 | |
| Helicopter, Cargo, CH-46E | CH-46E | 44 | |
| Helicopter, Cargo, CH-53E | CH-53E | 16 | |
| RQ-7B Shadow System | RQ-7B | 6 | |
| Communications/Electronics | | | |
| Radio Set, AN/MRC-148 | A0067 | 8 | |
| Radio Set, AN/MRC-142C | A0153 | 1 | |
| Support Wide Area Network (SWAN) D (V1) | A0234 | 4 | |
| SWAN D (V2) | A0241 | 4 | |
| Satellite Communication Subsystem | A0242 | 4 | |
| SWAN D Network Package | A0243 | 4 | |
| Support Wide Area Network MRT | A0244 | 4 | |
| Combat Operations Center, Set III - AN/TSQ-239(V)3 | A0254 | 4 | |
| Combat Operations Center, Set IV - AN/TSQ-239(V)4 | A0255 | 4 | |
| Combat Operations Center | A0271 | 6 | |
| Radio Set, AN/MRC-145A | A1957 | 1 | |
| General Supply | | | |
| Container, Quadruple (QUADCON) | C4433 | 3 | |
| Motor Transport | | | |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK23 | D0003 | 9 | |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK27 | D0005 | 9 | |
| Truck, Dump, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK29 | D0007 | 9 | |
| Truck, RTAA, Tractor, 7-ton, W/O Winch, MK31A1 | D0009 | 9 | |
| Tractor, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK31 | D0013 | 9 | |
| Truck, Wrecker, MTRV 7-ton Armored, AMK36 | D0015 | 6 | |
| HMMWV, ECV, Enhanced, M1152 | D0022 | 7 | |
| HMMWV, ECV, Armament Carrier, M1151 | D0030 | 6 | |
| Truck, Utility: Expand Capacity, G2/GP Vehicle, M1165 | D0031 | 6 | |
| HMMWV, ECV, TOW Carrier, Armored, M1167A1 | D0032 | 4 | |
| HMMWV, ECV, Armored, M1152 (2-Door) | D0033 | 5 | |

USMCR

Table 2

Average Age of Equipment

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|--|-----------|-------------|---------|
| HMMWV, ECV, C2/General Purpose, M1165 | D0034 | 6 | |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton, MK23/MK25 | D0198 | 9 | |
| Semitrailer, Refueler, 5000 gal., MK970A | D0215 | 3 | |
| Semitrailer, 40-ton Low-bed, M870 | D0235 | 11 | |
| Trailer, Cargo, Resupply for HIMARS, MK38 | D0861 | 6 | |
| Truck Cargo 22.5-ton, 10X10, LVSR | D0886 | 3 | |
| Truck, Tractor, 10X10, LVSR | D0887 | 1 | |
| HMMWV, Ambulance, 4 Litter, Armored, M997 | D1001 | 11 | |
| HMMWV, Ambulance, 2 Litter, Soft Top, M1035 | D1002 | 11 | |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton XLWB, MK27/MK28 | D1062 | 9 | |
| Truck, Cargo, MTRV 7-ton, MK37 w/Crane | D1063 | 4 | |
| Truck, Aircraft Crash/Structure Firefighting, A/S32P-19A | D1064 | 25 | |
| Truck, Dump, RTAA, 7-ton | D1073 | 10 | |
| Truck, Wrecker, 10X10, LVSR MK15 | D1214 | 1 | |
| Ordnance & Weapons | | | |
| Launcher, Tubular F/GM(TOW), M41A1 SABER | E0055 | 4 | |
| Command Launch Unit, Javelin M98A1 | E0207 | 4 | |
| Howitzer, 155mm, Towed, Lightweight, M777 | E0671 | 5 | |
| Assault Amphibious Vehicle (AAV), Command/Communications, AAVC7A1 | E0796 | 39 | |
| AAV, Personnel, AAVP7A1 | E0846 | 39 | |
| AAV, Recovery, AAVR7A1 | E0856 | 39 | |
| Launcher, Assault Rocket, 83mm, MK153 Mod 0 | E0915 | 6 | |
| Launcher, Tubular F/GM (TOW), M220E4 | E0935 | 15 | |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Anti-Tank, LAV-AT | E0942 | 3 | |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Cmnd/Control, LAV-C2 | E0946 | 2 | |
| Light Armored Vehicle, 25mm, LAV-25 | E0947 | 3 | |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Logistics, LAV-L | E0948 | 3 | |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Mortar, LAV-M | E0949 | 3 | |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Maint/Recovery, LAV-R | E0950 | 2 | |
| Recovery Vehicle, Heavy, Full-Track, M88A2 | E1378 | 8 | |
| High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) | E1500 | 6 | |
| Tank, Combat, Full-tracked, 120mm Gun, M1A1 | E1888 | 17 | |

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of programmed equipment procurement as identified in the P-1R exhibit of the FY 2015 President's Budget Request. All values are costs in dollars and exclude ammunition procurements. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2015 are expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2016 or FY 2017.

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Weapons and Combat Vehicles | | | |
| Assault Amphibious Vehicle (AAV7A1) Product Improvement Program (PIP) | \$354,000 | \$358,000 | \$317,000 |
| Light Armored Vehicle (LAV) PIP | | 1,184,000 | 1,091,000 |
| 155mm Lightweight Towed Howitzer | 619,000 | 342,000 | 12,000 |
| High Mobility Artillery Rocket System | 3,154,000 | 3,246,000 | 2,971,000 |
| Weapons and Combat Vehicles under \$5M | 816,000 | 201,000 | 65,000 |
| Modification Kits | 3,365,000 | 2,442,000 | 2,488,000 |
| Guided Missiles and Equipment | | | |
| Javelin | 286,000 | 153,000 | 167,000 |
| Follow-on to Shoulder-Launched Multipurpose Assault Weapon (SMAW) | 918,000 | | |
| Anti-Armor Weapons System-Heavy (AAWS-H) | 177,000 | 181,000 | 187,000 |
| Communications and Electronics Equipment | | | |
| Unit Operations Center | 185,000 | 1,215,000 | 2,160,000 |
| Common Aviation Command and Control System (CAC2S) | | 2,500,000 | |
| Repair and Test Equipment | 3,758,000 | 1,218,000 | 1,258,000 |
| Items under \$5M (Communications & Electronics) | 39,000 | 47,000 | 47,000 |
| Air Operations Command and Control (C2) System | 41,000 | 75,000 | |
| Radar Systems | 2,552,000 | 5,475,000 | 3,980,000 |
| Fire Support System | 3,452,000 | 4,012,000 | 3,762,000 |
| Intelligence Support Equipment | | 832,000 | |
| RQ-11 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) | 411,000 | 412,000 | 413,000 |
| Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS)-Marine Corps | 473,000 | | 1,557,000 |
| Common Computer Resources | 588,000 | 913,000 | 10,000 |
| Command Post Systems | 6,791,000 | 3,095,000 | 190,000 |
| Radio Systems | 15,682,000 | 12,688,000 | 560,000 |
| Communications Switching & Control Systems | 6,932,000 | 3,239,000 | 4,196,000 |

USMCR

Table 3

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Support Vehicles | | | |
| Motor Transport Modifications | 469,000 | 1,206,000 | 1,037,000 |
| Family of Tactical Trailers | 1,633,000 | 1,217,000 | 1,726,000 |
| Engineer and Other Equipment | | | |
| Environmental Control Equipment | 361,000 | 507,000 | 1,036,000 |
| Bulk Liquid Equipment | 667,000 | 1,145,000 | 861,000 |
| Tactical Fuel Systems | 2,770,000 | 109,000 | 325,000 |
| Power Equipment Assorted | 1,910,000 | 2,244,000 | 3,244,000 |
| Amphibious Support Equipment | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Equipment | | | 2,906,000 |
| Material Handling Equipment | 3,727,000 | 983,000 | 902,000 |
| Container Family | 821,000 | 727,000 | 367,000 |
| Family of Construction Equipment | 1,913,000 | 1,835,000 | 1,379,000 |
| Items less than \$5M (Engineer) | 241,000 | 210,000 | 178,000 |
| Total | \$65,305,000 | \$54,211,000 | \$39,592,000 |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of planned equipment procurements with the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA). These funds are available for a three-year period from the year of appropriation. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years from date of procurement before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2014 would be expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2015 or FY 2016. All values are costs in dollars.

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <u>FY 2012 NGREA Equipment²</u> | | | |
| Flight Training Device, UH-1 | \$33,000,000 | | |
| Flight Training Device, CH-53E | 14,000,000 | | |
| Flight Training Device, MV-22B | 10,001,000 | | |
| KC-130T Digital Engine Indicator Panels | 3,928,571 | | |
| KC-130T Electronic Propeller Control System (EPCS) | 2,071,428 | | |
| <u>FY 2013 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | |
| Flight Training Device, MV-22B | | \$12,000,000 | |
| KC-130J Weapons System Trainer | | 28,198,000 | |
| KC-130J Cockpit Procedures Trainer | | 7,078,000 | |
| KC-130T WX Radar Replacement and GPS | | 12,546,784 | |
| KC-130T Electronic Propeller Control System (EPCS) | | 8,567,237 | |
| KC-130T Hose Reel Improvements | | 1,723,008 | |
| KC-130T Tactical Air Navigation (TACAN) Upgrade | | 740,880 | |
| F-5 Electronic Attack (EA) Digital Radio Frequency Memory (DRFM) Pods | | 3,993,000 | |
| F-5N Terminal Collision Avoidance System TCAS/TAWS | | 3,120,000 | |
| F-5N Helmet Mounted Cueing | | 2,100,004 | |
| Covert Lighting Upgrades for C-12W | | 1,750,020 | |
| UC-12W Satellite Phones | | 120,000 | |
| Combat Operations Center Ver 2 Upgrades | | 2,567,550 | |
| Combat Operations Center Ver 4 Upgrades | | 1,231,824 | |
| Combat Operations Center Capability Set (CAPSET) Software Upgrade | | 638,587 | |
| Indoor Simulated Marksmanship Trainer (ISMT) refresh of hardware systems for Reserves | | 12,224,300 | |
| AN/TSQ-231A Joint Enhanced Core Communications System (JECCS) | | 5,400,000 | |
| Meteorological Mobile Facility (Replacement) [METMF(R)] Weather Forecasting Module | | 4,000,000 | |
| Battlefield Illumination Chutes | | 1,570,000 | |
| Request Pending | | 10,430,806 | |
| Total | \$63,001,000 | \$120,000,000 | |
| 1. Service FY 2014 NGREA equipment list was not available in time for publication in the NGRER. Equipment list for FY 2014 will be provided in next year's NGRER. | | | |
| 2. A decrement of \$1,999,000 was applied to USMCR FY 2012 NGREA due to FY 2013 sequestration reduction allocation. | | | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

NOTE: This table portrays the planned equipment transfers (Active to Reserve), withdrawals (-), and decommissioning (-). Transferred equipment is commonly called "cascaded equipment," or equipment that is provided to the RC once the AC receives more modern equipment. Although this table highlights a three-year period, many Services will not know exact quantities of transfers or withdrawals until year of execution, due to the uncertainty of the procurement/delivery cycle of new equipment.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|
| Aircraft, Refueling/Cargo, KC-130J | KC-130J | +3 | | +2 | KC-130J begins with transfers from AC |
| Aircraft, Refueling/Cargo, KC-130T | KC-130T | -12 | | | KC-130Ts being replaced with KC-130Js |
| Helicopter, Attack, AH-1W | AH-1W | +2 | | | Payback from foreign military sales (FMS) in Dec 2011 |
| Helicopter, Cargo, CH-46E | CH-46E | -8 | | | CH-46Es being replaced with MV-22Bs |
| Tilt-rotor, Cargo, MV-22B | MV-22B | +4 | +12 | | Replacing CH-46Es with MV-22B transfers from AC |

USMCR

Table 6

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

NOTE: This table compares planned Service procurements and transfers to the RC in FY 2011 with actual procurements and transfers. FY 2011 is selected as these are the most recent funds to expire. Because the procurement cycle is normally one to two years from funding to delivery, this table identifies only deliveries through the end of FY 2013. Procurement and NGREA columns reflect cost values in dollars.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| <u>FY 2011 Planned Transfers & Withdrawals</u> | | | | | | | |
| Data Distribution System (DDS), AN/TSQ-228(V)2 | A2534 | -7 | -2 | | | | |
| HMMWV, Cargo/Troop Carrier, M1123 | D1158 | -150 | -160 | | | | |
| TOW Launcher, M220E4 | E0935 | -106 | -41 | | | | |
| <u>FY 2011 P-1R Equipment</u> | | | | | | | |
| Weapons and Combat Vehicles | | | | | | | |
| Assault Amphib Veh (AAV7A1) Product Improvement Program (PIP) | | | | \$78,000 | \$78,000 | | |
| Light Armored Vehicle (LAV) PIP | | | | 7,428,000 | 7,428,000 | | |
| High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) | | | | 1,701,000 | 1,701,000 | | |
| Communications and Electronics Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Fire Support System | | | | 343,000 | 0 | | |
| Engineer and Other Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Environmental Control Equipment | | | | 4,344,000 | 4,344,000 | | |
| Bulk Liquid Equipment | | | | 1,294,000 | 1,290,000 | | |
| Tactical Fuel Systems | | | | 4,847,000 | 4,850,000 | | |
| Container Family | | | | 134,000 | 134,000 | | |
| Bridge Boats | | | | 4,312,000 | 4,310,000 | | |
| <u>FY 2011 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | | | | | |
| Light Armored Vehicle, Logistics (LAV-L) Variant | | | | | | \$19,150,000 | \$17,813,000 |
| Combat Convoy Simulators (CCS) Projectors and HW Refurbishment | | | | | | 17,000,151 | 0 |
| Indoor Simulated Marksmanship Trainer (ISMT) refresh systems | | | | | | 11,143,488 | 0 |
| ISMT Rifle Combat Optic (RCO) & Adaptors | | | | | | 1,207,330 | 747,401 |
| M1A1 Abrams Tank Suspension Upgrade Kits | | | | | | 6,000,000 | 7,789,998 |
| RQ-11B Raven B/Procurement of DDL Systems (UAV) | | | | | | 5,400,000 | 5,400,000 |
| RQ-11B Raven Upgrade | | | | | | 0 | 3,797,712 |
| RQ-11B Raven B/ISPS (Spares Package) | | | | | | 609,570 | 609,570 |
| RQ-11B Raven B/RSTA Kits (Laptop) | | | | | | 180,000 | 180,000 |
| RQ-11B Raven B/Vampire Licenses (Training Software on RSTA Kit for simulation) | | | | | | 135,000 | 135,000 |
| RQ-11B Raven B/SASSM GPS (3 per UAV) | | | | | | 135,000 | 135,000 |
| Virtual Combat Convoy Trainer (VCCT) and Reconfigurable Vehicle Simulator (RVS)- Proj/HW Refurbishm | | | | | | 4,700,000 | 0 |
| VSAT/VSAT Network Packages SWAN D | | | | | | 2,199,991 | 0 |
| VSAT/VSAT Small SWAN D (V) 1 | | | | | | 1,600,000 | 0 |
| VSAT/VSAT Medium SWAN D (V) 2 | | | | | | 39,474 | 0 |
| Combat Vehicle Training System (CVTS), procure spare parts for AAV Turret Trainer | | | | | | 499,996 | |

USMCR

Table 6

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| M1A1-Generation IV Abrams Ammunition Rack (G4AAR) | | | | | | 0 | 17,936,550 |
| MV-22B Flight Training Device | | | | | | 0 | 9,398,483 |
| UC-12W Extended Range Tanks | | | | | | 0 | 2,947,096 |
| Combat Operation Center Version 2 Upgrade | | | | | | 0 | 2,567,550 |
| Grenade Launcher, Optic | | | | | | 0 | 542,640 |
| Total | | | | \$24,481,000 | \$24,135,000 | \$70,000,000 | \$70,000,000 |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

NOTE: This table identifies equipment authorized by the Service to be used as a substitute for a primary item of equipment. The table also identifies whether or not the item is deployable in wartime. This data meets the Title 10 requirement to identify equipment that is not the most desired equipment item.

| Required Item Nomenclature | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

**Service Does Not Use Substitution to Satisfy Major Item
Equipment Requirements**

Significant Major Item Shortages

NOTE: This table provides a RC top ten prioritized (PR) shortage list for major equipment items required for wartime missions but which are currently not funded in the FYDP. It lists the total quantity required, the total unfunded requirement, the individual item cost, and the cost of the unfunded portion. This data is consistent with other unfunded equipment data submitted by the Service.

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|---|-------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| 1 | KC-130J Aircraft | 28 | 21 | \$74,800,000 | \$1,570,800,000 | Fielding of the KC-130J begins in FY 2015 and continues through FY 2029. The extended nature of this fielding timeline results in significant operational and training compatibility issues as the Active Component (AC) has already fielded the KC-130J. Only 7 aircraft are programmed for the Reserve Component (RC) within the FY 2014 Future Years Defense Program (FYDP). |
| 2 | MAGTF Information Technology Support Center (MITSC) - Reserve Data Center | 1 | 1 | \$10,430,806 | \$10,430,806 | Marine Forces Reserve (MFR) received approval to establish a Data Center in accordance with the National Defense Authorization Act 2012 Section 2867; however, no funding exists in the FYDP for this RC requirement. The Reserve Data Center will provide the MFR a standardized communication infrastructure for the commander and staff to digitally plan, prepare, and execute operations related to the MFR mission. This Data Center will allow MFR to establish a network infrastructure commensurate with AC counterparts. Additionally, it will permit MFR to establish the infrastructure necessary to support continuity of operations and disaster recovery. |
| 3 | RQ-21 Small Tactical Unmanned Aircraft System | 3 | 3 | \$8,000,000 | \$24,000,000 | RC fielding delayed due to budgetary constraints. Lack of systems creates significant capability gap between RC and AC. Unable to execute entire range of assigned mission-essential tasks (METs) without system procurement. |
| 4 | KC-130J Training Suite | 2 | 1 | \$47,700,000 | \$47,700,000 | Devices are integral to conversion training and achieving systems proficiency prior to delivery of aircraft. Shortfall requires significant increases in travel costs to use AC devices |
| 5 | Flight Training Device, AH-1Z | 3 | 3 | \$16,000,000 | \$48,000,000 | The AH-1Z Viper aircraft is scheduled for delivery to the RC during FY 2019. Devices are integral to conversion training and achieving systems proficiency prior to delivery of aircraft. Lack of simulator imposes significant increases in aircraft flight hours to maintain required MET proficiency post aircraft delivery. |
| 6 | UH-1Y Aircrew Training Systems | 1 | 1 | \$16,500,000 | \$16,500,000 | The UH-1Y Venom aircraft is scheduled for delivery to the RC during FY 2014. Devices are integral to conversion training and achieving systems proficiency prior to delivery of aircraft. Lack of simulator imposes significant increases in aircraft flight hours to maintain required MET proficiency post aircraft delivery. |

USMCR

Table 8

Significant Major Item Shortages

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|---|-------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------|---|
| 7 | Data Distribution System Module (DDS-M) | 3 | 3 | \$768,896 | \$2,306,688 | Required to meet Core Training METs and enables AC/RC integration and compatibility. The components of the DDS-M are combined to give worldwide access to SIPR/NIPR down to the user level including network access servers, E-Mail (Exchange), and encryption devices for SIPRNET supporting multiple airfields or locations during exercises and deployments. The RC currently temp loans its shortfalls from AC forces for any exercise Marine Expeditionary Brigade (MEB) level or above. |

Chapter 4

United States Navy Reserve

I. Navy Overview

A. Navy Planning Guidance

All Navy Reserve plans and policies are fully aligned with the Chief of Naval Operations' (CNO's) strategic priorities. The CNO's intent to continue to operate forward with ready forces is directed and informed by the national Defense Strategic Guidance:

Our first responsibility is to ensure Navy is able to deliver the overseas presence and capabilities required by our Defense Strategic Guidance (DSG) *Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense*, as manifested in the GFMAP [Global Force Management Allocation Plan]. Our mandate per the DSG is to be present overseas where it matters, and to be ready when it matters. A central element of the DSG to Navy is to field a ready force, with the right capabilities, postured in each region. The DSG concludes that a prompt, credible response by forward U.S. forces can demonstrate American resolve and can blunt the initial actions of an aggressor. This can in turn deter, assure, and—if necessary—control escalation, contain the conflict, and prevent it from growing into a larger war. Our fundamental approach to making decisions and implementing the DSG is unchanged since I assumed the office of the Chief of Naval Operations. We organize, man, train, and equip the Navy by viewing our decisions through three lenses, or tenets. They are: *Warfighting First*, *Operate Forward*, and *Be Ready*. Regardless of the size of our budget or our fleet, these tenets are the key considerations we apply to each decision.¹

The Navy Reserve, in turn, aligns its equipping plans and policies with CNO's tenets. Whether purchasing equipment for combat and combat support ("Warfighting First"), deployed operations ("Operate Forward"), or mobilization training ("Be Ready"), our priorities are aligned with the Total Force concept. Being aligned as one Navy allows for maximum purchasing efficiency and reduced redundancy.

The CNO's Navigation Plan 2014–2018 guides and informs both Active Component (AC) and Reserve Component (RC) budget submissions. The Navigation Plan states that "Future reductions to Navy's budget will impact our ability to maintain the overall size of our fleet, but we will ensure the force we deploy is proficient and ready."

As part of the budget process, the AC/RC force structure ratio is continuously analyzed to maintain the force size necessary to meet combatant commander requirements. As part of these calculations, Reserve forces are expected to be prepared to deploy in an operational role when called upon, and not remain in a purely strategic reserve posture.

¹ CNO before the Senate Subcommittee on Defense Committee on Appropriations on *FY 2014 Department of the Navy Posture*, April 2013.

The CNO's Navigation Plan further states "Budget constraints will compel Navy to put a premium on readiness; Navy will continue to deploy proficient and ready forces. To reduce costs, we will explore options to adjust the readiness of non-deployed forces."

A proven, cost-effective way of reducing risk in a period of decreased AC force readiness is to maintain a ready, robust RC. By only paying for part-time, focused readiness training for Reserve forces, the cost of full-time pay and benefits is avoided. A properly trained and equipped Navy Reserve presents national leaders with operational and strategic options. It is a force that can be employed in the operational rotation, when required, while also serving as a hedge against risk in a strategic role.

B. Navy Equipping Policy

DoD Instruction 1225.06, *Equipping the Reserve Forces*, establishes policy that

The Reserve Components (RCs) of each Military Department shall be equipped to provide the operational capabilities and strategic depth required of an operational force in accordance with DoD Directive 1200.17. To fulfill assigned missions, the RCs of each Military Department shall be consistently and predictably equipped. The RCs must have the right equipment, available in the right quantities, at the right time, and at the right place to support a "Train, Mobilize, and Deploy" construct for the Total Force.

The Navy's overarching equipping policy is delineated in the Office of the CNO (OPNAV) Instruction 4423.3 series, *Equipping Reserve Forces*. It states Navy Reserve units will be equipped to accomplish all assigned missions and have an equipment and distribution program that is balanced, responsive to mission requirements, and sustainable. Priorities for distribution of equipment should be given to units scheduled to be deployed and/or employed first.

The Navy has established a seamless and fully integrated Total Force. The RC is a force multiplier that can be used periodically and predictably, providing operational support when and where it is needed at a cost-savings to the Navy. Within the Navy, senior leadership identifies RC requirements and priorities for new equipment as part of the Navy's resource allocation process. This equipment is used to generate and sustain fleet readiness during at-home training and deployed operations and is ready to surge forward in response to a request for forces from the Navy.

C. Plan to Fill Mobilization Shortages in the RC

The Navy's Total Force is an operational and organizational reality. Operational Navy missions are executed by the AC and its equipment, the RC and its equipment, or a combination of both. AC and RC Sailors also provide strategic depth for a variety of missions to ensure the Navy is always ready to respond globally to crisis situations while maintaining fiscal efficiency across the spectrum of operations.

Major operational and contingency plans require RC units to deploy as integrated parts of the Navy warfighting plan. Navy component commanders identify equipment requirements during the resource allocation process, which the CNO then prioritizes. RC activities maintain equipment as either training or mobilization assets. In some instances, the RC will deploy with AC assets. Mobilization assets are stored at major embarkation locations in the United States as

war reserve materiel stock (WRMS) or pre-positioned overseas/afloat. WRMS and pre-positioned equipment are distributed to both AC and RC according to operational requirements.

D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment

The Navy has initiatives to modernize, improve, or change operational capabilities of the RC.

- Littoral Combat Ship (LCS): An important Navy RC mission is to maintain assigned Selected Reserve (SELRES) personnel and equipment in an optimized state of readiness and availability to support LCS mission requirements. The Navy has already made an impressive commitment to this strategic initiative through the establishment of 13 units and 400 billets dedicated to LCS operations and support, with a goal of 1,000 personnel in 20 units by FY 2019. RC LCS units are organized to provide strategic support for warfighting requirements as well as operational support during normal and surge operations for the LCS squadron staffs, seaframe core crews, and Mission Module crews.
- C-40A Clipper: The C-40A is the Navy's designated C-9B/C-20G replacement aircraft. Fifteen of the 17 aircraft required to meet the Navy's "risk adjusted" inventory objective/red-line requirement have been procured. This allowed the Navy to divest of the C-9B in 2014. The procurement of the remaining two aircraft will enable the Navy to retire the C-20G airframe and meet wartime air logistics obligations. Aircraft are funded through a combination of National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA), Congressional adds, and the President's Budget request for DoD procurement funding depicted in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1. RC C-40A Funding

| FY | Quantity | Funding source |
|------|----------|--------------------|
| 1997 | 2 | NGREA |
| 1998 | 1 | NGREA |
| 1999 | 1 | NGREA |
| 2000 | 1 | President's Budget |
| 2001 | 1 | Congressional add |
| 2003 | 1 | Congressional add |
| 2004 | 1 | President's Budget |
| 2005 | 1 | President's Budget |
| 2009 | 2 | President's Budget |
| 2010 | 1 | President's Budget |
| 2011 | 1 | Congressional add |
| 2012 | 1 | NGREA |
| 2013 | 1 | Congressional add |

- RC support to unmanned aircraft system (UAS) program: The Navy is currently developing cost-effective, RC-integrated manpower and equipment solutions to meet requirements

challenges faced by its newest generation of UAS programs, including the MQ-4C Triton system and the MQ-8B Fire Scout vertical takeoff and landing tactical unmanned aerial vehicle (VTUAV). Specifically, the periodic and predictable nature of the Triton mission is particularly well suited for the Navy Reserve. Effectively implemented, RC manpower and associated equipment procurement can significantly reduce operating costs through innovative solutions, such as strategic placement of Triton mission control element stations in high Navy Reserve and SELRES concentration areas. Fire Scout emergent mission requirements also lend themselves especially well to the unique advantages of the RC Sailor. As the Navy's requirement for the Fire Scout system increases, Navy Reservists would be an ideal fit to augment AC Fleet Replacement Squadron (FRS) instructors as well as provide a surge capability to backfill the fleet. At the same time, significant cost-savings can be achieved during periods of lower utilization.

- F/A-18A+ Hornet upgrades: The Tactical Support Wing (TSW) operates 20 F/A-18A+ Hornet aircraft, divided between two squadrons that provide over 25 percent of the Navy's advanced adversary support requirements. These squadrons provide adversary support with aging aircraft that are capable of simulating most Generation 3 and some Generation 4 threat aircraft. As Naval Aviation prepares for the threat posed by predominantly Generation 4 and 5 aircraft operated by many potential adversaries, Navy Reserve Hornets must be replaced or significantly upgraded to provide this vital training. In addition to training fleet naval aviators, these two squadrons are tasked to provide a critical strategic reserve capability by standing ready to augment deployed AC carrier air wings. Due to the aging airframes and parts non-commonality with F/A-18C and E/F models, these two squadrons must be recapitalized with F/A-18C, F/A-18E, or F-35C aircraft to provide required adversary support and operational relevancy in a deployed role.
- F-5 Tiger II sustainment/upgrades: TSW also operates 32 F-5 Tiger II aircraft, apportioned between two squadrons. These squadrons execute 50 percent of the Navy's total adversary support missions at considerably lower cost than other platforms. While this approach is more economical, it limits fleet readiness as these aircraft simulate Generation 3 fighter aircraft threats from the 1970s and early 1980s. They are unable to simulate many more recent threat capabilities, such as beyond-visual-range missiles, modern radars, and radar warning receivers. Mitigating these hardware limitations is the fact that they are primarily used to train FRS pilots in the basic flight maneuvering of air-to-air combat, and that they are flown by many of the Navy's most experienced fighter pilots. The modernization of the F-5 fleet remains critically important, however, since the economical F-5 fleet is expected to be in use through at least 2025 and is also used in the later stages of air-to-air training in conjunction with more advanced adversary aircraft. A small investment in the F-5's capability will provide substantial training and readiness dividends for years to come.
- EA-18G Growler: Replacement of the EA-6B Prowler aircraft with the EA-18G Growler is required to retain RC fleet electronic attack (EA) capability. RC EA-6Bs were retired in 2013, coincident with the planned expiration of combatant commander expeditionary airborne electronic attack (AEA) requirements. However, recent mandates require an extension of the expeditionary AEA mission and directed transition of four EA-6B squadrons to the EA-18G platform by Dec 2014. This transition included the Navy Reserve's single

EA-6B Prowler squadron and serves as the baseline for the Reserve EA-18G recapitalization plan.

- P-3 to P-8 transition: Maritime Patrol and Reconnaissance P-3C aircraft continue to be affected by continuing structural fatigue across the inventory. Due to a fleet-wide shortage of P-3C aircraft, AC utilization of RC aircraft has become a necessity. Utilization of RC aircraft has been fully incorporated into AC training and readiness, forward-deployment, and P-3C sustainment/sundown plans. There are no plans to extend the P-3C service life (RC aircraft included) or maintain P-3C maintenance support capabilities beyond the P-8A full operational capability in FY 2019. Retaining the skills and experience of transitioning maritime patrol reconnaissance personnel is a strategic imperative for the Navy to provide surge capacity in support of major combat operations. Both RC patrol squadrons (VP) must be recapitalized with the P-8A aircraft.
- C-130T: The Navy Reserve is in the process of acquiring excess Marine Corps Reserve C-130 aircraft to fulfill its inventory requirement and reduce aircraft utilization rates to extend the useful life of the current inventory. C-130T aircraft are an essential part of Navy-unique fleet-essential airlift (NUFEA) requirements. They serve as the key connector between strategic airlift points of departure and the carrier-onboard-delivery and vertical-onboard-delivery access points to the fleet. The C-130T also specializes in worldwide outsized cargo NUFEA airlift.
- Navy Expeditionary Combat Command (NECC): Baseline, Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO), and NGREA funding continue to fill critical equipment gaps in the modernization and recapitalization of the Naval Construction Force, Navy Expeditionary Logistics Support Group (NAVELSG), and Coastal Riverine Force (CRF). NECC RC units require \$245M of funding across the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP) for full modernization and outfitting.

E. Plan to Achieve Full Compatibility between AC and RC

The Navy Total Force plans and programs all equipment inventories to provide the most capable systems to meet mission requirements and minimize the effects of equipment shortfalls and incompatibility throughout the fleet. The Navy must have interoperability between all elements of the Total Force to ensure an effective and capable team. Eliminating capability gaps between the RC and AC through equipment acquisition and upgrade programs will continue to remain a top priority for the Navy Reserve.

The Navy reduced RC force structure to the appropriate capability and capacity required to sustain the operational reserve. The value and the return on investment our Sailors and equipment deliver to the Total Force are continually measured. Critical recapitalization is needed now, and budgetary uncertainty make the RC reliant upon Service budget priorities, Congressional adds, and NGREA for recapitalizing aging and depreciated assets.

II. Navy Reserve Overview

A. Current Status of the Navy Reserve

1. General Overview

In January 2010, the CNO, the Chief of Naval Personnel, and the Chief of Navy Reserve (CNR) signed the *Navy Total Force Vision for the 21st Century (NTF 21)*. This document clearly articulates the Navy’s vision for a Total Force and emphasizes that Active Sailors, Reserve Sailors, and Navy civilians are the Navy’s most important resource and the critical component to meeting the demands of the Maritime Strategy, *A Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower (CS-21)*. NTF 21 guides the Navy’s personnel policies and strategies and serves to codify the blended forces as an organizational fact of life and a force of choice.

Top Navy Reserve Equipping Challenges

- Aircraft procurement (C-40A, E/A-18G, P-8A, and F/A-18E)
- Expeditionary equipment procurement (Coastal Riverine Force [CRF], Naval Construction Force [NCF], and Navy Expeditionary Logistics Support Group [NAVELSG])

Operationally, “the Navy Reserve has never been more ready, relevant, or aligned with the Navy’s Active component than we are today. Currently, over 4,000 dedicated Reserve Sailors are mobilized around the globe in support of overseas contingency operations.”²

The Navy Reserve is fully engaged across the full spectrum of Navy, Marine Corps, and joint force operations, from peace to war. In 2013, a majority of Sailors who served as individual augmentees were sourced from the RC, to allow AC Sailors to fill critical billets at sea. In addition, mobilized or deployed Navy Reserve Sailors are providing approximately half of the Navy’s ground forces serving in the United States Central Command (USCENTCOM) area of responsibility (AOR) and in other critical roles worldwide. Reserve expeditionary Sailors deploy alongside their AC counterparts as complete units and individual augmentees.

Mobilizations are but one form of duty performed by Navy Reserve Sailors. While executing mobilizations in FY 2013, the Navy Reserve continues to bring valued capabilities for urgent requirements and ongoing operational support.

An example that epitomizes the strength and flexibility of the Navy Reserve and demonstrates our unique ability to “be ready” to serve the Nation and complement the Navy Total Force is the Reserve Component’s response to Super Storm Sandy. Within hours of the storm’s landfall, 26 RC Navy Emergency Preparedness Liaison Officers (NEPLOs) were deployed to federal, state, and local government agencies throughout the Northeast. NEPLOs provide support to Regional Operations Centers and various Maritime Operations Centers across the country during natural and man-made disasters, certain Presidential events, and regional emergency preparedness exercises. NEPLOs were embedded in all the affected states and Navy Regions affected by Sandy. They coordinated efforts to provide support to the Federal Emergency Management Agency, which requested assets and capabilities resident only in the military. As soon as the storm abated, RC aircrew from HM-14 flew four MH-53 helicopters from *USS Wasp* to ferry first responders, vital equipment, and supplies to areas inaccessible by vehicle. In another

² CNR before the Senate Subcommittee on Defense Committee on Appropriations, *FY 2014 Department of the Navy Posture*, April 2013.

mission, Navy Reserve C-9Bs were called to move 110 Seabees and 6,600 lbs. of cargo from Port Hueneme, CA to McGuire Air Force Base on short notice. A separate C-9B crew transported a P-3 Mobile Operations Control Center from San Diego, CA to Naval Air Station Jacksonville in support of U.S. Fleet Forces Command efforts to survey coastal damage. Navy Reserve Chaplains assigned to the USCG [United States Coast Guard] also participated in the USCG's response to Sandy. The NEPLO mission is exclusive to the Navy Reserve Component and these flexible and responsive operations exemplified our Navy Reserve motto: "Ready now. Anytime, Anywhere."³

Every day, Navy Reserve Sailors provide important operational support to the Navy. Approximately one-quarter of these Sailors are on full-time active duty (full-time support [FTS], mobilizations, deployments, active duty operational support [ADOS], etc.), while many others provide their expertise on a "part-time" basis (inactive duty training [IDT], annual training [AT], active duty for training [ADT], etc.). Some examples include SELRES Sailors providing critical maintenance support to ships both in the United States and forward deployed; the skilled engineers and technicians in the Naval Sea Systems Command executing shipyard projects; the FTS and SELRES aviators serving as instructors for 20 percent of the training sorties flown in the aviation training command; and the intelligence community providing key global intelligence support. Ideally suited to take on periodic and predictable work, this ready and accessible force of skilled Sailors provides valued capabilities on an ongoing basis. Furthermore, in the case of SELRES Sailors, when their work is done, they return to their civilian careers and leave the Navy payroll. Navy Reserve Sailors are both a highly-skilled and cost-effective workforce.

NGREA is utilized, as available, to meet the needs of the Navy. NGREA has been a high-impact capital infusion for the Navy Reserve since its inception in 1981, but has taken on added importance in recent years. The appropriation has enabled critical RC equipment recapitalization affecting Aviation, Surface, and deploying expeditionary Sailors.

a. Fleet Air Logistics

The RC provides 100 percent of the Navy's organic, global airlift capability for fleet and combatant commands (COCOMs). The Fleet Logistics Support Wing (FLSW) consists of 12 squadrons operating C-40A, C-9B, C-20A/D/G, C-37A/B, and C-130T aircraft. C-9B aircraft average 35 years in age and require substantial avionics upgrades and engine replacement to meet globally-mandated noise-abatement and navigation requirements. Significant airlift recapitalization was initiated in FY 1997 when \$120M of NGREA funding was provided to procure the first two C-40A aircraft, beginning the replacement of the C-9B fleet. Thirteen more C-40As were procured between FY 1998 and FY 2013, utilizing funding through NGREA, Congressional adds, and the President's Budget. To date, 12 C-40As have been accepted and are being operated by VR-56 (Naval Air Station [NAS] Oceana, Virginia), VR-57 (NAS North Island, California), VR-58 (NAS Jacksonville, Florida), and VR-59 (NAS Joint Reserve Base [JRB], Fort Worth, Texas).



³ Ibid.

VR-61 (NAS Whidbey Island, Washington), the last C-9B squadron, is scheduled to transition to the C-40A in FY 2015.

FLSW C-130T squadrons are eagerly anticipating the transfer of five KC-130T aircraft from the Marine Corps Reserve during their transition to the KC-130J. The current FLSW C-130T fleet, and potential additions, are compliant past 2014 with Communication, Navigation, Surveillance (CNS)/Air Traffic Management (ATM) requirements. However, the aircraft are limited to certain flight altitudes due to the lack of a certified Global Positioning System (GPS) and enhanced altitude reporting capability, and continue to face financial shortages that threaten future compliance. Completing an Avionics Obsolescence Upgrade (AOU) on the current Navy C-130 fleet will be required to modernize flight instruments. The AOU modification provides full compliance with CNS/ATM and safety mandates through an integrated open architecture avionics system. Additionally, it replaces several aging avionics systems that are becoming obsolete and degrading aircraft readiness. Because of its versatile capability, the C-130T remains the most requested airlift asset in the Navy Reserve. Its ability to deliver outsized or special handling cargo to nearly any location worldwide remains a critical capability for Fleet and COCOMs.

b. Tactical Aviation

The TSW provides a strategic reserve for the Navy's 10 carrier air wings, and also provides adversary training and homeland defense operations. The TSW is comprised of five squadrons: one E/A-18G, two F/A-18A+, and two F-5 F/N.



The EA-18G squadron, VAQ-209, flew the EA-6B until FY 2013, supporting joint airborne electronic warfare requirements in Afghanistan while supplementing Fleet Replacement Squadron aircrew training requirements at home. The Navy is currently recapitalizing the RC E/A-6B Prowlers with five E/A-18G aircraft in conjunction with relocating the squadron from Joint Base Andrews, Maryland to NAS Whidbey Island, WA to provide additional operational, logistic, and fiscal benefits through collocation with the Navy's Electronic Attack community. The squadron will be designated "Safe for Flight" in early 2014 and "Ready for Tasking" in 2015. The transition is needed to mitigate the Navy's AEA capacity and capability gap in the future. Without the RC E/A-18G transition, the Navy will lose a critical operational and strategic reserve AEA capability. These aircraft will ensure COCOM requirements are supported with the ability to maintain the composition of an air wing with the transformational capability for suppression of enemy air defenses, integrated air/ground attack, and OCO missions.

The Navy is seeking to recapitalize the F/A-18A+ RC Hornet squadrons with F/A-18E and F-35C Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft. The F/A-18E and JSF will provide sustainable platforms to meet the Navy's vision of future warfare capabilities as discussed in the *Sea Power 21* guiding principles. As the Navy tactical aircraft fleet shrinks and ages, there is a significant dependence on the remaining RC F/A-18A+ aircraft, which comprise more than 25 percent of the Navy's total adversary capability and 52 percent of the radar-capable adversary sortie requirement.

c. Maritime Patrol and Reconnaissance Aircraft (MPRA)

The RC currently provides eight percent of the Navy's usable maritime patrol aircraft providing antisubmarine warfare (ASW) surge capacity, counter-illicit trafficking operations, humanitarian assistance/disaster relief support, increased homeland defense contingency options, and fleet and NATO exercise support. The RC operates two P-3C squadrons, composed of six antisurface warfare improvement program (AIP) and six block modification upgrade program (BMUP) aircraft. The AIP variant augments the AC P-3 inventory shortfall and is capable of intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance collection. The BMUP variant augments an AC P-3 inventory shortfall in ASW. The two RC MPRA squadrons report directly to AC Patrol and Reconnaissance Wings under the guidance of Commander, Patrol and Reconnaissance Group. RC squadrons support the CNO's *Fleet Response Plan (FRP)* by continuously providing six combat-ready aircrews for worldwide surge.

Increased COCOM demand, grounding notifications issued through airframe bulletins, and increased readiness requirements have resulted in a fleet-wide shortage of P-3C aircraft and the corresponding incorporation of RC aircraft into AC training and readiness, forward-deployment, and P-3C sustainment/sundown plans. Twelve AC squadrons began transition to the P-8A in FY 2012 with full operational capability to be achieved in FY 2019. In response to a Global Force Management reduction associated with the AC P-8A transition, the RC is providing three combat aircrews for each of four six-month deployments, thereby ensuring the maritime patrol and reconnaissance force maintains its ability to satisfy COCOM requirements. In addition to these deployments, the RC is slated to assume the Littoral Surveillance Radar System (LSRS) mission, thereby allowing the AC to complete its transition while awaiting full operational capability of the P-8A's LSRS-replacement system. The Navy is currently exploring plans to replace the 12 RC P-3Cs with seven P-8As. The recapitalization of both RC VP patrol squadrons is necessary if the RC is to retain its ability to recapture maritime patrol and reconnaissance experience/investment and provide surge capacity in support of major combat operations.

d. Rotary-Wing Aviation

The RC currently provides five helicopter squadrons to the Navy's rotary-wing fleet that are operationally integrated into the AC wings for routine tasking and surge support. The squadrons perform a variety of critical missions, including dedicated rotary-wing special operations forces (SOF) support, search and rescue, logistics support, ASW, airborne mine countermeasures (AMCM), and counter-illicit trafficking operations. HSC-84 and HSC-85 operate the HH-60H, and provide the Navy's only dedicated Naval Special Warfare support. HSL-60 provides dedicated fleet support and the only Navy night airborne use of force capability for counter-drug operations. HSL-60 will begin its transition from the SH-60B to the MH-60R during FY 2015. Additionally, the RC is responsible for personnel and equipment (seven MH-53E helicopters) in support of two composite AC/RC AMCM squadrons (HM-14 and HM-15), which comprise 37 percent of the Navy's total AMCM capability.



Personnel from HSC-84 (NAS Norfolk, Virginia) have been partially mobilized and deployed in support of OCO, supporting special operations ground force missions in urban and rural areas, psychological operations, and medical and casualty evacuations in the USCENTCOM AOR.

HSC-85 (NAS North Island, California) has participated in several overseas SOF training detachments and is deploying to Guam in support of a United States Special Operations Command request for forces. Both squadrons provide over 25 percent of the helicopter support to special operations, making them vital to the success of the Nation's SOF. HSL-60 (Naval Station Mayport, Florida) is tasked with fleet support and counter-illicit trafficking operations, deploying six-months per year in the United States Southern Command (USSOUTHCOM) AOR. Additionally, this squadron provided the first Navy Reserve air detachment to deploy with MQ-8B Fire Scout VTUAVs onboard the *USS Simpson* (FFG-56) in support of special operations.

e. Coastal Riverine Force (CRF)

In FY 2013, mergers of the Riverine Force and the Maritime Expeditionary Security Force to form the CRF were completed for all squadrons. The combined force is organized into three AC squadrons of four companies each and four RC squadrons of three companies each. The scalable CRF units protect critical maritime infrastructure, embark in military and strategic sealift vessels, and escort fleet units operating in and around foreign ports worldwide. The CRF is composed of 5,093 personnel, of which 2,608 are RC.



The most critical needs for Navy Reserve CRF continue to be acquisition of MK VI Patrol Boats and Riverine Command Boats for each squadron. Additional funding is also required for the Mobile Ashore Support Terminal (MAST), Radar Sonar Surveillance Center (RSSC), and electrical generators. CRF has a projected total shortfall of \$200.6M across the FYDP.

f. Naval Construction Force (NCF)



NCF provides a wide range of construction capability in support of Navy and Joint Forces including building roads, bridges, airfields, operations bases, and civic projects for partner nations.

As a result of force structure reductions to be completed by FY 2015, the Navy Reserve component of NCF will consist of three Naval Construction Regiments and six Naval Mobile Construction Battalions. The resulting force maintains capacity for contingencies and operational-plan requirements worldwide as well as preserving critical peacetime and wartime construction skills. By 2015, the NCF will consist of 11,526 personnel, including 5,875 Reserve Sailors.

g. Navy Expeditionary Logistics Support Group (NAVELSG)

NAVELSG delivers worldwide expeditionary logistics and is manned with AC and RC personnel. Missions include port and air terminal cargo handling, fuels distribution, ordnance reporting and handling, and customs and postal operations.

NGREA recently funded a full-size training crane system at Cheatham Annex, Virginia, enabling 3,000 Navy Reservists to achieve mission readiness in a risk-free environment. To add the same

level of training capability for NAVELSG air cargo company Sailors, an aircraft loading simulator for C-5 and C-17 platforms is planned.

NAVELSG is 90 percent manned by Reserve Sailors, comprising one AC and six RC Navy Cargo Handling Battalions. The total force is 3,046 personnel, of which 2,754 are Navy Reserve Sailors.

NAVELSG's consolidated Table of Allowance (TOA) requires additional construction equipment, tactical vehicles and shipping containers, totaling \$24.7M across the FYDP.



h. Expeditionary Combat Camera (EXPCOMBATCAM) Atlantic



EXPCOMBATCAM provides video and still documentation teams for combat operations, joint exercises, and contingency operations throughout the joint force. EXPCOMBATCAM is a Navy Reserve visual information acquisition unit providing aerial and surface visual documentation and includes the only Navy subsurface documentation capability.

i. Navy Expeditionary Intelligence Command (NEIC)

NEIC delivers maritime expeditionary intelligence experts that respond to rapidly evolving irregular warfare requirements. Functional area capabilities provided by NEIC include tactical ground/maritime human intelligence, intelligence exploitation, expeditionary intelligence analysis, and tactical electronic warfare/information operations. With unique access to areas normally inaccessible to more traditional intelligence assets, NEIC gives expeditionary forces and joint forces timely, actionable intelligence not otherwise available. NEIC is comprised of 258 personnel, of which 77 are Reserve.



j. Surface Warfare Enterprise



The Surface Warfare Enterprise is supported by more than 2,000 surface Navy Reserve billets across 86 RC units and detachments. The RC personnel in these billets support the following major surface and amphibious warfare areas: LCS, Ballistic Missile Defense, surface readiness detachments, naval beach group, assault craft units, beachmaster units, amphibious construction battalions, Tactical Air Control, and Afloat Culture Workshops. Additionally, RC Sailors provide

critical operational support to surface deployments in the USCENTCOM, United States Africa Command, USSOUTHCOM, and United States Pacific Command AORs.

The LCS is designed to provide warfighting capabilities and operational flexibility that contribute to maritime dominance and provide improved access for the joint force. LCS employs manned and unmanned vehicles and other systems to accomplish the primary missions of littoral

antisubmarine warfare, mine countermeasures, and surface warfare. The Navy Reserve LCS mission is to maintain assigned SELRES personnel and equipment in an optimized state of readiness and availability to support LCS mission requirements. Navy has already made an impressive commitment to this strategic initiative through the establishment of 13 units and 400 billets dedicated to LCS operations and support, with a goal of 1,000 personnel in 20 units by FY 2019. RC LCS units are organized to provide strategic support for warfighting requirements as well as operational support during normal and surge operations. It is anticipated that the 20 LCS units will provide 30,000 man-days of support per year, augmenting manpower for the LCS squadron staffs, seaframe maintenance, shipboard antiterrorism/force protection watches and Mission Module support. Maintenance is the chief focus area of the RC effort, constituting approximately 60 percent of the overall RC contribution to the LCS Program.

In support of the beach group mission, Navy Reserve owns and operates ten Maritime Prepositioning Force Utility Boats (MPFUBs) at five Navy operational support centers. Assault Craft Unit One and Two Reserve detachments use the MPFUBs to train to conduct assault follow-on echelon offload missions, provide necessary relief for AC crews as required, and cover homeport requirements for deployed units.

k. Naval Special Warfare (NSW)

Naval Special Warfare Group Eleven (NSWG-11) is the immediate superior in command for two Reserve sea-air-land (SEAL) teams, with 15 RC operational support units and 15 regional NSW detachments, comprising 1,007 AC and RC billets. In addition to its role as NSW RC force commander, NSWG-11 functions as the Operational Support Office for the NSW RC force. At any given time, one-third of NSW RC personnel are providing seamlessly integrated operational support to NSW and joint SOF units around the world.

The NSW RC is a highly flexible force that provides key strategic capability to the NSW total force. In addition, Reserve SEAL, special warfare combatant-craft crewmen (SWCC), and combat support personnel have continued to fulfill NSW AC operational requirements at an extraordinarily high operational tempo. Nearly every RC SEAL and SWCC has been mobilized, and the majority of NSW RC personnel volunteer for additional active duty during their dwell time.



The globalization of the special operations enterprise has seen increased demands on NSW RC personnel and equipment as forces are employed worldwide. Additionally, mission sets traditionally residing within the AC (e.g., counter narcotics, interagency operations) are increasingly being sourced to NSW Reserve units, freeing AC units to meet increasing demand for SOF while taking advantage of the unique civilian and military skill sets within NSW RC.

Since the NSW RC force became operational in FY 2008, it has relied heavily on NSW AC units to provide equipment for both training and deployment. This results in shortages in equipment for training and employment. There continues to be increasing demand placed upon the NSW RC, leading to manning, training, and equipping challenges. NGREA funding has been effective in



mitigating critical shortages in NSW RC equipment requirements, purchasing key items such as body armor, weapons, and visual augmentation systems.

l. Military Sealift Command (MSC)

MSC is the transportation provider for the Department of Defense with the responsibility of providing worldwide strategic sealift and ocean transportation for all military forces. MSC is represented by five geographic area commands (Atlantic, Pacific, Europe, Middle East, and Far East), which exercise tactical control of all assigned United States Transportation Command forces and MSC forces not otherwise assigned to the numbered fleet commanders.

Nearly 900 Reserve Sailors are assigned to 40 MSC units worldwide. When mobilized, they take charge of establishing MSC port offices to assist with sealift operations where and when needed. Navy Reserve cargo afloat rig teams, or CARTs, are utilized to augment civil service mariners aboard combat logistics force ships, which transfer food, fuel, ammunition, and other critical supplies to combatant ships at sea. CARTs are composed of Reserve Sailors highly trained in underway replenishment operations for both connected and vertical replenishments.

The most critical need for MSC Reservists is joint task force expeditionary port opening equipment, which has a shortfall of \$3.1M.

m. Submarine Force (Undersea Warfare)

The Navy Reserve submarine force is supported by more than 2,000 Reserve billets across numerous units and detachments. The Submarine Force Reserve Component mission is to provide trained, ready, and cost-effective personnel to the submarine force in carrying out the maritime strategy.

The mission of strategic deterrence by ballistic missile submarines is supported by RC personnel contributions to the Trident Refit Facility and Naval Submarine Support Units across the fleet. Reservists also provide critical operational support to the guided-missile submarine (SSGN) fleet with on-site support for SSGN voyage repairs conducted in Diego Garcia and Guam. Additionally, Reserve Sailors are assigned to four submarine force protection detachments, providing direct in-port support of deployed submarines around the world.

n. Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR)

As the Navy's Information Dominance systems command, SPAWAR develops, delivers, and sustains communications and information capabilities for warfighters, keeping them connected around the world, on land, at sea, and in flight. With a space support activity, two system centers, and through partnerships with three program executive offices, SPAWAR provides the hardware and software needed to execute Navy missions. SPAWAR is at the forefront of research, engineering, and acquisition to provide and sustain fleet capabilities.

o. Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED)

RC Navy Expeditionary Medical Training Institute provides tiered readiness training for Expeditionary Medical Facility (EMF) platforms and Marine Forces Reserve to support level 1 and level 2 dental care in operational and exercise environments. BUMED has identified a need to maintain four RC EMF operational platforms. Each of these platforms requires ongoing

training to maintain currency and qualifications for deployment. Dental equipment, x-ray and ultrasound systems, and various other items are required with a shortage of \$680K across the FYDP.

p. Navy Reserve Public Affairs Officers

Public affairs officers and mass communication specialists support the Chief of Navy Information (CHINFO) in execution of Department of the Navy (DON) public affairs. The CHINFO/DON public affairs mission is to provide strategic counsel, contribute to operational planning, and execute communication activities in support of national objectives, joint combat operations, and the Navy missions. The Navy Reserve public affairs cadre consists of 240 officers and 270 enlisted who support Navy, joint, and expeditionary command mobilization requirements worldwide. Deployable media kits are required to maximize capability and standardize equipment within the public affairs community at a cost of \$1.4M across the FYDP.

2. Status of Equipment

a. Equipment On-hand

Table 1 provides projected RC major equipment requirements and on-hand inventories to meet assigned missions.

b. Average Age of Major Equipment Items

The Navy Reserve maintains and operates equipment requiring replacement and modernization. *Table 2* provides the average age of major equipment. Of particular concern are P-3C aircraft (29 years old). These aircraft all operate at a significantly higher cost, produce lower ready-for-tasking rates, and provide lesser capability than their projected replacement platforms.

c. Compatibility of Current Equipment with the AC

Achieving equipment compatibility with the AC is one of the Navy Reserve's top priorities. Procurement and upgrade programs, as well as Congressional adds, have improved RC equipment capability and compatibility. For the NCF, NAVELSG, and especially CRF units, the ability to fully fund equipment requirements remains a challenge. Since 2003, significant funding increases from Congressional adds and NGREA have helped these units reduce shortfalls.

d. Maintenance Issues

Navy Reserve equipment maintenance is a top priority. Without properly maintained equipment, RC hardware units are unable to train and deploy mission-ready Reservists. Accordingly, sufficient funds are programmed to sustain the materiel readiness and capability of RC unit equipment. As a result of this emphasis on ready assets, RC equipment readiness remains above minimum CNO-directed levels. This level of readiness has proven acceptable, as the Navy Reserve has been ready and fully integrated into the Navy's worldwide missions; however, the accelerated service-life expenditure of these assets from OCO require increased levels of operation and maintenance funding. Additionally, due to sequestration, depot level maintenance on a small number of airframes, engines, and modules was deferred from FY 2014 to FY 2015, with a potential impact on FY 2015 mission readiness. Modernized replacement assets will reduce maintenance issues and produce significant program cost-savings.

e. Modernization Programs and Shortfalls

The Navy has a list of unfunded equipment replacement and modernization requirements. Periodically, the CNO develops an Unfunded Programs List and forwards it to Congress for resourcing consideration. The CNO's highest priority unfunded equipment requirements for the Navy Reserve are provided in *Table 8*.

B. Changes since the Last NGRER

A recent Navy cost-savings initiative eliminated all funding for the only Navy Reserve E-2C squadron. As a result, VAW-77, located at NAS New Orleans, Louisiana, divested its respective aircraft, ceased operations, and disestablished in March 2013. The disestablishment of this squadron resulted in a decrease of approximately 1,800 flight hours and 180 days of airborne surveillance in support of counter-illicit trafficking operations.

C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017)

1. FY 2017 Equipment Requirements

Table 1 provides projected FY 2015–FY 2017 major equipment inventories and requirements.

2. Anticipated New Equipment Procurements

Since FY 2011, significant funding has been provided to NECC units reducing RC TOA shortfalls while increasing materiel and operational readiness. *Tables 3* and *4* reflect these anticipated new equipment procurements.

3. Anticipated Withdrawals and Transfers from AC to RC

Table 5 provides major RC equipment to be decommissioned and anticipated major equipment transfers between the AC and RC.

4. Remaining Equipment Shortages and Modernization Shortfalls at the End of FY 2017

Tables 1 and *8* provide RC equipment inventories, shortfalls, and modernization requirements.

D. Summary

In summary, the Navy continues to integrate its Reserve and Active Components into a cohesive Total Force ready to meet all operational requirements. The Navy Reserve's top equipment priorities are aircraft and expeditionary hardware for Coastal Riverine Force, Naval Construction Force, and Navy Expeditionary Logistics Support Group units. Even after significant Reserve reductions in FY 2013, Reserve personnel still comprise almost 50 percent of the Navy's expeditionary forces.

Procurement of modern equipment across all communities is essential to ensuring compatibility and interoperability with the AC. Additionally, as the Navy continues to develop UAS programs such as Triton and Fire Scout, the opportunity for Navy Reserve engagement will grow. The capacity to participate in these mission sets will enhance the Total Force as we continue to progress into the 21st century.

The Navy Reserve will continue to play a vital role in Navy's Total Force that will deliver valued capabilities. As stated in the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review Report,

Prevailing in today's wars requires a Reserve Component that can serve in an operational capacity—available, trained, and equipped for predictable routine deployment. Preventing and deterring conflict will likely necessitate the continued use of some elements of the Reserve Component—especially those that possess high-demand skill sets—in an operational capacity well into the future.

Today's Navy Reserve provides vital strategic depth and operational capabilities to the Navy and Nation. Depending on the mission, the RC mirrors or complements the AC. When required, the RC stands ready to provide rotational forces for common missions that are periodic and predictable. The RC also complements the AC by providing unique capabilities in other areas, such as intra-theater airlift, adversary support, cargo afloat rig teams, and rotary-wing support to joint special operations forces. Whether providing strategic depth or unique operational capabilities, NGREA has been essential to allowing the Navy Reserve to meet its warfighting commitments.

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

NOTE: This table provides a comprehensive list of selected major equipment items. It provides the projected inventory quantity on-hand (QTY O/H) at the beginning/end of the selected fiscal year (FY). It also provides the quantity required (QTY REQ) to meet the full wartime requirements of the Reserve Component. In accordance with Title 10, the QTY REQ number provides the recommendation as to the quantity and type of equipment that should be in the inventory of each Reserve Component. FY 2015 unit cost estimates are provided by the Military Departments.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-40A (Boeing 737-700) | C-40A | \$72,500,000 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 17 |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-130T (Hercules) | C-130T | \$28,343,475 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-20A (Gulfstream) | C-20A | \$18,630,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-20D (Gulfstream) | C-20D | \$21,874,725 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-20G (Gulfstream) | C-20G | \$32,446,215 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-37A (Gulfstream) | C-37A | \$48,317,940 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-37B (Gulfstream) | C-37B | \$64,000,000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Aircraft, Patrol, P-3C (Orion) | P-3C | \$74,471,355 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Aircraft, Electronic Attack, EA-18G (Growler) | EA-18G | \$85,000,000 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Aircraft, Fighter/Attack, F/A-18A+ (Hornet) | F/A-18A+ | \$54,074,610 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 24 |
| Aircraft, Fighter, F-5F (Freedom Fighter) | F-5F | \$15,231,060 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Aircraft, Fighter, F-5N (Freedom Fighter) | F-5N | \$740,025 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Helicopter, Combat SAR, HH-60H (Seahawk) | HH-60H | \$15,564,330 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Helicopter, Mine Warfare, MH-53E (Sea Dragon) | MH-53E | \$22,518,495 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Helicopter, ASW, Frigate, SH-60B (Seahawk) | SH-60B | \$19,190,970 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Helicopter, ASW, MH-60R (Seahawk) | MH-60R | \$25,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Aviation Simulators | | | | | | | |
| C-130T Simulator | C-130T SIM | \$17,735,417 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| F-5 Simulator | 2F213 | \$3,800,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| FA-18C Simulator | 2F193A | \$8,500,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Ships | | | | | | | |
| Frigate, Guided Missile (Perry Class) Flight III | FFG | \$353,149,245 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Naval Beach Group | | | | | | | |
| Maritime Prepositioning Force Utility Boat | MPF-UB | \$1,000,000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Naval Beach Group TOA | NBG | \$26,705,722 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Reserve Naval Construction Force (NCF) | | | | | | | |
| Construction Battalion Maintenance Unit TOA | P05 | \$15,741,049 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Naval Mobile Construction Battalion TOA | P25 | \$69,919,268 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Naval Mobile Construction Battalion PGI TOA | P25PGIRC | \$5,635,644 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Naval Construction Regiment TOA | P29 | \$12,159,643 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Naval Construction Regiment PGI TOA | P29PGIRC | \$811,788 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Naval Construction Division TOA | P30 | \$855,657 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Construction Capability Augment TOA | P32 | \$133,479,019 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

USNR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|--|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| NCF Training Allowance TOA | P47 | \$46,703,859 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| EXPCOMBATCAM TOA Equipment | EO9 | \$2,800,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Coastal Riverine Force (CRF) | | | | | | | |
| Squadron Headquarters & A/B/C Companies TOA Equipment | B01SQDHQ B01AA011 B01AB011 B01AC011 | \$63,356,760 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mobile Ashore Support Terminal | B01S02 MAST | \$3,106,533 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Radar Sonar Surveillance Central | B01S02 RSS1 | \$2,848,481 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Navy Expeditionary Logistics Support Group | | | | | | | |
| Navy Expeditionary Logistics Regiment Staff TOA | F01NL RSTF | \$1,241,266 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Expeditionary Communications Detachment TOA | F01ECD | \$1,072,163 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Navy Cargo Handling Battalion TOA | F01NCHB | \$32,720,818 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Navy Expeditionary Intelligence Command (NEIC) | | | | | | | |
| Intelligence Exploitation Team TOA Equipment | G11IET | \$1,044,181 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Mission Module, SUW, Littoral Combat Ship | SUW MM | \$26,000,000 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mission Module, MCM, Littoral Combat Ship | MCM MM | \$96,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 |

Average Age of Equipment

NOTE: This table provides the average age of selected major equipment items. The average age provides a projected average age of the fleet at the start of FY 2014.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|---|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Aircraft | | | |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-40A (Boeing 737-700) | C-40A | 8 | |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-130T (Hercules) | C-130T | 19 | |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-20A (Gulfstream) | C-20A | 30 | |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-20D (Gulfstream) | C-20D | 26 | |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-20G (Gulfstream) | C-20G | 21 | |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-37A (Gulfstream) | C-37A | 11 | |
| Aircraft, Transport, C-37B (Gulfstream) | C-37B | 7 | |
| Aircraft, Patrol, P-3C (Orion) | P-3C | 29 | |
| Aircraft, Fighter/Attack, F/A-18A+ (Hornet) | F/A-18A+ | 27 | |
| Aircraft, Fighter, F-5 (Freedom Fighter) | F-5F/N | 34 | |
| Helicopter, Combat SAR, HH-60H (Seahawk) | HH-60H | 21 | |
| Helicopter, Mine Warfare, MH-53E (Sea Dragon) | MH-53E | 20 | |
| Helicopter, ASW, Frigate, SH-60B (Seahawk) | SH-60B | 28 | |
| Aviation Simulators | | | |
| C-130T Simulator | C-130T SIM | 28 | Average age of all four simulators |
| F-5 Simulator | 2F213 | 5 | Average age of two simulators |
| FA-18C Simulator | 2F193A | 5 | Average age of two simulators |
| Ships | | | |
| Frigate, Guided Missile (Perry Class) Flight III | FFG | 30 | |
| Naval Beach Group | | | |
| Maritime Prepositioning Force Utility Boat | MPF-UB | 4 | |
| Naval Construction Force (NCF) | | | |
| Construction Battalion Maintenance Unit TOA | P05 | 15 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| Naval Mobile Construction Battalion (NMCB) TOA | P25 | 9 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| Naval Construction Regiment (NCR) TOA | P29 | 7 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| Construction Capability Augment TOA | P32 | 13 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| NCF Training Allowance TOA | P47 | 7 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| EXPCOMBATCAM TOA Equipment | EO9 | 3 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| Coastal Riverine Force (CRF) | | | |
| Squadron Headquarters & A/B/C Companies TOA Equipment | B01SQDHQ B01AA011 B01AB011 B01AC011 | 7 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| Mobile Ashore Support Terminal | B01S02MAST | 8 | |
| Radar Sonar Surveillance Central | B01S02RSS1 | 8 | |
| Navy Expeditionary Logistics Support Group | | | |
| Navy Expeditionary Logistics Regiment Staff TOA | F01NLRSTF | 5 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| Expeditionary Communications Detachment TOA | F01ECD | 3 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| Navy Cargo Handling Battalion TOA | F01NCHB | 5 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |
| Navy Expeditionary Intelligence Command (NEIC) | | | |
| Intelligence Exploitation Team TOA Equipment | G11IET | 3 | Average age of major equipment in TOA |

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of programmed equipment procurement as identified in the P-1R exhibit of the FY 2015 President's Budget Request. All values are costs in dollars and exclude ammunition procurements. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2015 are expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2016 or FY 2017.

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Other Aircraft | | | |
| KC-130J | \$92,290,000 | \$121,313,000 | \$152,022,000 |
| Modification of Aircraft | | | |
| Adversary Aircraft | 8,418,000 | 5,880,000 | 1,479,000 |
| H-53 Series | 20,643,000 | 18,171,000 | 14,841,000 |
| C-130 Series | 18,781,000 | 22,473,000 | 21,180,000 |
| Cargo/Transport Aircraft (A/C) Series | 4,635,000 | 13,379,000 | 12,574,000 |
| Other Procurement | | | |
| Standard Boats | 1,128,000 | 1,143,000 | 1,164,000 |
| Passenger Carrying Vehicles | 202,000 | 165,000 | 163,000 |
| Construction & Maintenance Equipment | | 326,000 | 332,000 |
| Tactical Vehicles | | | 4,415,000 |
| Items Under \$5M - Civil Engineering Support Equipment | 739,000 | 1,087,000 | 1,557,000 |
| Materials Handling Equipment | 11,000 | | 1,279,000 |
| C4ISR Equipment | 1,850,000 | 1,885,000 | 1,929,000 |
| Physical Security Equipment | 724,000 | 1,804,000 | 4,010,000 |
| Total | \$149,421,000 | \$187,626,000 | \$216,945,000 |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of planned equipment procurements with the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA). These funds are available for a three-year period from the year of appropriation. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years from date of procurement before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2014 would be expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2015 or FY 2016. All values are costs in dollars.

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| <u>FY 2012 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | |
| C-40A Aircraft | \$72,500,000 | | |
| Naval Special Warfare (NSW) Weapons | 1,728,000 | | |
| NSW Mission Tasking Communication Equipment | 772,000 | | |
| <u>FY 2013 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | |
| Coastal Riverine Force (CRF) MK VI Patrol Boat | | \$30,000,000 | |
| F-5 Terrain Avoidance Warning System (TAWS)/Traffic Collision Avoidance System (TCAS) Initiative | | 9,685,000 | |
| Naval Special Warfare (NSW) Small Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) PUMA systems | | 6,084,000 | |
| F-5 Sustainment | | 5,460,000 | |
| F/A-18A+ Joint Helmet-mounted Cueing System (JHMCS) | | 4,900,000 | |
| Naval Special Warfare (NSW) Small Combatant Craft (11-meter Rigid-hull Inflatable Boat [RHIB]) | | 4,018,020 | |
| Joint Task Force-Port Opening (JTF-PO) Surface Port of Debarkation (SPOD) Expeditionary Port Unit (EPU) Table of Allowance (TOA) Equipment | | 3,138,412 | |
| NSW Specialized Weapons | | 2,720,500 | |
| C-40A Winglets | | 1,580,000 | |
| Submarine Force Protection Detachment Standard Mission Equipment | | 616,000 | |
| C-130T Annunciator Light Panel Spacer | | 300,000 | |
| Network Fly Away Team Support Package (NFATSP) | | 364,480 | |
| Public Affairs Deployable Multimedia Kit | | 192,790 | |
| Request Pending | | 20,940,798 | |
| Total | \$75,000,000 | \$90,000,000 | |

1. Service FY 2014 NGREA equipment list was not available in time for publication in the NGRER. Equipment list for FY 2014 will be provided in next year's NGRER.

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

NOTE: This table portrays the planned equipment transfers (Active to Reserve), withdrawals (-), and decommissioning (-). Transferred equipment is commonly called "cascaded equipment," or equipment that is provided to the RC once the AC receives more modern equipment. Although this table highlights a three-year period, many Services will not know exact quantities of transfers or withdrawals until year of execution, due to the uncertainty of the procurement/delivery cycle of new equipment.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|--|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|
| Helicopter, ASW, Frigate, SH-60B (Seahawk) | SH-60B | | -6 | | Replacing SH-60Bs with MH-60Rs |
| Helicopter, ASW, MH-60R (Seahawk) | MH-60R | | +7 | | Replacing SH-60Bs with MH-60Rs |
| Frigate, Guided Missile (Perry Class) Flight III | FFG | -5 | | -2 | Fleet continues decommissioning FFGs |
| Naval Mobile Construction Battalion PGI TOA | P25PGIRC | -2 | | | With the planned decommissioning of Naval Mobile Construction Battalions, two Reserve P25PGIRC TOAs will be eliminated. |
| Naval Construction Regiment TOA | P29 | -2 | | | Planned reduction of Naval Construction Regiments, two TOAs will be eliminated. |
| Intelligence Exploitation Team TOA Equipment | G11IET | -2 | | | Planned reduction of Intelligence Exploitation Teams reduces TOA requirements by two |

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

NOTE: This table compares planned Service procurements and transfers to the RC in FY 2011 with actual procurements and transfers. FY 2011 is selected as these are the most recent funds to expire. Because the procurement cycle is normally one to two years from funding to delivery, this table identifies only deliveries through the end of FY 2013. Procurement and NGREA columns reflect cost values in dollars.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| FY 2011 Planned Transfers & Withdrawals | | | | | | | |
| Helicopter, Combat, MH-60S | MH-60S | -5 | -5 | | | | |
| Helicopter, Combat SAR, HH-60H | HH-60H | +1 | +7 | | | | |
| Frigate, Guided Missile (Perry Class) Flight III | FFG | -1 | -1 | | | | |
| Landing Craft, Mechanized, Mark 8 | LCM-8 | -4 | -4 | | | | |
| FY 2011 P-1R Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| C-40A | | | | \$0 | \$72,936,000 | | |
| Modification of Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| H-53 Series | | | | 7,407,000 | 7,365,000 | | |
| Cargo/Transport A/C Series | | | | 16,092,000 | 14,028,000 | | |
| Other Procurement | | | | | | | |
| Standard Boats | | | | 30,777,000 | 21,154,000 | | |
| Passenger Carrying Vehicles | | | | 558,000 | 200,000 | | |
| Construction & Maintenance Equipment | | | | 436,000 | 545,000 | | |
| Tactical Vehicles | | | | 11,479,000 | 10,000,000 | | |
| Items Under \$5M (Civil Engineering Support Equipment) | | | | 1,439,000 | 1,430,000 | | |
| Materials Handling Equipment | | | | 1,159,000 | 1,360,000 | | |
| C4ISR Equipment | | | | 2,358,000 | 2,345,000 | | |
| Physical Security Equipment | | | | 2,111,000 | 2,100,000 | | |
| FY 2011 NGREA Equipment¹ | | | | | | | |
| C-130T Electronic Propeller Control System | | | | | | \$15,600,000 | \$15,600,000 |
| NAVELSG Cargo Handling Battalion Tactical Vehicles, Construction Equipment, and TOA Equipment | | | | | | 9,517,500 | 9,517,500 |
| Adversary Digital Radio Frequency Memory (DRFM) Electronic Attack (EA) Pods | | | | | | 7,596,000 | 7,596,000 |
| F-5 Radar Altimeter (RADALT), F-5 TAWS/TCAS | | | | | | 7,568,000 | 800,000 |
| C4ISR Equipment | | | | | | 5,000,000 | 4,762,245 |
| F/A-18 Helmet-mounted Sight Integration | | | | | | 4,152,000 | 9,392,000 |
| F-5 Block II Upgrade Demonstrator | | | | | | 4,000,000 | 0 |
| Maritime Civil Affairs and Security Training Command Civil Affairs Team TOA Equipment | | | | | | 2,962,000 | 3,180,000 |
| Navy Expeditionary Intelligence Command TOA Equipment | | | | | | 2,788,000 | 2,788,000 |
| Special Forces Personal Protection Equipment | | | | | | 2,352,000 | 5,864,755 |
| E-2C Hawkeye 2000 Family of Systems Synthetic Training Devices | | | | | | 1,800,000 | 0 |
| Maritime Expeditionary Security Force (MESF) TOA Equipment | | | | | | 1,390,500 | 1,990,500 |
| NAVELSG Expeditionary Communications Detachment TOA Equipment | | | | | | 1,120,000 | 1,120,000 |

USNR

Table 6

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| Optics/Night Vision Equipment | | | | | | 1,075,000 | 1,800,000 |
| Maritime Civil Affairs and Security Training Command Mobile Training Team TOA Equipment | | | | | | 1,059,000 | 241,000 |
| Deployment Operating Stock | | | | | | 740,000 | 740,000 |
| Combat Camera Table of Allowance (TOA) Equipment | | | | | | 680,000 | 680,000 |
| F-5 Maverick Missile Kits Program | | | | | | 410,000 | 0 |
| Small Arms Simulators for use in Helicopter Sea Combat Squadron 84 (HSC-84) and HSC-85 | | | | | | 190,000 | 0 |
| F-5 Structural Sustainment | | | | | | 0 | 2,956,000 |
| Total | | | | \$73,816,000 | \$133,463,000 | \$70,000,000 | \$69,028,000 |
| 1. A decrement of \$972,000 was applied to USNR FY 2011 NGREA due to FY 2013 sequestration reduction allocation. | | | | | | | |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

NOTE: This table identifies equipment authorized by the Service to be used as a substitute for a primary item of equipment. The table also identifies whether or not the item is deployable in wartime. This data meets the Title 10 requirement to identify equipment that is not the most desired equipment item.

| Required Item Nomenclature | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

**Service Does Not Use Substitution to Satisfy Major Item
Equipment Requirements**

Significant Major Item Shortages

NOTE: This table provides a RC top ten prioritized (PR) shortage list for major equipment items required for wartime missions but which are currently not funded in the FYDP. It lists the total quantity required, the total unfunded requirement, the individual item cost, and the cost of the unfunded portion. This data is consistent with other unfunded equipment data submitted by the Service.

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|---|-------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|
| 1 | C-40A | 17 | 2 | \$72,500,000 | \$145,000,000 | The C-40 is Navy's designated C-9B/C-20G replacement aircraft. Fifteen of 17 aircraft required to meet Navy's "risk adjusted" inventory objective/red-line requirement have been procured. The procurement of the remaining two aircraft will enable Navy to meet wartime air logistics obligations and retire the C-20G airframe leading to further operational cost savings and improved capability/reliability. The Navy is divesting of the C-9B in 2014. |
| 2 | Coastal Riverine Force (CRF) Boats and Communications Upgrades | various | various | various | \$200,556,350 | Funds shortfalls of Riverine Command Boats and MK VI Patrol Boats required to support Reserve CRF training for maritime infrastructure protection and high-value asset (HVA) escort in the coastal waters. Funding also required to converge Coastal Riverine Squadron Mobile Ashore Support Terminal (MAST) and Radar Sonar Surveillance Center (RSSC) core internet-protocol (IP) services. |
| 3 | Naval Construction Force (NCF) Table of Allowances (TOAs) Equipment | various | various | various | \$19,800,000 | Funding required to upgrade NCF Navy Expeditionary Combat Command (NECC) Enterprise Tactical Command and Control (NETC2) Systems in support of five Naval Mobile Construction Battalion (NMCB) Reserve deployable units and two Reserve Training Platforms (RTPs) (drill sites with communications equipment) |
| 4 | Navy Expeditionary Logistics Support Group (NAVELSG) TOA Equipment | various | various | various | \$24,658,410 | Funds remaining shortfalls within the three Reserve F01 Navy Cargo Handling Battalion (NCHB) subcomponents: Civil Engineering Support Equipment (CESE), Construction Equipment, and Rapid Response Kits over a three-year period starting in FY 2014. |
| 5 | Naval Special Warfare (NSW) Specialized Weapons (Sniper, Individual, and Crew-served) | various | various | various | \$1,607,831 | With the execution of FY 2011 - FY 2012 NGREA, NSW Reservists were provided a minimal inventory of individual weapons, reducing reliance on Active Component (AC) NSW units for deployable systems. To make fully operational NSW Reserve Special Operators, specialized sniper weapons and unit-level individual and crew-served weapons systems must be procured to provide an independent inventory for training and deployment. |
| 6 | NSW Visual Augmentation Systems and Accessories | various | various | various | \$4,198,119 | With the execution of FY 2011 - FY 2012 NGREA, NSW Reservists were provided a minimal inventory of optics and night vision accessories, reducing reliance on AC NSW units for deployable systems. To make fully operational NSW Reserve Special Operators, specialized weapons optics and night vision systems must be procured to provide an independent inventory for training and deployment. |

Significant Major Item Shortages

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|---|
| 7 | C-130T Simulator Upgrade | 1 | 1 | \$17,735,417 | \$17,735,417 | Funds one C-130T simulator modification. The 2F152, C-130T Operational Flight trainer is a critical link in the Commander Fleet Logistics Support Wing (CFLSW), aircrew training continuum and is in dire need of an upgrade. Transferred to Navy Reserve in 2005 with the most recent update performed in 1998. Computing systems are obsolete and not supportable. CFLSW's long range training plan requires upgrading the 2F152 to the Avionics Obsolescence Upgrade (AOU) aircraft configuration. Simulator re-host and configuration upgrade cost includes \$2.0M for Naval Air Warfare Center Training Systems Division support and is based on current 2F107 upgrade support cost. |
| 8 | KC-130J | 5 | 5 | \$88,000,000 | \$440,000,000 | Procures KC-130J aircraft for the Navy Reserve Component (RC). These aircraft will fill the shortfall in the Navy Unique Fleet Essential Airlift (NUFEA) inventory bringing it to the NAVPLAN 2030 redline. Navy C/KC-130T RC fleet is currently short of required wartime capability requirements, reducing lift capability for personnel, medical evacuation, and cargo transport. Avionics Modernization Program (AMP) for C/KC-130T was cancelled Apr 2008 due to competing priorities of the Naval Aviation Enterprise, USMC divestiture, and KJ procurement strategy. AMP cancellation leaves the C/KC-130T without a solution for issues of equipment obsolescence, supportability, commercial standardization, global navigation, and safety. |
| 9 | F/A-18E | 24 | 24 | \$55,089,000 | \$1,322,136,000 | With 28 RC aircraft transferred to AC as shortfall mitigation strategy, the current 24 F/A-18A+ aircraft are not network-centric warfare capable, non-deployable, red only assets. Avoids upgrade (ECP-560R4) to twilight F/A-18A+. |
| 10 | P-8A | 7 | 7 | \$224,600,000 | \$1,572,200,000 | Procures seven P-8As to fill patrol, reconnaissance, and intelligence gathering capability gap. Remaining 12 RC P-3Cs (35 RC aircraft transferred to AC to mitigate 31 AC aircraft struck in 2008) slated for incorporation into "Best of Breed" program and continued AC utilization through completion of P-8A transition. Subsequent disestablishment of P-3C support infrastructure prohibits continued P-3C operations and necessitates an earlier-than-anticipated RC transition. |

Chapter 5

United States Air Reserve Components

I. United States Air Force Overview

AIR FORCE VISION

The World's Greatest Air Force, Powered by Airmen, Fueled by Innovation

AIR FORCE MISSION

Fly, Fight, and Win...in Air, Space, and Cyberspace

A. Air Force Planning Guidance

The United States Air Force provides *Global Vigilance, Global Reach, and Global Power* for America through the five enduring Air Force core missions of 1) air and space superiority; 2) intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance; 3) rapid global mobility; 4) global strike; and 5) command and control. These missions directly support the Air Force's ability to meet the objectives of the January 2012, *Sustaining U.S. Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense* (Defense Strategic Guidance). Pursuit of this new defense strategic guidance in an era of constrained resources is reflected in acquisition and modernization priorities distributed among the Regular Air Force, Air Force Reserve (AFR), and the Air National Guard (ANG).

In March of 2013, the Secretary of the Air Force and Chief of Staff of the Air Force (CSAF) jointly issued *The Air Force Fiscal Year 2013 National Defense Authorization Act Implementation Plan*, which calls for "A strong Total Force for the future." In this plan, Air Force leadership mandates a strategic choice to become smaller to resource a fully ready, modernizing Air Force able to defend our interests and project American power across the globe, today and in the future. Recognizing the complexity and importance of the synergy between the Active and Reserve Components (AC and RC) that power our Air Force, senior leaders of the Air Force—Active, Guard, and Reserve—developed a Total Force Proposal that offered an integrated set of modifications to the FY 2013 President's Budget (PB).

Investments in Air Force capabilities and readiness are essential if the Nation is to maintain an agile, flexible, and ready force. To be effective, the Air Force must be deliberately planned for and appropriately and consistently funded. Our highly sophisticated and capable Air Force cannot be reconstituted overnight if it is allowed to atrophy. A smaller, highly capable Air Force is preferable to a larger one of lesser quality. America's Air Force gives our Nation immensely powerful options to advance its long-term interests and protect our way of life. Today's Air Force is an indispensable hedge against the challenges of an uncertain and dangerous future. Every Airman and every citizen should take pride in the fact that the United States Air Force will always provide Global Vigilance, Global Reach, and Global Power for America.

B. Air Force Equipping Policy

The five enduring Air Force core missions shape where we invest the resources we are given. Resource decisions are also informed by the Defense Strategic Guidance and the Budget Control Act of 2011. In particular, sequestration impacts our ability to plan for future year budget actions. The significant reductions the Air Force has had to make in the last few years required

us to make difficult choices with respect to force structure, modernization, and readiness. As a result, the Air Force is working hard to make real progress in eliminating unnecessary expenses and ensuring more disciplined use of resources.

Strategic placement of Air Force assets, such as aircraft, is determined through corporate-level processes involving both the AC and RC. Modernization of aircraft is addressed through a partnership between the requirements of the Core Function Lead Integrator for mission capability as well as requirements determined by the RC to meet assigned missions. These efforts ensure a mission-ready, mission-capable force to meet the Air Force's vision, mission, and priorities.

C. Plan to Fill Modernization Shortages in the RC

In today's demanding, complex, and uncertain environment, the Air Force achieves its mission and leadership priorities through the efficient incorporation of the RC. Historically, the Air Force has led the Department of Defense in maximizing the value of the RC, most notably through association of units between the AC and RC. In recent years, the Air Force has institutionalized this process in Total Force Integration initiatives and now in the broader view of Total Force Enterprise—an analytical framework used to provide insight into the mix of AC and RC. The Air Force will continue to address equipment modernization across the Total Force to ensure mission-ready, mission-capable forces.

With the challenging fiscal constraints, the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) funding provided by Congress has played an important role for both the Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve in preserving the operational force and strategic reserve that the Air Force needs.

D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment

Air Force senior leadership in *The Air Force Fiscal Year 2013 National Defense Authorization Act Implementation Plan*, March 2013, outlines how the FY 2013 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) authorized the Air Force to complete actions that had been approved in previous years, directed execution of a mix of the force structure actions contained within the FY 2013 PB and the Total Force Proposal, and directed specific actions in the remaining areas that Congress did not accept from FY 2013 PB. The paper also describes the actions necessary to comply with the FY 2013 NDAA and provides a time-phased addendum that captures the major force structure changes by location.

The FY 2013 PB proposed reducing the Total Force fleet by 223 aircraft in FY 2013 and a total of 287 aircraft between FY 2013 and FY 2017. Correspondingly, it proposed reducing military end strength by 9,900 in FY 2013, with a total reduction of 11,600 by FY 2017. Of that total of 11,600, 4,200 of those reductions were to come from the AC, 5,500 from the ANG, and 1,900 from the AFR. The savings projected as a result of these force structure and end strength reductions would have added up to \$1.4B in FY 2013 and \$8.7B across the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP).

After submission of the FY 2013 PB, stakeholders in Congress and the states expressed concerns that the Air Force capabilities programmed in the FY 2013 PB would not meet all the Nation's needs. In response to these concerns, the Air Force released an updated Total Force Proposal in

November 2012. The Total Force Proposal retained some of the major elements of the FY 2013 PB proposal, but adjusted some elements to enhance the Nation's Air Force and address concerns that the FY 2013 PB proposal divested too much from Air Force capabilities, which also affected the ANG's capability to support state governors. The Total Force Proposal made the following changes to the FY 2013 PB submission:

- Reversed the elimination of one ANG and one AFR C-130H squadron.
- Reversed the elimination of one ANG KC-135 squadron.
- Reversed the elimination of two ANG A-10 squadrons.
- Reversed the planned shift of all MC-12W aircraft to the ANG.

In addition, the proposal restored some RC missions performed prior to FY 2012 and added new missions for some RC units. In sum, these adjustments

- added two ANG C-130 squadrons and increased the size of one ANG C-130 squadron,
- added one ANG KC-135 squadron,
- transferred one AC A-10 squadron to the AFR, and
- consolidated 11 RC-26B aircraft at one ANG location.

Furthermore, the proposal retained and/or added several intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR), command and control (C2), and operational support missions in the ANG.

Finally, the proposal made the following adjustments to the FY 2012 PB AC force structure:

- Divested two C-130H squadrons.
- Divested four KC-135 aircraft.
- Divested one fighter squadron and transferred one fighter squadron to the AFR.

Most, but not all, of these adjustments take effect in either FY 2013 or FY 2014, with the remainder scheduled to occur not later than FY 2017.

E. Plan to Achieve Full Compatibility between AC and RC

To maintain adequate force structure, the Air Force must balance the strengths of each of the components (Active, Guard, and Reserve) to sustain the capabilities required to meet the challenges created by uncertain strategic and fiscal environments and rapidly evolving threats. Future capability development and the ability to operate anywhere, anytime, especially in anti-access area denial environments, require investment and new, innovative ways of thinking.

The Air Force continues to provide a balanced portfolio of capabilities across the 13 Air Force Core Functions by maximizing the use of AC and RC forces. The analytical framework provided

by Total Force Enterprise will provide insight into the right mix of AC and RC, and the tactical application of Total Force Integration initiatives will further build synchronicity.

This integrated approach, combined with lead command and RC requirements driving aircraft-related spending, will ensure the Air Force is ready to support the Joint Team as it meets the challenges of the future.

II. Air National Guard Overview

A. Current Status of the Air National Guard

Presently, the ANG provides almost half of the Air Force's tactical airlift support, combat communications functions, aeromedical evacuations, and aerial refueling. The ANG's aging aircraft fleet faces significant sustainment and support costs. Modernizing, maintaining, and sustaining capabilities are among the current and future challenges the ANG mission support community faces. Air Force (AF) funding supplemented by National Guard and Reserve Equipment

Appropriation (NGREA) funds were instrumental in executing modernization programs for ANG legacy equipment to remain viable for combatant commanders and supporting civil authorities.

Top ANG Equipping Challenges

- Adequate funding for weapon system modernization efforts
- Sustaining legacy weapon systems
- Adequate funding for dual-use capabilities to support civil authorities

The prolonged high tempo of operations for the ANG supporting combat operations overseas is driving the need to simultaneously modernize and recapitalize our aircraft fleets along with the support equipment required to maintain them. The Air Force Total Force concept affords the ANG access to newer aircraft and equipment, enabling the ANG to remain prepared, relevant, and dependable in DoD missions. However, while this concept has proven to be successful for the Air Force in supporting DoD missions, it creates equipment availability challenges for the ANG's ability to respond to requests for supporting civil authorities.

B. Changes since the Last NGRER

Although the underlying equipping philosophy of the ANG has not changed, significant mission and programmatic changes continue. In support of Total Force, the ANG, in concert with the AC, continues to establish unit associations of AC and ANG components. As a result, fewer facilities, airframes, support personnel, and support equipment are required to ensure the readiness, reliability, and responsiveness of these units. We anticipate more associate unit relationships with the AC and AFR in the near future. Space operations, cyber warfare, and information operations are mission areas growing in importance, and the ANG is responding to requests to allocate manpower and change mission sets for ANG units.

1. Equipment On-hand

a. Current Status

Presently, the ANG has a support equipment availability rate of 96 percent, as compared to rates less than a decade ago of 84 to 88 percent. This rate is comparable to the overall Air Force availability rate and is achieved through the ANG and AC partnering to equip the ANG as an operational reserve force. However, this rate was preceded by a significant decrease in ANG equipment authorizations, either due to mission changes, associations, or introduction of more modern equipment often performing the functions of more than one piece of equipment. ANG equipment is typically procured in support of Federal missions with authorizations that are aligned to Tables of Allowances (TAs), which prescribe the equipment necessary to perform Federal missions. The ANG leverages these TAs for both vehicle and support equipment for its responsibilities to meet both Federal missions and state responses to requests for supporting civil authorities. Although the equipment readiness level is 96 percent of authorized equipment,

shortages do exist. While attempting to fill the 4 percent remaining deficit, the objective is to obtain the assets that are most critical for the warfighter and also for providing support to civil authorities under the National Response Plan and National Response Framework. In addition, the ANG aligned all dual-use equipment and vehicles into the “Essential 10” categories. The Essential 10 are the Chief, National Guard Bureau (CNGB) identified 10 core capabilities needed to respond to emergencies and major disasters in the United States. These Essential 10 capabilities are command and control; chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or high-explosives (CBRNE) consequence management; engineering assets; communications; transportation (surface); aviation and airlift; medical; security; logistics; and maintenance.

b. Average Age of Major Items of Equipment

The ANG operates and maintains the oldest aircraft in the Air Force. Overall, the average age of aircraft within the ANG is 25 years. See *Table 2* for the average age of selected aircraft.

2. Maintenance Issues

The ANG’s concern about sustaining legacy systems has led to establishment of a Weapon Systems Sustainment Working Group. The charter of this group is to identify equipment sustainment shortfalls, prioritize them, and advocate for mitigation. Maintenance issues identified by the group include the following.

a. Obsolete Support Equipment

Many support equipment items critical to daily operations are rapidly nearing the end of their expected lives and are becoming increasingly difficult to sustain economically. A-10 Fuel Quantity Tester, C-130 engine removal and replacement system, and 50/60 stray voltage pre-load tester were all systems identified as critical maintenance capabilities requiring immediate attention. The A-10 Fuel Quantity Gull tester is in short supply and lacks a source of supply or repair; a vehicle-mounted C-130 engine removal and replacement system capable of performing all required tasks is needed to ensure maximum daily aircraft availability; and the 50/60 tester currently in use and required for deployed fighter sortie generation is obsolete and unsustainable. Failure to field replacements for this equipment will reduce fully mission-capable rates and have significant potential for grounding fighter aircraft.

b. Advanced Support Equipment Required

This equipment is prioritized to enhance routine maintenance efficiency and safety, while improving maintenance capabilities and reducing overall operational costs. Current maintenance operations depend on equipment built with 1970s and 1980s technology that is now cumbersome to use, expensive to operate, and often creates safety concerns. Procurement of new support equipment with improved capabilities will lead to improved aircraft availability, diminished operating costs, and enhanced capabilities for agile combat support. Advanced support equipment priorities include (1) an alternative tow vehicle utilizing new technology to provide better maneuverability and visibility during towing operations resulting in better utilization of hangar space and aircraft sheltering; (2) MJ-1E electric jammers to improve load crew training effectiveness while enhancing safety (noise and pollution abatement) when compared to legacy diesel engines in enclosed training facilities; (3) VXi-based mid-life upgrade for the Improved

Avionics Intermediate Shop to update electronics, resolve diminishing manufacturing source issues, and extend useful life into 2030.

c. Multi-platform Leak Detection

Existing leak detection procedures employ ineffective and time-consuming soap and bubble methods. Advanced leak detection equipment uses tracer gas or ultrasonic methods to identify leaks in a fraction of the time and with much more accuracy. Compounding problems with existing leak detection procedures is the lack of support equipment capable of maintaining sufficient pressure in a closed system to identify the leaks. Without the modern leak detection equipment, technicians are required to defuel-refuel aircraft to determine the validity of a fuel system repair.

d. Satellite Communications (SATCOM) Radio Support Capability

Multiple mission-design-series aircraft system program offices began installing SATCOM-capable ARC-210 radio systems in 2009. This was in response to a United States Central Command (USCENTCOM) urgent operational need statement for a robust secure line-of-sight (SLOS) and beyond line-of-sight (BLOS) communications capability on the battlefield. This SATCOM system was fielded, however, without the ability to maintain or sustain the equipment. A SATCOM radio test set has been purchased for fighter aircraft applications, but definition of technical order requirements is lagging. The ANG has a plan to procure SATCOM radio test sets with embedded electronic technical orders.

e. C-130 Isochronal Inspection Stands

C-130 isochronal inspection stands no longer meet Air Force Occupational Safety and Health or Occupational Safety and Health Administration standards but are critical to accomplishing periodic inspection requirements. Aging stands (some exceeding 40 years old) require frequent maintenance actions to maintain their serviceability. Current workarounds delay production, increase inspection times, and negatively impact aircraft availability. New stands alleviate unnecessary risk and allow maintainers to re-focus on aircraft specific tasks.

f. Flight Line Generator (72kW)

The 72kW generator can be overhauled to like-new condition at the Hill Air Force Base depot at a cost of \$54K per generator. In 2012 new 72kW generators were ordered and are scheduled to begin delivery midyear FY 2014. To bridge this long lead time, the ANG budgeted \$3.1M to overhaul some generators in FY 2013 and submitted a budget adjustment of \$1.7M for FY 2014 to ensure generators were overhauled and returned to service as soon as possible. A shortfall of about \$10M still exists for either refurbished or new generators.

C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017)

1. Modernization Efforts

The ANG's modernization efforts are founded on capability gaps identified by warfighters and civil authorities at two separate annual conferences. In the annual Weapons and Tactics conference, critical capability shortfalls are identified and vetted in an open and rigorous forum of warfighters, who are experts in their respective weapons systems. The results of this forum are consolidated and then approved by the Director, ANG. Capability gaps are verified and validated

into specific programs that are based on commercial or government off-the-shelf technologies, and require only non-developmental integration into a weapons system. The process includes C2, cyber, ISR, training, and simulator systems as well as weapons delivery, airlift, and tanker platforms. These capability shortfalls are documented in the annual *Weapons Systems Modernization Priorities* book. For 2013, this process documented an \$8.26B shortfall for modernization and recapitalization of the ANG aircraft fleet and associated equipment.

The complement to the ANG Weapons and Tactics conference for domestic operations is the ANG Domestic Operations Equipment Requirements (DOERs) conference. This forum identifies critical capability shortfalls for supporting civil authorities and is oriented towards emergency managers from the states. The DOERs conference took on a joint perspective in 2012, and the second annual National Guard Joint Domestic Operations Equipment Requirements (JDOERs) conference will be tentatively held in April 2014 and hosted by the CNGB. The objective of the conference is to define, validate, document, and prioritize materiel capabilities needed by National Guard units to support civil authorities at all levels of government. This process allows experts from the field to come together and prioritize capability needs to accomplish state missions. The conference is organized by functional areas within the Emergency Support Function framework. The ANG and the Army National Guard (ARNG) will use the output from this conference to publish the annual JDOERs Book.

2. Modernization Programs and Shortfalls

A-10: In 2013, the ANG completed installations of a second ARC-210 radio on its A-10s to fill a USCENTCOM urgent operational need. These radios allow simultaneous SLOS and BLOS communications capability with C2, ground troops, and other aircraft. The countermeasures processor in the ALQ-213 electronic warfare management system was also upgraded with NGREA funding. This upgrade enables ongoing software upgrades to the ALQ-213 as threats continue to evolve. NGREA will also finish funding the installation of a helmet-mounted integrated targeting (HMIT) system to speed target acquisition and increase pilot situational awareness, and the Lightweight Airborne Radio System version 12 (LARS v12) to speed location of downed Airmen during combat search and rescue missions. These two modernization efforts should conclude in FY 2014.

The ANG A-10 fleet is planned to receive the following upgrades in the next two years if sufficient funding is available: 1) a high resolution display to replace current performance instruments and utilize the digital imagery capability of new advanced targeting pods; 2) the digital radar warning receiver (RWR), which will significantly improve all RWR functions, reducing response times to radio frequency threats; 3) a pilot-selectable overt/covert landing light, which allows pilots to choose a night vision compatible light when the situation dictates; 4) a replacement intercom and three-dimensional audio system to reduce the workload associated with interpreting communications from up to four radios simultaneously; 5) a parking brake, which will allow pilots to sit alert in their aircraft, or refuel in austere locations without requiring ground personnel to place and remove chocks; and 6) an anti-jam Embedded Global Positioning System (GPS)/Inertial Navigation System and antenna that will allow the A-10 to navigate and use precision guided weapons in a contested environment.

The Air Force continues to fund Operational Flight Program software updates for the common AC and RC fleet. However, the program is not fully supported due to insufficient funding. Also,

the A-10 engine upgrade or replacement has been identified as a critical need for years, but presently no program exists to mitigate the deficiency.

C-5: There are currently 16 C-5s in the ANG inventory. Those C-5s will be replaced with 12 C-17s by FY 2015. Modernization efforts for ANG C-5s have been discontinued due to pending retirements.

C-17: Installation of Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM) on the C-17 remains a top priority. All 16 ANG C-17 aircraft are funded by supplemental appropriations to receive LAIRCM upgrades. Initial installations began in the second quarter of FY 2012 and should be complete by the end of 2013 if the impact of sequestration doesn't affect the program. The remaining 16 C-17 aircraft being introduced into the ANG are aircraft transferred from the AC that already have the LAIRCM system installed. Maintaining common configurations and capabilities between the ANG and AC C-17 fleets is a priority for the Air Force.

C-130H: The Air Force fully funded LAIRCM with Overseas Contingency Operations funding. Presently, the ANG has LAIRCM installed on 104 of 119 aircraft, with completion of the balance of the upgrades expected by February 2014. They are also funding improved cockpit armor and crashworthy seats for loadmasters. The ANG has used NGREA to accomplish 54 installations of capability for Real Time Information in the Cockpit (RTIC), which provides timely information to aircrews in the present-day network-centric battle space and greatly increases survivability in combat operations. This capability improves survivability by connecting it to intelligence and other networked sources of data. ANG is pursuing contract actions for the remaining aircraft in the C-130H fleet with FY 2013 NGREA. Installation of Square Window Doors for improved detection and countering of surface-to-air missiles are underway using a combination of Air Force and NGREA funding. Future projects funded by NGREA include an In-flight Propeller Balancing System that will improve reliability and save fuel by eliminating periodic ground maintenance. Also, the Electronic Propulsion Control System (EPCS) which will improve aircraft availability and safety by accelerating propeller response time. The Avionics Modernization Program (AMP) was unfunded in the FY 2013 Presidential Budget due to its cost. However, the FY 2013 NDAA prohibited official termination of AMP until a business cost-versus-benefit analysis was completed by the Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA). IDA recommended the Air Force develop an alternative to the AMP that provides nearly the same capability at significantly lower cost. The official release of the IDA study was November 14, 2013, and Congress has ninety days to respond to the study.

C-130J: Although the C-130J brings major system enhancements to the C-130 fleet, precision airdrop capabilities and protection against surface-to-air missiles are necessary. Ongoing C-130J modernization efforts include increased firefighting safety to address the landing gear warning horn during Modular Airborne Fire Fighting System (MAFFS) operations, and installations will begin in April 2014. Current unfunded modernization requirements for the ANG C-130J fleet include MAFFS weather situational awareness, LAIRCM, AAR-47 Missile Warning System improvement, and Single Pass Precision-guided Airdrop capability.

EC-130J: NGREA funding is being used in conjunction with funding from Air Force Special Operations Command (AFSOC) to support the LAIRCM integration contract, which AFSOC couldn't fund completely from its budget. Additionally, the ANG fully funded SATCOM radio

upgrades and an enhanced situational awareness suite upgrade with NGREA to bridge the gap in available capability while awaiting the longer term solution to be provided by AFSOC. The AFSOC solution to meet the enhanced situational awareness requirement is referred to as the Special Operations Forces Air Mission Suite (SAMS) Enhanced Situational Awareness (ESA). This strategy allows the warfighter to receive increased capabilities years before procurement through other funding strategies. Minimum capabilities for the EC-130J to stay relevant include procurement of LAIRCM “A” and “B” kits, a permanent situational awareness solution, and completion of the SATCOM upgrade. The capability required for optimum employment of the EC-130J relies greatly on the continued production of a Special Airborne Mission Installation and Response (SABIR)/Fly-Away Broadcast System (FABS) solution, which will allow all the EC-130J aircraft to be capable of supporting military information operations.

LC-130: A production contract was awarded for a digital EPCS and installation on each of the ten LC-130s. October 2014 is the target completion date for this program. Also, the evaluation of the NP2000 eight-bladed propeller for the LC-130 is complete and the program is ready to move into production and fielding. The NP2000 significantly improves take-off performance in deep snow fields and reduces or eliminates use of the jet-assisted take-off rocket motors. The ANG has started efforts to update all the technical orders to support the propeller sets already purchased under the test program. An additional \$16M is still required to equip the remainder of the LC-130 fleet. The Crevasse Detection Radar enables the LC-130 to identify and avoid crevasses in the deep field locations where they typically land. The program is operational, but the ANG is continuing to update the radar and improve operational usability. The ANG is also working closely with the National Science Foundation to make it easier for the science community to make use of the LC-130 platform for research purposes. Use of innovative equipment that has minimal impact on the structure of the aircraft speeds the approval process and significantly reduces the engineering required to install science equipment on the aircraft. Future LC-130 requirements include Communication Navigation Surveillance/Air Traffic Management (CNS/ATM) avionics upgrades to maintain worldwide flight capability.

HC/MC-130: The Air Force is funding installation of an emergency locator transmitter and airborne direction finder, upgraded communication suite, oil cooler augmentation, aircrew flight equipment storage racks, and crashworthy seats for loadmasters. The ANG, AFR, and Air Combat Command (ACC) have joined efforts to upgrade aircraft defensive systems by providing an ALQ-213 to fully integrate all defensive systems. ANG is also fully funding a program to integrate heavy equipment airdrop capabilities into the cargo compartment to better support disembarked pararescue personnel. The minimum capabilities for the HC/MC-130 to remain relevant to the Air Force are completion of the communication and data-link program, upgrading the electro-optical/infrared (EO/IR) sensor, which will provide mission critical data to the entire combat search and rescue and personnel recovery task force, increased engine performance, and CNS/ATM avionics upgrades to maintain worldwide flight capability. Recapitalization of ANG HC-130s is planned to begin in 2017.

E-8C Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS): The Air Force is pursuing the option to recapitalize the E-8C JSTARS. To be prudent, the ANG is continuing to fund modernization of the current JSTARS platform with NGREA funds to address operational equipment requirements needed for current operations. NGREA funding has delivered a communications suite with an integrated Internet-protocol (IP)-based chat capability, fulfilling a

USCENTCOM urgent operational need; purchased initial spares for the Enhanced Land Maritime Mode for the radar; enhanced cooling carts to enable maintenance during the day in the heat of the deserts in deployed locations; purchased 8.33 kHz VHF radios for a voice-over-data, frequency-spacing capability; and upgraded International Maritime Satellite service from Swift64(B) to Swift Broadband (C). The ANG used FY 2012 NGREA to fund the Multi-agency Communications Capability, which will provide enhanced interoperability between JSTARS, strike assets, and ground elements of special operations and customs and border protection assets. The ANG plan to fund an upgraded personnel recovery communications system and add a global imagery server with 2013 NGREA was changed to address more pressing problems with the primary mission equipment. The Air Force is now planning a fleet upgrade of the primary mission equipment (airborne radar signal processors, computers for operator workstations and blue force tracking hardware) to resolve issues with diminishing manufacturing sources for parts. Additionally, the Air Force is replacing the Joint Tactical Information Distribution System terminal with the Multi-functional Information Distribution System Joint Tactical Radio System.

F-15C: The APG-63(v)3 Active Electronically Scanned Array (AESA) radar remains the first priority for modernizing the F-15C and is the same radar installed on AC F-15Cs. To date, Congressional appropriations have funded 52 AESA radars for the ANG. However, ANG F-15C units also operate the only combat-coded legacy APG-63(v)0 radar systems in the CAF and must convert these older radars to AESA. The second highest modernization priority is an out-of-band solution to allow the F-15C to detect targets in highly contested electromagnetic environments. Over the past few years, the Air Force has provided funding for digital video recorders, an upgraded central computer and software program, and limited aircraft rewiring. The ANG used NGREA funding for its F-15C aircraft to integrate the Advanced Targeting Pod and a new cockpit display enabling visual identification of targets of interest on night Aerospace Control Alert missions. Because ANG F-15C aircraft are more than 20 years old, cockpit displays are inadequate from both an operational and maintenance perspective. NGREA funding will be used to upgrade cockpit displays to provide improved capability to present vital targeting information, reduce task loading, and improve pilot situational awareness. NGREA funding will also be used to procure and install the hardware required to carry the critically important back-of-launcher (BOL) external countermeasures system. BOL dramatically improves ANG F-15C survivability against widely-proliferated advanced threats. NGREA funding purchased equipment to complete the installation of the joint helmet-mounted cueing system (JHMCS) and night cockpit lighting modifications for all ANG F-15Cs not funded by the Air Force. It also purchased additional JHMCS pilot equipment and provided simulator upgrades for the F-15C flying training unit. These simulators support JHMCS and night-vision-goggle training for all F-15C pilots. In response to a United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM) urgent operational need for BLOS communication capability for alert aircraft, the ANG worked with the system program office to field an initial, standalone SATCOM capability with NGREA funding. This effort will meet the urgent need at least three years earlier than the program of record. The Air Force stopped sustainment of the Tactical Electronic Warfare System in FY 2013, before the replacement Eagle Passive Active Warning and Survivability System (EPAWSS) was operational. The F-15E is the lead for EPAWSS, followed by the F-15C, but neither aircraft will receive EPAWSS for several years, because it has not yet entered development. Consequently, ANG F-15C aircraft will have a significant gap in electronic warfare capability for up to 10 years as they await the EPAWSS upgrade.

F-16: NGREA is funding installation of SLOS and BLOS communications suites; higher data rate processors for vital systems upgrades; high-resolution Center Display Units; the Scorpion HMIT; enhanced self-protection suites; and the advanced identification, friend or foe (AIFF) combined interrogator transponder, a system acknowledged by USNORTHCOM as a critical requirement for homeland defense. Over the last years, ANG NGREA funding has supported Block 30 HMIT, Center Display Units, ALQ-213 processor upgrades, and Ethernet, X-mux, and the Commercial Fire Control Computer, which increases avionics processing power and bandwidth to enable carriage of advanced weapons, such as the small diameter bomb. The Air Force has, thus far, funded updates to the Operational Flight Program software required to support all of these systems, but all modification hardware and installs have been NGREA-funded. Other NGREA funded acquisitions include Block 42 ALQ-213, AIFF, and Block 40/50 JHMCS. The lack of funding for Block 40 AIFF in the AF budget will create a capability shortfall as the aircraft move to the ANG from the other components. Additionally, the RWR system (ALR-69) on pre-Block (25/30/32) and Block 42 F-16s is nearing obsolescence, and the replacement system (ALR-69A) is unfunded. To counter the proliferation of infrared-guided man-portable shoulder-launched surface-to-air missiles, the ANG is also investigating procurement of an off-the-shelf pylon-mounted missile warning system for the pre-Block F-16 fleet. If sufficient FY 2013 and FY 2014 NGREA is available, ANG will procure a second ARC-210 radio for pre-block F-16s to enable simultaneous SLOS and BLOS operations, and a three-dimensional audio system to reduce pilot workload by synchronizing and spatially separating multiple radios.

HH-60G: The ANG HH-60G fleet is undergoing a NGREA-funded program to enable direct communication with civilian emergency responders. The AFR and ANG are teaming up to replace single-band SATCOM, VHF/FM, VHF/AM, and UHF/AM radios with four ARC-210 multi-band radios. The ANG is funding the first aircraft modification and associated support, such as updated technical orders to validate the new system while the AFR and ANG continue to budget for funding for retrofitting the remaining aircraft. The ANG supports a temporary smart, multi-function color display (SMFCD) program while ACC continues to develop a permanent SMFCD solution. The ANG has already been flying with SMFCDs for three years, and the permanent solution is still years away. Additionally, the AF funded installation of an improved vibration monitoring system, improved altitude hover and hold stabilization, LARS v12, and a defensive weapon system. The minimum capabilities required to stay relevant are the upgraded communication program, a permanent SMFCD solution with data link, and improved defensive capabilities, to include a hostile fire indicator. The capabilities for optimum employment include a helmet-mounted cueing system with capabilities for point designation and full motion video. Furthermore, the HH-60G fleet is rapidly aging and experiencing an increase in maintenance rates and component failures, which dictates a recapitalization effort. An approved and funded initiative to replace HH-60s lost in combat will return the number of aircraft to 112 by FY 2016. The ANG plans to receive no less than four of these aircraft (Congressionally-mandated). The Combat Rescue Helicopter is the program of record that will fully recapitalize the HH-60 fleet, but the current fiscal environment puts this program at risk.

KC-135: Changes in employment concepts put the KC-135s in high-threat areas. This vulnerability requires addition of LAIRCM capability. ANG, with Air Mobility Command support, completed a second round of testing involving ground and compatibility flight tests to evaluate a low-cost pod solution originally developed by the Department of Homeland Security

for commercial aircraft use. The ANG also partnered with the Navy to create an affordable path forward for the podded LAIRCM system. There is currently no AF funding for the IR countermeasures on the KC-135. For the KC-135 to continue its mission in the future, the ANG has established a critical need for a tactical data link (TDL)/RTIC system for crew situation awareness in high-threat environments as well as enhanced external overt/covert lighting to reduce the chance of midair collisions when operating at night in high-threat environments. The ANG will fund these initiatives if sufficient funding becomes available.

Remotely Piloted Aircraft (RPA): The ANG has eight operational RPA units: five MQ-1 units, located in Arizona, California, North Dakota, Texas, and Ohio; a classic associate in Nevada; plus two MQ-9 units, one in New York and one in Tennessee. The ANG also operates one MQ-1 Formal Training Unit (FTU) and field training detachment (FTD) in California and one MQ-9 FTU/FTD in New York. Squadron operations centers (SOCs) are the key tactical C2 link between individual unit RPAs and deployed locations and provide a common operating picture between the two, as well as supporting intelligence units. Critical capabilities required for the MQ-1 and MQ-9 are upgrading the SOCs; multilevel secure communication suites; independent and redundant data architectures to improve mission reliability; airspace integration systems such as sense and avoid, along with subsystems that allow flight in civil airspace controlled by the Federal Aviation Administration; more rapid exploitation of support data; and rapid data file transfer and sharing.

C-32B: In FY 2012, the C-32B received NGREA for communication system upgrades. AFSOC requested support from the ANG in meeting a critical mission requirement for SATCOM capability with encryption in the K_u band for the C-32B. Funding was not immediately available. The ANG was able to provide NGREA funds to partially modernize the C-32B communication equipment while AFSOC continues to try and develop a long-term funding solution.

C-38: The C-38 has limited range, is difficult to maintain, and is expensive to operate due to diminishing manufacturing sources of aircraft parts. Replacing the C-38s would address several capability gaps identified in a formal capabilities-based assessment. Current requirements call for four small capacity executive support aircraft. Four aircraft would ensure consistent support and minimize the impact of unplanned maintenance.

C-40: With availability of AF funding, LAIRCM systems have recently been installed on these aircraft along with Integrated Approach Navigation/Vertical Situation Display and the Enhanced Vision System. The ANG has funded additional avionics upgrades that will bring the ANG C-40s to a common configuration with the AFR C-40Cs. ANG has also funded a high-speed data internet capability, which will allow passengers to connect via non-secure internet and e-mail while airborne. Current C-40 requirements for the ANG fleet call for four aircraft, three of which have been procured. A fourth aircraft would ensure consistent mission support and minimize the impact of unplanned maintenance.

Battlefield Airman (BA): BA capabilities are associated with combat controllers, Guardian Angels (GAs) and tactical air control parties. The ANG has been active in the past year supplying numerous solutions to meet critical capability gaps. The ANG continues to use NGREA to support the BA Operations (BAO) kit program. The BAO kit provides the BA with enhanced situational awareness and communication capabilities. Due to the large number of

units requiring equipment and continuous advancements in technology, this program will continue for many years. The ANG has been able to continue to upgrade communication equipment, personnel protective equipment, and fund a program to provide rescue vehicles years before ACC was scheduled to have funds available. The minimum capabilities for BA to stay relevant are improved weapons, continued advancements with coded spot trackers, and short-wave infrared devices. The capabilities for optimum employment require wireless solutions, improved night vision devices, advanced tactical headsets, and less-than-lethal weapons. Up to this point, attempts to modernize and upgrade weapons carried by GAs have been unsuccessful. Although the mission of GAs is more aligned with special operations, they are only authorized weapons purchased by the Air Force Security Forces Center.

Control and Reporting Center (CRC)/Air Control Squadron: Continued changes to mission requirements outpaces planned upgrades to capabilities and service life extension programs (SLEPs), creating numerous shortfalls. Air Force Space Command's recent plan to remove theater deployable communications assets from the CRC will significantly impact ANG training capabilities. Funding is needed to address shortfalls in the CRC internal C2 network and data-link capabilities; fulfill live mission training requirements; and enable an effective approach to support airborne and ground mission crew training. Additional tactical generators are still required to reduce the number of forward-deployed assets, and realize a 20 percent reduction in fuel consumption (\$10M). ACC's efforts to extend the service life for the AN/TPS-75 (\$36M) and replace existing equipment with the Three Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (\$2.2B) will assure these systems meet current and projected mission requirements. In the interim, modernization using modular elements will address shortfalls in critical capabilities and urgent requirements identified in recent evaluations (\$74M).

Component Numbered Air Force/Air Operations Center (AOC): The ANG, ACC, and Air Force Materiel Command are collaborating to upgrade six ANG AOC suites to the AOC 10.1 Recurring Event 11 system configuration. The ANG invested NGREA funding in FY 2013. This improved baseline will allow ANG units to train on compatible and interoperable systems, and ensure mission-capable personnel are ready. These upgrades are one component of a larger package of upgrades that will allow Distributed Mission Operations (DMO) and process integration within the AOC mission. Following the major updates being accomplished with FY 2013 and FY 2014 funding, the next cycle of capital equipment replacement for the six ANG AOC sites is programmed to begin in FY 2017. Ultimately, the desired system configuration requires a Core Radio Package solution for all six ANG sites. The Core Radio Package consists of multiple radios and data-link capabilities and has a funding shortfall of \$5M. Other AOC system shortfalls are in intelligence, which requires additional targeting workstations to train targeteers (\$2M).

Expeditionary Air Traffic Control, Deployable Radar Approach Control (D-RAPCON): The ANG uses the AN/MPN-14K system; this system operates on 1950s analog technology that received minor radar upgrades in the 1980s. Current technology is now digital and beyond, and many of the subsystems are no longer commercially available or produced. Replacement systems for the ANG AN/MPN-14K RAPCON are being funded by the Air Force under the Air Traffic Control and Landing System program element. A total of 10 digital D-RAPCON systems will be procured for the ANG. The D-RAPCON initial operational capability is planned in FY 2017 and full operational capability in FY 2021. D-RAPCON will also include the newly developed

Deployable Instrument Landing System as a replacement for the AN/MPN-14K Precision Approach Radar component.

Live, Virtual, Constructive (LVC) Simulation and Range Instrumentation: LVC is the overarching training technology that encompasses all aspects of simulation, including DMO and range instrumentation. As part of the Guard's "fly before you buy" policy, both flight and mission crew simulator proofs of concept are constructed in partnership with government technology development centers and industry. This approach ensures that production decisions are made only after evaluation by ANG subject matter experts, resulting in reduced risk, cost, and schedule. Current ANG simulation programs include: production and fielding of 18 KC-135 Boom Operator Simulation Systems; 17 ANG Advanced Joint Terminal Attack Controller Simulation Systems, which require \$15M for full deployment; the C-130H Multi-Mission Crew Trainer, which requires an additional \$3M for full deployment; technology and obsolescence upgrades for the F-15 and F-16 unit simulators requiring \$4 to \$6M per year through the FYDP; LVC capability improvements at the seven ANG AOCs, 601st AOC, and four Air Defense Sectors requiring \$3M; and a \$2M HH-60G Aircrew Procedures Trainer for the 150th Fighter Wing to support HH-60G formal training. Current LVC programs at the ANG's 14 ranges include High Fidelity Surrogate Target Systems, which require an additional \$4.8M for full deployment. Additional unfunded requirements include two high-fidelity C-130J weapons system trainers at \$50M.

Cyber Warfare (CW) and Information Operations: Over the past two fiscal years, the ANG has used NGREA funding to equip and modernize three of the ANG CW units in Kansas and Maryland with a baseline Cyberspace and Critical Infrastructure Range (CCIR). FY 2012 NGREA funded installation of an additional CCIR at a new CW unit in Washington; provisioned network traffic simulators to CCIRs in Delaware, Rhode Island, and Washington; and provided Kansas with capability for conducting cyber Red Team and Opposing Force exercise events. Current NGREA efforts will equip three ANG CW units with a capability for rapid coordination and information sharing in real-time plus CCIR capability to a new CW unit in California. The ANG will pursue acceleration of Air Force equipment for hunter and cyber vulnerability assessment operations in at least one ANG unit.

RC-26B: The RC-26B recently completed six years of distinguished operations supporting United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) operations. Six aircraft were modified with USSOCOM funding adding additional communication capabilities and self-protection for use by special forces. These six will have the specialized equipment installed by USSOCOM removed, and the ANG will use FY 2013 NGREA to replace the mission system operator station; upgrade the onboard communications suite (including incorporation of civil/law enforcement radios); upgrade antenna mounts and tactical data links; and add an improved EO/IR turret.

Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS): Historical operations tempo and focus on theater operations has necessitated training DCGS operators during actual combat missions. There has been no AF funding and insufficient time to develop an AF DCGS Weapons System Trainer (WST) to maintain the currency of DCGS operators. Without a WST, ANG DCGS personnel will be unable to maintain proficiency or currency. Consequently, the ANG DCGS Weapons System Council is partnering with the 480th ISR Wing, the AC parent wing for the AF DCGS enterprise, to develop a Total Force WST solution. Without commitment and investment,

the ANG will fail to meet the objectives of the AF's 2025 DCGS Vision and struggle to meet surge requirements of the combatant commanders for processing, exploitation, and dissemination driven by operational plans for both permissive and contested environments.

Security Forces (SF): The ANG has been active in attempting to meet SF equipment shortfalls. Current programs include surveillance, target acquisition, and night observation equipment; less-than-lethal equipment; explosive detection kits; SF professional gear, and small arms ranges. These programs are ongoing and are expected to continue well beyond the current fiscal year. The ANG SF forecasted the following modernization shortfalls for FY 2015–FY 2017: 1) \$1.8M for simulated training munitions, 2) \$8M for explosive detection equipment, 3) \$6M for less-than-lethal equipment, and 4) \$18M for SF mobility bags to completely equip ANG SF forces for deployments to fully meet requirements. The solution for small arms ranges is being developed with cooperation from both the ARNG and the ANG to make the most efficient use of available funds. The ANG relies heavily on the other components as well as other agencies to utilize available small arms ranges. Scheduling conflicts and, in some cases, expenses for using a range, both civilian and military, is the norm. The minimum capabilities required to keep SF Airmen relevant are continued funding of mobility bags for professional gear. Capabilities needed for optimum employment require continued support for ongoing programs, such as less-than-lethal weapons, explosive detection kits, and small arms ranges.

Medical: The ANG currently maintains four operational Expeditionary Medical Support (EMEDS) +25 equipment platforms and two training sets. ANG has upgraded 2 of 4 of its current operational EMEDS basic modules to the new EMEDS Health Response Team (HRT) module. The 17 CBRNE–Enhanced Response Force Package (CERFP) units have received upgraded medical equipment and supplies per approved allowance standards. The 10 Homeland Response Force (HRF) units also have the upgraded medical equipment and supplies and are current.

The medical resupply requirement established last year listed three HRF and CERFP medical resupply assemblages, which have been received, and one EMEDS HRT resupply assemblage, which is scheduled for production in FY 2014. However, the ANG anticipates total shortfalls of \$5M based on an anticipated new allowance standard block upgrade for EMEDS.

Engineering: Prime power and firefighting equipment shortages continue to limit the ANG's ability to concurrently support home stations, overseas deployments, and civil authorities. For example, prime power requires in excess of \$3M in power generation capability. Insufficient capacity exists in three Federal Emergency Management Agency regions. To redress the lack of capacity, the ANG purchased a power generation package for the 150th Civil Engineer Squadron in New Mexico as an initial investment using NGREA funding, and plans additional purchases as funding becomes available for the 118th Civil Engineer Squadron in Tennessee and one other location on the East Coast. Additionally, Bomb Squad Emergency Response Vehicles (BSERVs) and Total Containment Vessels (TCVs) are critical requirements to facilitate response by explosive ordnance disposal teams. The BSERVs and TCVs are procured, and expected delivery is to occur over the next 12 months. Capabilities to bridge military communications with those of first responders are another critical shortfall. The requirement is for \$14.9M in equipment to redress the shortfall to the ANG's ability to support civil authorities whether in Federal or state status.

3. Equipment Shortages and Modernization Shortfalls at the End of FY 2017

For the past three years, the ANG has emphasized modernization, upgrades, and procurement in communications and firefighting. These efforts were focused in both combat operations and civil support operations. In communications, the ANG sought to leverage networks and data links to bring current information and data directly to aircraft cockpits and BA; improve situational awareness for air defense operations; provide a common operational picture for Joint Force Headquarters-State; and provide capability to bridge communications between military and civil responders. In firefighting, the ANG brought aboard an improved MAFFS-2 in time for the 2012 wildfire season and, in 2013, purchased upgraded and newer firefighting vehicles, protective equipment, and equipment for rescue operations. For details on equipment and modernization shortfalls at the end of FY 2016, see the description of individual weapons systems modernization in the preceding “Modernization Programs and Shortfalls” section of this chapter, as well as the “ANG Equipment Shortfalls” section in Appendix B.

D. Summary

With the need to fully fund ongoing operations and continued pressure on defense budgets, obtaining adequate funding for both procuring new equipment and modernizing existing equipment continues to be a challenge. Sustained NGREA funding over the past three years coupled with contributions by the corporate Air Force has enabled the ANG to start or complete projects that add significant capabilities to the Total Force. Additionally, the ANG is concerned with its ability to satisfy requests to support civil authorities with its dual-use equipment. Changes to unit missions, coupled with organizational changes to increased classic associations, have created a decrease in authorizations for ANG equipment, which decreases the ability to respond to requests to support civil authorities.

Despite the shrinking budgets and equipment balances, along with modernization effort shortfalls, the ANG will continue to strive to adapt and meet the needs of the combatant commanders for combat and combat support forces, and requirements for homeland operations.

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

NOTE: This table provides a comprehensive list of selected major equipment items. It provides the projected inventory quantity on-hand (QTY O/H) at the beginning/end of the selected fiscal year (FY). It also provides the quantity required (QTY REQ) to meet the full wartime requirements of the Reserve Component. In accordance with Title 10, the QTY REQ number provides the recommendation as to the quantity and type of equipment that should be in the inventory of each Reserve Component. FY 2015 unit cost estimates are provided by the Military Departments.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Air Refueling | | | | | | | |
| Air Refueling, KC-135R | KC-135R | \$53,100,000 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Air Refueling, KC-135T | KC-135T | \$53,100,000 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Airlift | | | | | | | |
| Airlift, C-130H | C-130H | \$21,000,000 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 136 | 136 |
| Airlift, C-130J | C-130J | \$61,700,000 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Airlift, C-17A | C-17A | \$237,300,000 | 24 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Airlift, C-5A | C-5A | \$139,600,000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Airlift, LC-130H ¹ | LC-130H | \$71,000,000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Airlift, WC-130H | WC-130H | \$60,000,000 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Electronic Warfare (EW) | | | | | | | |
| EW, E-8C | E-8C/AOT | \$221,700,000 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| EW, EC-130J | EC-130J | \$50,700,000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| EW, RC-26B | RC-26B | \$4,200,000 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fighter | | | | | | | |
| Fighter, A-10C | A-10C | \$13,000,000 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 |
| Fighter, F-15C | F-15C | \$24,400,000 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Fighter, F-15D | F-15D | \$24,400,000 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Fighter, F-16C | F-16C | \$7,000,000 | 254 | 254 | 254 | 254 | 254 |
| Fighter, F-16D | F-16D | \$7,200,000 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Fighter, F-22A | F-22A | \$160,100,000 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Operational Support | | | | | | | |
| Op Support, C-21A | C-21A | \$2,300,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Op Support, C-32B | C-32B | \$115,700,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Op Support, C-38A | C-38A | \$10,400,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Op Support, C-40C | C-40C | \$75,500,000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Rescue | | | | | | | |
| Rescue, HC-130N | HC-130N | \$21,000,000 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Rescue, HC-130P | HC-130P | \$21,000,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Rescue, HH-60G | HH-60G | \$11,900,000 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rescue, HH-60M | HH-60M | \$17,600,000 | 7 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Rescue, MC-130P | MC-130P | \$21,000,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Miscellaneous Equipment | | | | | | | |
| MD-1A/B | MD-1A/B | \$1,900,000 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| MQ-1B | MQ-1B | \$3,100,000 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| MQ-9A | MQ-9A | \$8,800,000 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

(1) Four LC-130s are National Science Foundation (NSF)-owned.

Average Age of Equipment

NOTE: This table provides the average age of selected major equipment items. The average age provides a projected average age of the fleet at the start of FY 2014.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| Air Refueling | | | |
| Air Refueling, KC-135R | KC-135R | 52 | |
| Air Refueling, KC-135T | KC-135T | 54 | |
| Airlift | | | |
| Airlift, C-130H | C-130H | 24 | |
| Airlift, C-130J | C-130J | 9 | |
| Airlift, C-17A | C-17A | 14 | |
| Airlift, C-5A | C-5A | 42 | |
| Airlift, LC-130H | LC-130H | 28 | |
| Airlift, WC-130H | WC-130H | 48 | |
| Electronic Warfare (EW) | | | |
| EW, E-8C | E-8C | 13 | ANG has the TE008A (E-8 trainer) with 23 years average age |
| EW, EC-130J | EC-130J | 13 | |
| EW, RC-26B | RC-26B | 19 | |
| Fighter | | | |
| Fighter, A-10C | A-10C | 33 | |
| Fighter, F-15C | F-15C | 30 | |
| Fighter, F-15D | F-15D | 30 | |
| Fighter, F-16C | F-16C | 24 | |
| Fighter, F-16D | F-16D | 25 | |
| Fighter, F-22A | F-22A | 8 | |
| Operational Support | | | |
| Op Support, C-21A | C-21A | 28 | |
| Op Support, C-32B | C-32B | 10 | |
| Op Support, C-38A | C-38A | 16 | |
| Op Support, C-40C | C-40C | 10 | |
| Rescue | | | |
| Rescue, HC-130N | HC-130N | 20 | |
| Rescue, HC-130P | HC-130P | 47 | |
| Rescue, HH-60G | HH-60G | 23 | |
| Rescue, MC-130P | MC-130P | 47 | |
| Miscellaneous Equipment | | | |
| MQ-1B | MQ-1B | 5 | |
| MQ-9A | MQ-9A | 3 | |

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of programmed equipment procurement as identified in the P-1R exhibit of the FY 2015 President's Budget Request. All values are costs in dollars and exclude ammunition procurements. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2015 are expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2016 or FY 2017.

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Modification of Inservice Aircraft | | | |
| F-15 | \$51,455,000 | \$180,280,000 | \$72,506,000 |
| F-16 | 30,000 | 1,670,000 | 1,482,000 |
| F-22A | 15,537,000 | 22,721,000 | 22,047,000 |
| C-17A | 23,170,000 | | 2,767,000 |
| C-40 | 1,500,000 | 1,200,000 | |
| C-130 | 4,166,000 | 5,580,000 | 5,369,000 |
| C-135 | 21,299,000 | 20,050,000 | 17,500,000 |
| H-60 | 1,907,000 | 1,052,000 | 839,000 |
| Aircraft Replacement Support Equipment | 824,000 | | |
| Vehicular Equipment | | | |
| Passenger Carrying Vehicles | 258,000 | 258,000 | 266,000 |
| Medium Tactical Vehicle | 1,914,000 | 1,545,000 | 1,591,000 |
| Security and Tactical Vehicles | 712,000 | 712,000 | 733,000 |
| Runway Snow Removal & Cleaning Equipment | 1,874,000 | 1,874,000 | 1,930,000 |
| Electronics and Telecommunications Equipment | | | |
| Air Traffic Control & Landing System | 2,025,000 | 5,968,000 | 6,147,000 |
| General Information Technology | 3,709,000 | 3,757,000 | 3,870,000 |
| AF Global Command & Control System | 10,090,000 | 17,017,000 | 17,528,000 |
| Theater Battle Management C2 System | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Air & Space Operations Center - Weapon System | 1,752,000 | 1,752,000 | 1,805,000 |
| Tactical Communications-Electronic Equipment | 1,288,000 | 1,288,000 | 1,327,000 |
| Base Communications Infrastructure | 13,334,000 | 15,862,000 | 16,338,000 |
| Communications & Electronics Modifications | 1,416,000 | 1,416,000 | 1,458,000 |
| Other Base Maintenance and Support Equipment | | | |
| Night Vision Goggles | 2,714,000 | 1,148,000 | 1,182,000 |
| Mechanized Material Handling Equipment | 2,645,000 | 1,629,000 | 1,678,000 |
| Contingency Operations | 5,448,000 | 6,066,000 | 6,248,000 |
| Total | \$169,217,000 | \$292,995,000 | \$184,761,000 |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of planned equipment procurements with the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA). These funds are available for a three-year period from the year of appropriation. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years from date of procurement before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2014 would be expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2015 or FY 2016. All values are costs in dollars.

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|--|--------------|---------|----------------------|
| <u>FY 2012 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | |
| Air Superiority/Global Precision Attack | | | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Avionics Upgrades | \$14,358,555 | | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16/HH-60 Helmet-mounted Cueing System | 30,360,020 | | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Advanced Identification, Friend or Foe (AIFF) and Sensor Enhancements | 8,567,310 | | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Defensive Systems Upgrades | 5,117,964 | | |
| A-10 AN/ARS-6 v12 Lightweight Airborne Radio System (LARS) | 6,900,000 | | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Communications Suite Upgrade | 4,009,216 | | |
| Advanced Targeting Pods | 18,762,691 | | |
| E-8C JSTARS Communication and Avionics Upgrade | 10,400,000 | | |
| Rapid Global Mobility | | | |
| C-130/KC-135 Tactical Data Link and Communications Upgrade | 42,339,200 | | |
| C-130 Propulsion Upgrade | 4,415,000 | | |
| C-40C High Speed Data | 3,399,186 | | |
| C-130H/J, KC-135, EC/HC/MC-130 Survivability | 700,000 | | |
| C-130J Increased Firefighting Safety | 150,000 | | |
| Simulation/Distributed Mission Operations (DMO)/Training | | | |
| KC-135 Boom Operator Simulator System (BOSS) / Flight Deck Simulator Upgrade | 10,995,177 | | |
| ANG Range and Instrumentation Upgrades | 7,934,873 | | |
| Joint Terminal Air Controller (JTAC) Simulators with ARCNET Gateways | 4,668,613 | | |
| F-15/F-16/A-10 Simulators | 2,650,234 | | |
| MQ-9 Reaper Mission Training Device (MTD) | 336,000 | | |
| Air Operations Center (AOC) Communications Training Lab | 291,138 | | |
| Personnel Recovery/Special Operations | | | |
| HC/MC/EC-130 Communication and Avionics Upgrade | 11,927,860 | | |
| EC-130/C-32 Communication Upgrade | 8,065,154 | | |
| Security Forces Equipment | 8,486,205 | | |
| Tactical Air Control Party (TACP) Survivability Equipment | 5,208,781 | | |
| Battlefield Airmen Communication and Data Link Equipment | 1,958,030 | | |
| Guardian Angel Combat Survivability Equipment | 1,874,145 | | |
| HC/MC-130 Cargo Compartment Safety Equipment | 517,950 | | |
| HC/MC-130 Engine Upgrade | 3,602,000 | | |
| HH-60G Communication and Avionics Upgrade | 3,291,478 | | |
| Multiple Mission Design Series (MDS) Leak Detectors | 819,342 | | |
| Special Tactics Dismounted Operators Suite | 691,988 | | |
| Agile Combat Support | | | |
| C-130 Support Equipment | 3,292,083 | | |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|--|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Satellite Communication Radio Support Equipment | 454,000 | | |
| Global Integrated ISR/Space Superiority/Cyberspace Superiority/C2/Incident Awareness and Assessment | | | |
| Cyber Modernization | 1,589,000 | | |
| Control and Reporting Center Equipment | 2,389,075 | | |
| Eagle Vision | 16,973,236 | | |
| Remote Piloted Aircraft Squadron Operations Center (RSOC) | 4,100,000 | | |
| RC-26B Modernization | 200,000 | | |
| Communications | | | |
| Joint Incident Site Communications Capability (JISCC) | 17,000,000 | | |
| Public Works and Engineering | | | |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Equipment | 5,400,000 | | |
| Potable Water Production and Prime Power | 1,508,250 | | |
| Firefighting | | | |
| Firefighting Vehicles | 8,157,376 | | |
| Urban Search and Rescue Kits | 7,113,488 | | |
| Personal Protective Equipment Structural Firefighting | 3,183,440 | | |
| Firefighting Support Kits | 1,560,000 | | |
| Emergency Management | | | |
| Mobile Emergency Operations Center (MEOC) | 7,582,778 | | |
| Common Operating Picture (COP) | 5,085,200 | | |
| Liaison Command and Control Kit | 830,027 | | |
| Mass Care | | | |
| Disaster Relief Beddown Sets (DRBS) | 600,000 | | |
| Fatality Search and Rescue Team Equipment | 3,568,936 | | |
| Religious Support Team (RST) Equipment | 100,000 | | |
| Public Health | | | |
| Expeditionary Medical Support (EMEDS) Modernization | 1,515,000 | | |
| <u>FY 2013 NGREA Equipment²</u> | | | |
| Air Superiority/Global Precision Attack | | | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Helmet Mounted Cueing System | | \$7,689,250 | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Communications Suite Upgrade | | 16,439,142 | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Avionics Upgrades | | 44,610,647 | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Defensive Systems Upgrades | | 18,821,243 | |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Advanced Identification Friend or Foe (AIFF) and Sensor Enhancements | | 10,662,475 | |
| A-10 Austere Field Operations Enhancements | | 3,540,000 | |
| Advanced Targeting & Synthetic Aperture RADAR Pods | | 64,628,038 | |
| Rapid Global Mobility | | | |
| C-130/KC-135 Tactical Data Link and Communications Upgrade | | 4,460,000 | |
| LC-130 Crevice Detection Equipment | | 2,500,000 | |
| C-130H/J, KC-135, EC/HC/MC-130 Defensive Systems | | 41,736,000 | |
| C-130H/LC-130 Enhanced Engine and Propulsion Performance | | 12,800,000 | |
| C-130/KC-135 Interior/Exterior Night Vision Lighting | | 500,000 | |
| C-40C Airborne Data Loader | | 255,000 | |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|--|---------|------------|----------------------|
| Simulation/Distributed Mission Operations (DMO)/Training | | | |
| Joint Terminal Air Controller (JTAC) Simulators with ARCNET Gateways | | 15,375,000 | |
| KC-135 Boom Operator Simulator System (BOSS) / Flight Deck Simulator Upgrade | | 995,000 | |
| F-15/F-16/A-10 Simulators | | 21,568,857 | |
| MQ-9 Reaper Mission Training Device (MTD) | | 336,000 | |
| C-130 Multi-mission Crew Trainer | | 1,600,000 | |
| ANG Range and Instrumentation Upgrades | | 3,255,000 | |
| HH-60/RC-26 Aircrew Procedures Trainers | | 1,300,000 | |
| Command and Control Training Equipment | | 685,000 | |
| Personnel Recovery/Special Operations | | | |
| HH-60G Communication and Avionics Upgrade | | 10,789,632 | |
| HC/MC/EC-130 Communication, Avionics & Sensor Upgrade | | 23,000,000 | |
| HC/MC-130 Cargo Compartment Equipment | | 4,103,633 | |
| EC-130 Defensive Systems | | 750,000 | |
| Special Tactics/Guardian Angel/Joint Terminal Attack Controller Equipment | | 13,750,341 | |
| Global Integrated ISR/Space Superiority/Cyberspace Superiority/C2/Incident Awareness and Assessment | | | |
| Cyber Training Equipment/Cyber Operations Modernization | | 2,070,000 | |
| Air Operations Center Capability Upgrades | | 6,450,000 | |
| Power Distribution Panel System | | 2,750,000 | |
| Eagle Vision Capability Upgrades | | 11,400,000 | |
| MQ-1/MQ-9 Data Transfer and Sharing Upgrade | | 479,000 | |
| RC-26B Avionics, Communications & Sensor Upgrade | | 7,728,000 | |
| E-8C JSTARS Communication and Avionics Upgrade | | 5,860,000 | |
| Logistics | | | |
| C-130 Support Equipment | | 4,000,000 | |
| Flight Line and Back Shop Advanced Logistics Equipment | | 19,257,083 | |
| Communications | | | |
| Joint Incident Site Communications Capability (JISCC) and Vehicles | | 6,250,000 | |
| Public Works and Engineering | | | |
| Potable Water Production | | 1,030,906 | |
| Prime Power Vehicles & Generators | | 1,308,056 | |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Equipment, Vehicles & Robots | | 6,760,000 | |
| Firefighting | | | |
| Firefighting Vehicles | | 11,283,483 | |
| Firefighting Support Kits | | 562,563 | |
| Mass Care | | | |
| Disaster Relief Beddown Sets (DRBS) | | 8,403,158 | |
| Disaster Relief Mobile Kitchen Trailer (DRMKT) | | 4,790,771 | |
| Public Health | | | |
| Medical Rapid Response Equipment | | 175,000 | |
| Expeditionary Medical Support (EMEDS) Modernization | | 1,537,426 | |
| Security Forces | | | |
| Security Forces Equipment | | 14,765,571 | |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Modular Small Arms Ranges | | 9,141,366 | |
| Emergency Management | | | |
| CBRN Detection & Decontamination | | 2,827,359 | |
| Total | \$315,000,000 | \$454,980,000 | |
| 1. Service FY 2014 NGREA equipment list was not available in time for publication in the NGRER. Equipment list for FY 2014 will be provided in next year's NGRER. | | | |
| 2. A decrement of \$5,020,000 was applied to ANG FY 2013 NGREA due to FY 2013 sequestration reduction allocation. | | | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

NOTE: This table portrays the planned equipment transfers (Active to Reserve), withdrawals (-), and decommissioning (-). Transferred equipment is commonly called "cascaded equipment," or equipment that is provided to the RC once the AC receives more modern equipment. Although this table highlights a three-year period, many Services will not know exact quantities of transfers or withdrawals until year of execution, due to the uncertainty of the procurement/delivery cycle of new equipment.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Airlift | | | | | |
| Airlift, C-130H | C-130H | | | -3 | |
| Airlift, C-17A | C-17A | +8 | | | |
| Airlift, C-5A | C-5A | -3 | | | |
| Electronic Warfare (EW) | | | | | |
| EW, RC-26B | RC-26B | -11 | | | |
| Rescue | | | | | |
| Rescue, HH-60G | HH-60G | -10 | | | |
| Rescue, HH-60M | HH-60M | +8 | | | |
| Miscellaneous Equipment | | | | | |
| MQ-9A | MQ-9A | | -5 | | |

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

NOTE: This table compares planned Service procurements and transfers to the RC in FY 2011 with actual procurements and transfers. FY 2011 is selected as these are the most recent funds to expire. Because the procurement cycle is normally one to two years from funding to delivery, this table identifies only deliveries through the end of FY 2013. Procurement and NGREA columns reflect cost values in dollars.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| <u>FY 2011 Planned Transfers & Withdrawals</u> | | | | | | | |
| Air Refueling | | | | | | | |
| Air Refueling, KC-135R | KC-135R | +1 | +5 | | | | |
| Airlift | | | | | | | |
| Airlift, C-130H | C-130H | +1 | 0 | | | | |
| Airlift, C-130J | C-130J | -4 | -6 | | | | |
| Airlift, WC-130H | WC-130H | +1 | -1 | | | | |
| Fighter | | | | | | | |
| Fighter, F-15C | F-15C | -3 | +3 | | | | |
| Fighter, F-16C | F-16C | -27 | -3 | | | | |
| Fighter, F-16D | F-16D | +1 | 0 | | | | |
| Fighter, A-10C | A-10C | | +12 | | | | |
| Fighter, F-22A | F-22A | | +7 | | | | |
| <u>FY 2011 P-1R Equipment</u> | | | | | | | |
| Modification of In-service Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| A-10 | | | | \$46,021,000 | \$72,529,080 | | |
| F-15 | | | | 0 | 71,848,000 | | |
| F-16 | | | | 37,292,000 | 56,392,100 | | |
| C-5 | | | | 15,036,000 | 2,596,000 | | |
| C-17A | | | | 458,000 | 5,391,000 | | |
| C-130 | | | | 166,587,000 | 84,922,600 | | |
| C130J Mods | | | | 5,364,000 | 0 | | |
| C-135 | | | | 4,032,000 | 299,000 | | |
| E-8 | | | | 129,924,000 | 5,630,000 | | |
| H-60 | | | | 3,278,000 | 0 | | |
| Aircraft Replacement Support Equipment | | | | 3,292,000 | 14,600,000 | | |
| Vehicular Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Passenger Carry Vehicle | | | | 0 | 66,000 | | |
| Medium Tactical Vehicle | | | | 17,134,000 | 4,017,000 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Cargo & Utility Vehicles) | | | | 3,413,000 | 3,413,000 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Special Purpose Vehicles) | | | | 10,589,000 | 10,589,000 | | |
| Fire Fighting/Crash Rescue Vehicles | | | | 5,427,000 | 7,611,000 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Materials Handling Equipment) | | | | 1,233,000 | 1,233,000 | | |
| Runway Snow Removal and Cleaning Equipment | | | | 15,634,000 | 9,187,000 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Base Maintenance Support Vehicles) | | | | 9,265,000 | 9,265,000 | | |

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| Electronics and Telecommunications Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Air Traffic Control & Landing System | | | | 9,424,000 | 0 | | |
| National Airspace System | | | | 6,544,000 | 10,992,000 | | |
| Theater Air Control System Improvement | | | | 6,337,000 | 4,181,000 | | |
| General Information Technology | | | | 992,000 | 0 | | |
| Theater Battle Management C2 System | | | | 642,000 | 1,034,000 | | |
| Air & Space Operations Center Weapon System | | | | 2,438,000 | 4,929,000 | | |
| NAVSTAR GPS Space | | | | 1,002,000 | 0 | | |
| MILSATCOM Space | | | | 30,789,000 | 0 | | |
| Tactical Communications-Electronic Equipment | | | | 37,000,000 | 88,674,000 | | |
| Base Communications Infrastructure | | | | 42,640,000 | 40,610,000 | | |
| Communications & Electronics Mods | | | | 389,000 | 0 | | |
| Other Base Maintenance and Support Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Night Vision Goggles | | | | 563,000 | 545,000 | | |
| Mechanized Material Handling Equipment | | | | 1,200,000 | 0 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M (Base Support) | | | | 1,400,000 | 1,400,000 | | |
| <u>FY 2011 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | | | | | |
| Air Superiority/Global Precision Attack | | | | | | | |
| Advanced Targeting Pods | | | | | | \$68,047,424 | \$80,561,082 |
| E-8C Communications Suite Upgrade | | | | | | 14,999,996 | 14,999,996 |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Helmet-mounted Cueing System | | | | | | 10,056,143 | 10,056,143 |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Communication Suite Upgrade | | | | | | 2,943,045 | 4,718,840 |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Avionics Upgrades | | | | | | 8,662,747 | 10,968,417 |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Advanced Identification, Friend or Foe (AIFF) and Sensor Enhancements | | | | | | 1,693,250 | 1,811,237 |
| A-10/F-15/F-16 Defensive Systems Upgrades | | | | | | 1,557,148 | 1,557,148 |
| Rapid Global Mobility | | | | | | | |
| C/HC/MC-130/KC-135 Data Link and Sensor Upgrades | | | | | | 17,136,636 | 14,142,278 |
| C-40C High Speed Data | | | | | | 8,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM) Self Protection Suite | | | | | | 3,428,969 | 4,169,684 |
| C-130 Propulsion Improvements | | | | | | 1,764,550 | 1,920,282 |
| C-130/C-17/C-5 Loadmaster Safety Equipment | | | | | | 1,600,000 | 1,600,000 |
| LC-130 Polar Ice Crevasse Detection Radar | | | | | | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Simulation/DMO/Training | | | | | | | |
| KC-135 Boom Operator Simulator System | | | | | | 12,482,801 | 11,822,800 |
| JTAC Desktop Trainers with ARCNet Gateway | | | | | | 1,020,000 | 1,020,000 |
| F-16 Weapon System Trainer/Unit Training Device Technology Refresh | | | | | | 721,250 | 712,770 |
| MQ-9 Reaper Mission Training Device | | | | | | 125,000 | 226,007 |
| Search and Rescue/Special Operations/Agile Combat Support | | | | | | | |
| Special Tactics/JTAC Assault Zone Equipment | | | | | | 12,605,982 | 9,639,936 |

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$) | |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| Urban Search and Rescue Kits | | | | | | 9,179,082 | 9,179,082 |
| Security Forces Equipment | | | | | | 4,999,003 | 4,977,493 |
| HH-60G Communication and Avionics Upgrade | | | | | | 4,885,000 | 4,559,740 |
| Guardian Angel Combat Survivability Equipment | | | | | | 3,759,466 | 3,533,329 |
| Personnel Recovery Task Force Operations Center | | | | | | 2,404,138 | 2,404,138 |
| Multiple Mission Design Series (MDS) Leak Detectors | | | | | | 1,188,500 | 263,200 |
| H/MC-130 Cargo Equipment and Engine Upgrade | | | | | | 1,031,998 | 104,368 |
| Battlefield Airman Communication and Data Link Equipment | | | | | | 679,827 | 2,334,846 |
| Global Integrated ISR/Space Superiority/Cyberspace Superiority/C2/Incident Awareness and Assessment | | | | | | | |
| Senior Scout Modernization | | | | | | 4,800,000 | 4,786,316 |
| Cyber Modernization - Cyber and Critical Infrastructure | | | | | | 2,999,200 | 2,499,020 |
| Domain Infrastructure Capability Enhancement | | | | | | 672,000 | 672,000 |
| Transportation | | | | | | | |
| R-11 Fuel Servicing Tank Truck Adapters | | | | | | 36,800 | 36,800 |
| Communications | | | | | | | |
| Joint Incident Site Communications and ASA Command Post Consoles | | | | | | 9,917,237 | 9,917,237 |
| Public Works and Engineering | | | | | | | |
| Airfield and Route Clearance Equipment | | | | | | 5,523,198 | 5,523,198 |
| Potable Water Production and Storage Equipment (ROWPU) | | | | | | 1,163,057 | 993,162 |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) | | | | | | 200,000 | 646,248 |
| Firefighting | | | | | | | |
| Personal Protective Equipment Structural Firefighting | | | | | | 4,142,935 | 4,142,935 |
| Emergency Management | | | | | | | |
| Mobile Emergency Operations Center (MEOC) | | | | | | 5,111,070 | 4,991,070 |
| Common Operating Picture (COP) | | | | | | 82,191 | 0 |
| Mass Care | | | | | | | |
| Disaster Relief Beddown Sets (DRBS) | | | | | | 7,120,425 | 3,492,665 |
| Religious Support Team Equipment for EMEDS, FSRT and CERFP | | | | | | 242,500 | 0 |
| Fatality Search and Rescue Team Equipment | | | | | | 92,000 | 61,005 |
| Public Health | | | | | | | |
| Modernization of Existing Expeditionary Medical Support | | | | | | 9,218,368 | 9,218,368 |
| HAZMAT Response | | | | | | | |
| HAZMAT Response Package | | | | | | 3,007,065 | 3,037,160 |
| Total | | | | \$615,339,000 | \$511,953,780 | \$250,000,000 | \$250,000,000 |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

NOTE: This table identifies equipment authorized by the Service to be used as a substitute for a primary item of equipment. The table also identifies whether or not the item is deployable in wartime. This data meets the Title 10 requirement to identify equipment that is not the most desired equipment item.

| Required Item Nomenclature | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Service Does Not Use Substitution to Satisfy Major Item Equipment Requirements

Significant Major Item Shortages

NOTE: This table provides a RC top ten prioritized (PR) shortage list for major equipment items required for wartime missions but which are currently not funded in the FYDP. It lists the total quantity required, the total unfunded requirement, the individual item cost, and the cost of the unfunded portion. This data is consistent with other unfunded equipment data submitted by the Service.

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|---|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--|
| 1 | Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM) for KC-135, C-130J, EC-130J | 203 | 199 | \$1,884,422 | \$375,000,000 | Allows tankers, combat delivery, and special operations aircraft to survive attacks from rapidly proliferating shoulder-launched missiles. |
| 2 | F-15/F-16 Sensor Upgrades | 550 | 273 | \$728,619 | \$198,913,000 | F-15 APG-63(V)3 replaces mechanically scanned radars with an active electronically scanned array (AESA) radar, which provides detection and tracking in multiple directions simultaneously and enables tracking of small asymmetric targets. The F-16 Block 40/42 Advanced Identification, Friend or Foe (AIFF) rapidly finds tracks of interest in saturated Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) airspace on homeland defense alert missions. Targeting pods require digital video output to display the full capability of the latest fourth generation FLIR and TV sensors to help determine potential enemy intent and minimize collateral damage and civilian casualties. Additional targeting pods are needed to maximize training efficiency. |
| 3 | HH-60 Situation Awareness Upgrade Kits | 18 | 18 | \$4,160,888 | \$74,896,000 | Hostile Fire Indicator provides aircrew warning and direction of small arms and RPG fire. Helmet-mounted Cueing System and Point Designation provide the crew flight and survivor awareness. New radios enable communication with multiple agencies during domestic response. |
| 4 | HC/MC/LC-130 Communication Navigation Surveillance / Air Traffic Management (CNS/ATM) Upgrade | 19 | 19 | \$5,052,632 | \$96,000,000 | CNS/ATM compliance by 2015 provides precision navigation, civil data link, enhanced surveillance and addresses obsolescence issues. |
| 5 | F-16/F-15/A-10 Radar Warning Receiver (RWR) and Defensive System Upgrades | 437 | 435 | \$1,153,584 | \$501,809,134 | Replaces 130 non-sustainable F-15 RWRs with a more capable system fully compatible with the AESA radar. Replaces A-10 and F-16 block 30/32/42 legacy ALR-69 RWR that has overloaded processors, which do not provide adequate response time or threat detection, with new fully digital ALR-69A. Increases flare capacity on F-16 aircraft and provides pre-emptive flare capability for F-15C. Adds missile warning on F-16 aircraft. Adds ALQ-213 to F-16 Block 42 to integrate the aircraft electronic warfare and countermeasures systems. |

Significant Major Item Shortages

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|---|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--|
| 6 | Multiple Mission-Design-Series (MDS) Real Time Information in Cockpit (RTIC) Data Link and Communications | 180 | 180 | \$750,000 | \$135,000,000 | Provides secure line-of-sight and beyond line-of-sight radios and data link to enable KC-135 aircrews to participate in network-centric operations. Provides continuous positions of friendly and hostile forces to expedite mission execution. Enables rapid re-tasking of aircraft to maximize efficiency of refueling operations. |
| 7 | Battlefield Airman Combat Equipment | 1,019 | 453 | \$202,207 | \$91,600,000 | Battlefield Airman includes Security Forces, Guardian Angels, Special Tactics, and Terminal Air Controllers. The items required include communication equipment, situational awareness equipment, personal protective equipment, night vision devices, weapons and weapons accessories, training devices, and explosive detection equipment. |
| 8 | A-10 Situation Awareness Upgrade Kits | 42 | 42 | \$554,286 | \$23,280,000 | Color display unit allows displays full digital resolution of latest fourth generation targeting pod sensors to improve target identification and minimize collateral damage and civilian casualties. 3D audio reduces extraneous noise and radically increases the pilot's ability to process information coming simultaneously from multiple radios and threat warning systems. Anti-jam navigation system prevents sensor cueing errors in GPS jamming environment. |
| 9 | E-8C (JSTARS) Global Integrated ISR; PR Compatible Interrogation Radio, IBS Modernization, Combat Identification Capability, Radio Calibration Tools, and Multi-agency Communication Capability | 91 | 81 | \$7,574,986 | \$613,573,942 | Provides overwatch of potentially hostile extraction areas via secure imaging, intelligence reports of electronic intelligence (ELINT), signals intelligence (SIGINT), human intelligence (HUMINT) in support of target nomination and Identification, CID to allow organic identification to multiple sensors on the aircraft permitting target quality identification to forces, and the ability for aircrew to calibrate multi-band radio systems after performing in-flight adjustments. |
| 10 | Advanced Simulators for F-16, C-130H/J, KC-135 | 31 | 25 | \$3,860,000 | \$96,500,000 | With reduced flying hours and range limitations, ANG flying units will be unable to maintain full combat readiness without high fidelity tactical simulators. |

III. Air Force Reserve Overview

A. Current Status of the Air Force Reserve

1. General Overview

In February 2012, the CSAF issued *Air Force Priorities for a New Strategy with Constrained Budgets*. This document explains that 1) the Active and Reserve Components were carefully balanced to preserve both readiness and capability in the FY 2013 budget submission, and 2) a modern force is

necessary to meet future challenges. With the pace and scope of modernization slowing, the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account (NGREA) has played an increased role in preserving the operational force and strategic reserve the Air Force needs.

The Air Force Reserve (AFR) is fully engaged across the full spectrum of operations, providing the strategic capacity to respond to national crises and the day-to-day operational capability to maintain ongoing missions. In 2003, the AFR surged, with 23 percent of its force activated in response to 9/11 and support for Operation Iraqi Freedom, and again in 2010, with 12 percent of its Airmen activated to support Operation Enduring Freedom. More recently, the AFR supported the Total Force with an average of seven percent of its Airmen contributing to operational day-to-day, Air Expeditionary Force, mobilization, and war effort missions—including providing immediate response to Hurricane Sandy in New Jersey and Operation Juniper Micron in Mali.

Over the last two years, the Air Force Reserve provided eight percent of the forces supporting Air and Space Expeditionary Force (AEF) missions, including Operations Coronet Oak, Theater Security Package, Noble Eagle, and Enduring Freedom. This makes the Air Force Reserve Command (AFRC), as one of 10 Major Commands, the fourth largest contributor to Total Force AEF requirements. Transcending quantity, the command prides itself on the quality of its force generation, dedicating the Force Generation Center to the oversight, visibility, and accountability of its forces since 2011, which reported double-digit increases in all of the six measured areas that gauge the effectiveness and efficiency of its AEF processes.

The Air Force uses NGREA to modernize the AFR's aging equipment to maintain leading-edge combat capability. The appropriation bolsters recapitalization of critical RC equipment in the three major areas: mobility air forces (MAF), combat air forces (CAF), and Agile Combat Support (ACS). FY 2012 execution enabled procurement of A-10 and F-16 helmet-mounted integrated targeting (HMIT) systems to comply with combatant commander urgent operational need for low collateral damage, C-130 combat communication systems for battlespace awareness, materials handling equipment to support aerial point of embarkation operations at March Air Reserve Base (ARB), and modernization of the multi-year C-130 Modular Aerial Spray Systems (MASS). The Air Force Reserve has the lead responsibility for WC-130J aircraft, executing all modernization and sustainment functions of the peculiar equipment needed to accomplish the Hurricane Hunter mission. The AFR used FY 2009 NGREA funds to mitigate obsolescence of the Aerial Reconnaissance Weather Officer pallet system and FY 2010 NGREA funds to add civil satellite communications capability to the WC-130J aircraft

Top AFR Equipping Challenges

- **Defensive Systems:** Risks to aircraft vulnerability/survivability during combat operations due to legacy defensive systems
- **Data Link and Secure Communications:** Non-standard airborne capabilities supporting image/video, threat updates, and communications for combat missions

The AFR maintains a warfighter-driven requirements process that studies mission needs and solicits, validates, and prioritizes requirements proposals. These are then ranked into the Prioritized Integrated Requirements List (PIRL) and presented to the AFRC Commander for approval. The PIRL executable items then form the AFR Modernization List, which is used to determine the AFR's Fiscal Year Procurement List.

The AFR is working to address Congressional concerns with NGREA obligation rates that do not meet DoD goals. The nature of the NGREA appropriation demands an agile response by the acquisition community. An out-year projection of the AFR's procurement plan assists Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC) in planning acquisition workload and contracting strategy. The AFR is actively presenting three to five year procurement plans to AFMC using courses of action that depict multiple funding scenarios. The longer planning horizons allow earlier initiation of requirements documents to ensure lead command requirement approval prior to allocation of NGREA. The AFR also implemented processes to ensure that acquisition planning is in place prior to the receipt of funds so that proposal requests can be issued. Contractual options or other contractual vehicles allowing for flexible order quantities will be put in place, where possible. While these planning improvements will take several years to be fully implemented, improvements are already visible in AFR NGREA execution rates.

While processes are in place for NGREA execution, historical delays with appropriations and authorizations translated to delays with depot maintenance programs. Weapons Systems Sustainment executes to a predetermined schedule that is based on enterprise requirements. The schedule flow includes commitment of funds 30 days prior to equipment input, which enables depot organizations to order parts. The uncertainty of obligation authority due to continuing resolutions disrupts this process. Thus, delays cause a ripple effect due to lack of sufficient annual authority, and flying units may suffer from aircraft availability as funding delays result in depot delays.

Overall, NGREA funds have been welcome support to maintaining and modernizing aging equipment. The Air Force Reserve has a successful record of effectively applying NGREA in the areas of MAF, CAF, and ACS to ensure the readiness of Reserve combat capability.

a. Mobility Air Forces (MAF)

The majority of AFR capability exists in the MAF, and it contributes a significant amount of aircrews in diverse mission areas at the highest levels of force readiness. These include unit equipped, classic associations, active associations, and Formal Training Units (FTUs), all together covering 18 percent of aerial refueling, 18 percent of tactical airlift, 30 percent of strategic airlift, 100 percent of Air Force aerial spray, and 100 percent of Air Force Weather Reconnaissance missions. Currently, the AFR has C-5, C-17, C-130, KC-135, and C-40C units where they own and maintain aircraft and equipment.

The C-5 Galaxy provides the Air Force with inter-theater airlift in support of U.S. national defense. The AFR operates C-5 aircraft at the 433rd Airlift Wing, Lackland AFB, Texas, and at the 439th Airlift Wing, Westover ARB, Massachusetts. The 433rd Airlift Wing is home to the Air Force's C-5 FTU, which supports aircrew training for the entire C-5 fleet. The AFR associates with the AC on C-5 aircraft at the 512th Airlift Wing, Dover AFB, Delaware and 349th Air Mobility Wing, Travis AFB, California. Programs like the Wireless Interphone

System, voice and data communication upgrades, Avionics Modernization Program, and Reliability Re-engineering Program have increased operational and maintenance capability while fulfilling mandated airspace requirements.

The C-17 Globemaster III provides the Air Force with inter-theater and intra-theater airlift in support of U.S. national defense. The AFR operates C-17s at the 452nd Air Mobility Wing, March ARB, California and the 445th Air Mobility Wing, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio. The AFR associates with the AC on C-17 aircraft at the 315th Airlift Wing, Charleston AFB, South Carolina; the 446th Airlift Wing, McChord AFB, Washington; the 514th Air Mobility Wing, McGuire AFB, New Jersey; the 512th Airlift Wing, Dover AFB, Delaware; 349th Air Mobility Wing, Travis AFB, California; and the 730th Air Mobility Training Squadron, Altus AFB, Oklahoma. Key maintenance items for the Globemaster include communication and warning systems to enhance battlespace awareness and survivability.

The C-130 Hercules provides the Air Force with capability to take off and land on short, unimproved runways normally found during austere operations. The C-130H provides rapid transportation of personnel or cargo for delivery day or night by parachute or landing. It can also be used for aeromedical evacuation of injured personnel. The AFR maintains C-130H aircraft at Dobbins ARB, Georgia; Maxwell AFB, Alabama; Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station (ARS), New York; Pittsburgh International Airport (IAP) ARS, Pennsylvania; Pope Army Airfield, North Carolina; Youngstown ARS, Ohio; Minneapolis-St Paul IAP ARS, Minnesota; and Peterson AFB, Colorado. Peterson AFB, Colorado provides MAFFS capability and Youngstown ARS, Ohio provides Modular Aerial Spray System capability. The 910th Airlift Wing at Youngstown ARS, Ohio is tasked as the only large area fixed-wing aerial spray capability within DoD to control disease-carrying insects, pest insects, and oil spill dispersal. The unit has been involved in year round coverage to suppress mosquitoes carrying the West Nile Virus. The AFR is actively pursuing secure/beyond line-of-sight communication capability, real-time battlespace information in the cockpit with a data link/common operating picture, updated aerial spray systems, and modern propeller control and balancing systems. The AFR HC-130 fleet is also modernizing with a state-of-the-art integrated electronic warfare suite to ensure warfighting capability and fleet safety.

The C-130J is the latest and most advanced version of the C-130, with more fuel efficiency and greater range than previous versions. With increased reliability and maintainability, the C-130J reduces the cost of ownership by as much as 45 percent less than older C-130 models. The AFR maintains C-130J aircraft at the 403rd Air Mobility Wing, Keesler AFB, Mississippi. It supports ground operations through the delivery of paratroopers and equipment to austere runways at forward bases. The C-130J conducts humanitarian relief missions and can be used for medical evacuations.

The AFR's 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron maintains WC-130Js at Keesler AFB, Mississippi, to provide ongoing Hurricane Hunter support to National Hurricane Hunter and National Winter Storm operation plans. The unique mission profiles flown by the WC-130Js have revealed a critical communication capability shortfall that will be addressed with NGREA funds.

The KC-135 Stratotanker provides worldwide air refueling and strategic airlift in support of U.S. national defense. The Air Force Reserve operates KC-135R aircraft at the 434th Air Refueling Wing, Grissom ARB, Indiana; the 452nd Air Mobility Wing, March ARB, California; the 459th Air Refueling Wing, Andrews AFB, Maryland; the 507th Air Refueling Wing, Tinker AFB, Oklahoma; and the 916th Air Refueling Wing, Seymour-Johnson AFB, North Carolina. AFR KC-135 aircrews have continuously maintained a 1:5 mobilization-to-dwell ratio to support AEF rotations since January 2009, and the aircraft are programmed through FY 2017 to modify voice, data link, and data transfer capability to enhance self-defense capabilities.

The C-40C provides worldwide air transportation for the Executive Branch, Congressional members and delegations, DoD officials, high ranking U.S. and foreign dignitaries, as well as other numerous operations support needs. The 932nd Airlift Wing, at Scott AFB, Illinois, is dedicated to providing the highest level of service to support operational support airlift travel teams, critical mission support and VIPs supporting war, peacetime, homeland defense, and contingency requirements. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issued guidance requiring detection/correction of potential ignition sources in the area of aircraft fuel tanks, which impacted the C-40C. The FAA established a performance-based set of requirements that set acceptable flammability exposure values for fuel tanks prone to explosion or required installation of ignition mitigation means in an affected tank. The program is on track with 50 percent of the fleet expected to be complete by September 2015 and 100 percent by September 2018.

b. Combat Air Forces (CAF)

Currently, the AFR has B-52, A-10, F-16, HH-60, HC-130, and Guardian Angel units where they own and maintain aircraft and equipment.

The B-52 Stratofortress serves as the workhorse of the conventional bomber fleet possessing intercontinental range and a large, diverse weapons payload. The AFR maintains B-52 aircraft assigned to the 307th Bomb Wing, Barksdale AFB, Louisiana and is currently the only command that produces new aircrews for this aircraft through the Flying Training Unit program, providing 100 percent of the formal training for B-52 aircrew combat employment. AFR B-52s require installation of Digital Mission Data Recorders and upgrades to the LITENING Advanced Targeting Pod (ATP) through spiral upgrades to maintain training and combat effectiveness.

The A-10 Thunderbolt II is the primary Air Force close air support ground attack fighter. The AFR maintains A-10 aircraft at the 442nd Fighter Wing, Whiteman AFB, Missouri. Since 2007, the Air Force Reserve has teamed with Air Combat Command to maintain two A-10 associate units. AFR A-10 units from Barksdale AFB, Louisiana, and Whiteman AFB, Missouri, deployed to Afghanistan in the spring of 2012 to fill a mix of 90 and 180-day deployments to support an AEF rotation. This deployment was the first use of the NGREA-purchased fourth generation LITENING targeting pod. The new LITENING pod proved to be a tactical and technological success. AFR A-10s are also receiving a helmet-mounted targeting display and an onboard oxygen generation system to help them operate from austere locations.

The F-16 Fighting Falcon provides air-to-air and air-to-ground combat capabilities in a single-engine multi-role tactical fighter aircraft. The Air Force Reserve flies F-16s at the 301st Fighter Wing, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Ft. Worth, Texas, and the 482nd Fighter Wing,

Homestead ARB, Florida. AFR F-16s are currently receiving an avionics update to include a new smart display, helmet-mounted targeting, and advanced identification friend foe equipment. Communication needs include secure line-of-sight (SLOS)/beyond line-of-sight (BLOS) and a three-dimensional audio system upgrade, which will significantly improve situational awareness, threat reaction, and communication intelligibility. A new radar processor will improve reliability and performance with minimal integration, installment, and purchasing costs, and it is estimated to save over \$100K per year for radar repair on each F-16. These updates maintain survivability and combat effectiveness in current and future threat environments.

The HH-60G Pave Hawk mission is to conduct day or night operations into hostile environments to recover downed aircrew or isolated coalition personnel. The AFR operates HH-60G aircraft at the 920th Rescue Wing at Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona, and Patrick AFB, Florida. AFR HH-60G Pave Hawk search and rescue helicopters have had three to four aircraft continually deployed to Afghanistan from May 2011 to June 2012. During this time, volunteer and mobilized AFR crews and maintainers launched over 2,400 sorties logging 1,500 hours. During this period, they were credited with over 800 saves and 860 assists.

The HC-130N/P conducts day or night operations to affect the recovery of downed aircrews or other isolated personnel from hostile or denied environments during war. They may provide air refueling of recovery force helicopters and tactical delivery via airdrop or airland of rescue personnel watercraft, all-terrain vehicles, and direct assistance in advance of recovery vehicles. Current AFR HC-130N/P inventory is based at the 920th Rescue Wing, Patrick AFB, Florida. The AFR HC-130 fleet is currently integrating a state-of-the-art integrated electronic warfare suite.

Guardian Angel (GA) is an Air Force weapon system consisting of combat rescue officers; pararescuemen; and survival, evasion, resistance, and escape (SERE) specialists operating together to provide a dedicated capability to locate and recover isolated personnel in support of combat search and rescue and personnel recovery programs. Air Force Reserve GA personnel and equipment are assigned to the 920th Rescue Wing, Patrick AFB, Florida. Subordinate 920th Rescue Wing GA units are located at Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona and Portland IAP, Oregon. Guardian Angel capability is in need of funding to replace and upgrade existing communication and self-defense systems.

c. Agile Combat Support (ACS)

Agile Combat Support, as an AF core function, supports and enables all other Air Force core functions. The AFR provides a significant portion of that deployable combat support capability to the AF:

- 15 percent of the AF's explosive ordnance disposal capability
- 15 percent of the AF's Prime Base Engineer Emergency Force (Prime BEEF) civil engineer capability
- 27 percent of the AF's Rapid Engineer Deployable Heavy Operations Repair Squadron Engineers heavy construction capability

- 12 percent of the AF's Security Forces capability.

Multi-year NGREA purchases of weapons and night vision equipment since FY 2010, including \$6.5M across FY 2011 and FY 2012, ensure AFR Airmen are trained and qualified on modern tactical suites and fully prepared for mobilization to relieve high AC dwell rates.

Major ACS vehicle procurements integrate with CAF and MAF modernization efforts that support Reserve contributions to AF missions. Other AF priorities have increased pressure on the vehicle replacement account, with FY 2012 and FY 2013 cuts affecting all components. At the beginning of FY 2012, the AFR vehicle fleet was older than the AF fleet in five categories: passenger vehicles, cargo carriers, materials handling equipment, runway clearing vehicles, and construction equipment. In addition to vehicle requirements to support continental U.S. base operations, NGREA investments have supported procurement of tactical training vehicles that enable Selected Reserve (SELRES) civil engineering personnel to attain and maintain qualification on expeditionary construction equipment. Several efforts between AFR and the Warner Robins Air Logistics Center (WR-ALC) partially mitigated the effects of fleet age and shortfalls. These effects include authorization reductions, transfers, and \$10.4M of FY 2011 and FY 2010 Air Force funding. However, the total AFR vehicle procurement shortfall remains \$8.1M as of July 2012.

2. Current Status of Equipment

a. Equipment On-hand

Table 1 provides projected RC major equipment requirements and on-hand inventories to meet assigned missions. These platforms include air refueling, air support, airlift, bomber, fighter, and rescue aircraft.

b. Average Age of Major Items of Equipment

The average age of Air Force Reserve aircraft ranges from 10 years for the C-40Cs to 55 years for KC-135s and B-52s. As these averages increase, there is a direct correlation to a demand for more Operation and Maintenance funding to preserve the capability. The following factors drive this increased funding demand: 1) disappearing vendors, as a result of industry shifts to newer aircraft, create a greater cost for replacement parts as items exceed their projected life cycle, 2) operational costs of these less-efficient aircraft drive up flying hour costs, and 3) mean-time-between-failure worsens as aircraft age. These factors combine to increase the maintenance burden and simultaneously decrease aircraft availability, and must be addressed to sustain the capabilities required to meet national defense demands.

See *Table 2* for the average age of major equipment items as of the beginning of FY 2014.

c. Compatibility of Current Equipment with AC

Air Force Reserve equipment requires compatibility with the AC to support applicable AF missions, with the exception of "unique" missions performed by the AFR (e.g., weather, aerial spray, and aerial firefighting). This compatibility with the AC is also critical to ensuring the SELRES has the ability to train to AC standards and be ready to operate with AC counterparts. With Congressional funding received to date, the AFR is able to keep its mission equipment compatible with the AC.

d. Maintenance Issues

The Air Force has an ongoing A-10 wing replacement program to replace 242 legacy thin-skin wings. Boeing, who produces the replacement wings, encountered technical and production difficulties, which subsequently impacted initial deliveries. With 117 wings purchased and one wing delivered in 2011, Congress reduced the program's FY 2012 funding and cut 40 wings from the baseline. Independent of Congressional action, Headquarters Air Force further reduced FY 2013 funding by 21 wings and FY 2014 funding by 27 wings. Lost funding was partially restored through the FY 2013 Restore Force Structure funding in the FY 2013 NDAA; however, the baseline remains approximately 46 wings short of threshold requirements (228) to maintain the fleet of record. In 2013, the Air Force determined legacy thin-skin wing repair was too costly to continue. Thin-skin wing repair concludes in FY 2014, leaving only legacy thick-skin wings and new wings to sustain the fleet. Sufficient new wings are available to sustain depot requirements during this transition period.

e. Modernization Programs and Shortfalls

The AFR's list of modernization shortfalls stresses aircraft defense, safety, and data link communications. The following paragraphs provide highlights, and *Table 8* lists shortfalls identified through the AFR corporate process and the AFR FY 2015 Equipment Modernization List.

Modernization of aircraft is required to maintain or reverse degraded capabilities due to materiel age or obsolescence. Major AFR MAF programs include Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM), modular aerial spray systems, secure communication upgrades, and electronics upgrades. Major AFR CAF modernization programs include helmet-mounted targeting systems, avionics and display updates, commercial fire control computers, propeller systems, hostile fire indication systems, mission data recording systems, rotor brakes, and Guardian Angel equipment. These efforts directly address capability shortfalls identified by theater combatant commanders during combat operations.

LAIRCM are necessary to provide an integral self-protection system that also complements flare-based defensive systems currently used and provides increased protection against advanced and emerging infrared missile threats. This requirement was established in 1998 by the LAIRCM Operational Requirements Document 314-92 for C-5s, C-17s, C-130s, and KC-135s.

The AFR will continue to equip C-130s in FY 2014 with the Real Time Information in the Cockpit (RTIC) data link system. These are upgrades with ARC-210 and Situational Awareness Data Link (SADL) radios to provide crews with advanced SLOS and BLOS communications situational awareness and the ability to be dynamically mission re-tasked. This NGREA-funded capability was identified as a combatant commander urgent operational need after program initiation.

AFR F-16s from Homestead AFB, Florida and Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth, Texas have entered the depot to receive HMIT and Center Display Unit upgrades to support a fall 2013 deployment to Afghanistan. Essential aircraft upgrades still required are radar improvements to allow all-weather attack of ground targets, upgraded missile warning systems, and improved jam-resistant Global Positioning System (GPS).

The primary critical need for AFR A-10s is modernization of cockpit avionics and displays and an improved electronic warfare defensive suite. A-10s from Whiteman AFB, Missouri, are processing through the depot to receive the HMIT and the LARS v12 combat search and rescue upgrade. These new capabilities will be in place to support an AFR spring 2014 AEF rotation to Afghanistan.

AFR GA units require training equipment, such as water rescue crafts for use in extreme climates during intensive training and contingency operations. Other ongoing programs include equipment modernization for short-wave infrared night vision devices, weapons accessories, communication equipment, replacements for rigged alternate method zodiac (RAMZ) drop packages and personal protective equipment. As a dynamic mission, GA tactics and capabilities requirements constantly change with the operational environment to meet combatant commander needs.

AFR personnel recovery aircraft need hostile fire indication systems to counter the increasing threat from rocket-propelled grenades, anti-aircraft artillery, heavy machine guns, anti-tank guided missiles, and even small arms. This modification upgrades AAR-47 sensors in five HC-130s and 15 HH-60s, increasing the probability of hostile ground fire detection, and enabling the aircrew to take evasive maneuvers and increase aircraft survivability. In addition, AFR HH-60G helicopters are among the few helicopters in the personnel recovery community that do not possess rotor brakes required for safe shipboard operations. These brakes limit the time required to spin up or slow down the rotor system on a ship's deck and increase safety for ground personnel from spinning rotor blades during maritime operations. FY 2013 NGREA funds have been allocated to this program for upgrade of 15 HH-60G aircraft.

The C-130 Modular Aerial Spray System (MASS) is over 20 years old, no longer in production, and becoming increasingly more difficult and expensive to maintain. A program has been initiated for FY 2014 funding to design and procure a replacement MASS for six C-130H aircraft. This improves system reliability and spray accuracy to meet current and future aerial spray applications directed by the Center for Disease Control, Homeland Defense, and DoD.

AFR C-130s and HC-130s are in need of various propeller systems to increase aircraft survivability and performance. The AFR will install modern high-performance propellers, propeller control systems, and in-flight propeller balancing systems for 48 aircraft to increase engine efficiency, decrease sustainment costs, and increase mission capable rates.

The unique mission profiles flown by the AFR WC-130Js revealed a critical communication capability shortfall. The satellite phone solution, identified by the AFR and WR-ALC, will resolve the communication shortfall and enhance the capability and safety of flight for this national weather reconnaissance capability.

The new LITENING Generation Four (G4) pod has proved to be a tactical and technological success, and the AFR is continuing to upgrade all of its LITENING ATPs to G4 configuration on the F-16, A-10, and B-52 aircraft.

AFR B-52s are in need of Digital Mission Data Recorders to maintain combat effectiveness and effectively accomplish its Formal Training Unit mission. Legacy equipment is no longer

supportable due to a lack of available repair sources. The AFR is working with the system program office to develop the required performance work statement to fulfill this shortfall.

Finally, AFR support equipment has a current shortfall of approximately \$115M for sustainment across all functional areas within the command. Assets required include maintenance stands, avionics test stations, tow bars, radios, small arms, and night vision devices. Support equipment fill rates and readiness will remain on par with the AC and achieve the reset to the new strategy, defined in *Sustaining Global Leadership: Priorities for the 21st Century Defense*, given a sustained baseline. Recent efforts to improve execution of the AFR's buy list with WR-ALC resulted in procurement of water jet cutters. Strategic airlift units no longer have to wait for contractors or depots to manufacture parts, saving Operation and Maintenance funds and improving aircraft availability of C-5 and C-17 aircraft.

B. Changes since the Last NGRER

The force structure changes announced with the FY 2013 President's Budget (PB) included Air Force plans to retire 82 AFR aircraft in the next few years in AL, AR, CA, GA, LA, MA, MN, MS, NY, NC, OH, OK, PA, and TX. The FY 2014 PB plans to reduce the AFR by another 10 aircraft in Mississippi. These actions reduce the AFR inventory by 71 airlift and aerial-refueling aircraft, as well as 21 fighter jets. This retires the AF's oldest aircraft, makes room for newer models, and consolidates similar types of aircraft at common locations as much as possible. Additionally, some aircraft transfers for FY 2014 and later are on hold pending the outcome of the FY 2014 President's Budget Request.

Changes in the status of AFR equipment programs include the following:

- The C-130 RTIC modification has been completed on six of AFR's C-130 aircraft, five at the 910th Airlift Wing and one at the 914th Airlift Wing. RTIC has been utilized to resolve an urgent-operational-need request to provide SLOS and BLOS capability to the combatant commander. RTIC provides crews enhanced situational awareness capability during airlift, airdrop, and other operations.
- The AFR Simulator and Distributed Mission Operations (DMO) program has made significant advancements this past year in providing better capability in the F-16C Multi-Task Trainers (MTTs) and the A-10C Full Mission Trainers (FMTs). The 301st Fighter Wing, Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth, Texas, and 482nd Fighter Wing, Homestead AFB, Florida, have recently received delivery of a second fully upgraded F-16C MTT complete with state-of-the-art 360-degree visual display systems. The expected delivery date for the third and fourth simulators at each of these locations simulators will be November 2014.
- AFR A-10Cs will also receive the Lightweight Airborne Radio System Version 12 (LARS v12) upgrade in two 442nd Fighter Wing, Whiteman AFB, Missouri FMTs, which will provide a quantum leap in downed aircrew search and rescue capability. The LARS v12 upgrade was funded in May 2013.

- The A-10/F-16 Block HMIT entered production in 2012. AFR A-10 installs began in December 2012, and 20 aircraft have been completed as of July 2013. AFR F-16 HMIT installs began in June 2013 and are projected to be complete by May 2014.
- The F-16 Center Display Unit places a smart color multi-function display on the center pedestal. The Center Display Unit will reduce maintenance and significantly increase aircraft processing capacity. Depot installs began in January 2013.
- F-16 Software Capability Upgrade 8.0 (SCU 8) began fleet-wide installation in February 2013. In addition to incorporating HMIT and Center Display Unit functionality, SCU 8 also brings LITENING G4 and Advanced Targeting Pod capability, digital Ethernet connectivity, advanced medium-range air-to-air missile (AMRAAM) AIM-120D and AIM-9X digital integration, and many other refinements to the aircraft operational flight program.
- Completion of the A-10C Operational Flight Program Suite 7.b in conjunction with the installation of HMIT and LARS v12, significantly increases search and rescue capability and integrates LITENING G4 and ATP as well. While at the depot, the obsolete Pave Penny system will also be removed to open up space in the avionics bay, reduce aircraft drag, and save money and time by eliminating future system maintenance.
- Contract Award for the procurement of 12 new LITENING Advanced Targeting Pods–Sensor Enhanced (ATP-SE) for CAF aircraft occurred in September 2013. The total cost for this effort includes cost for new pods, spares and containers, activation, and one month of availability support. Four remaining Block One to G4 upgrades are scheduled for contract award in December 2013. AFR is anticipating merging its buy with the ANG to meet minimum buy quantity pricing. Following this, the G4 to ATP-SE kit upgrades are expected to begin in FY 2014.

C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017)

1. FY 2017 Equipment Requirements

Table 1 provides projected FY 2015–FY 2017 major equipment inventories and requirements.

2. Anticipated New Equipment Procurements

Table 3 lists planned procurements for the AFR from the FY 2015 President’s Budget Request.

Table 4 provides AFR planned NGREA procurements for FY 2012–FY 2014.

3. Anticipated Transfers from AC to AFR

Table 5 lists planned AFR transfers for FY 2015–FY 2017.

4. Anticipated Withdrawals from AFR Inventory

Table 5 also lists planned AFR major equipment withdrawals for FY 2015–FY 2017, including the force structure changes discussed in Section II, paragraph B of this chapter.

5. Equipment Shortages and Modernization Shortfalls at the End of FY 2017

Tables 1 and 8 provide AFR equipment inventories, shortfalls, and modernization requirements.

D. Summary

Effective modernization is the key to not only maintaining the ability to meet contingency taskings, but also to improving the capability of the warfighter. This is more important as sequestration and other budget considerations drive new system acquisitions further into the future, resulting in the demand to upgrade and sustain AFR legacy systems. The AFR receives modernization funding through two primary sources, the Air Force Budget and NAREA, along with any Congressional funding additions. The AFR continues to focus on increased capability through modernization of training and mission platforms as well as installation sustainment. Critical capabilities include modular aerial spray systems, secure communication upgrades, large aircraft infrared countermeasures, electronics upgrades, avionics and display updates, helmet-mounted targeting systems, commercial fire control computers, mission data recording systems, advanced identification of friend or foe systems, Guardian Angel equipment, and vehicle procurements.

In summary, the AFR is committed to improving its execution of NAREA funds to accelerate needed capabilities to its Airmen. It continues to focus on improving defensive systems and communication capabilities without neglecting other modernization requirements. Furthermore, the Air Force Reserve continues to work with partner commands, particularly Air Combat Command and Air Mobility Command, to ensure requirements are fully defined prior to allocating NAREA funds. It also works closely with Air Force Materiel Command to improve acquisition planning. While additional work remains to be completed, improved planning and communication continues to bear fruit for improved NAREA obligation rates for AFR programs.

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

NOTE: This table provides a comprehensive list of selected major equipment items. It provides the projected inventory quantity on-hand (QTY O/H) at the beginning/end of the selected fiscal year (FY). It also provides the quantity required (QTY REQ) to meet the full wartime requirements of the Reserve Component. In accordance with Title 10, the QTY REQ number provides the recommendation as to the quantity and type of equipment that should be in the inventory of each Reserve Component. FY 2015 unit cost estimates are provided by the Military Departments.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Air Refueling | | | | | | | |
| Air Refueling, KC-135R | KC-135R | \$74,000,000 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 |
| Air Support | | | | | | | |
| Weather, WC-130J | WC-130J | \$73,800,000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Airlift | | | | | | | |
| Airlift, C-130H | C-130H | \$39,600,000 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Airlift, C-130J | C-130J | \$73,800,000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Airlift, C-17A | C-17A | \$281,200,000 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Airlift, C-5A | C-5A | \$205,100,000 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Airlift, C-5B | C-5B | \$235,300,000 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Airlift, C-5M | C-5M | \$328,000,000 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Airlift, C-40C | C-40C | \$80,700,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Bomber | | | | | | | |
| Bomber, B-52H | B-52H | \$99,000,000 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Fighter | | | | | | | |
| Fighter, A-10C | A-10C | \$12,900,000 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 |
| Fighter, F-16C | F-16C | \$21,600,000 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| Fighter, F-16D | F-16D | \$21,600,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Rescue | | | | | | | |
| Rescue, HC-130N | HC-130N | \$23,000,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rescue, HC-130P | HC-130P | \$23,000,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Rescue, HC-130J | HC-130J | \$80,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Rescue, HH-60G | HH-60G | \$27,000,000 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |

Average Age of Equipment

NOTE: This table provides the average age of selected major equipment items. The average age provides a projected average age of the fleet at the start of FY 2014.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | Average Age | Remarks |
|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Air Refueling | | | |
| Air Refueling, KC-135R | KC-135R | 55 | |
| Air Support | | | |
| Weather, WC-130J | WC-130J | 18 | |
| Airlift | | | |
| Airlift, C-130H | C-130H | 27 | |
| Airlift, C-130J | C-130J | 13 | |
| Airlift, C-17A | C-17A | 15 | |
| Airlift, C-5A | C-5A | 46 | |
| Airlift, C-5B | C-5B | 29 | |
| Airlift, C-40C | C-40C | 10 | |
| Bomber | | | |
| Bomber, B-52H | B-52H | 55 | |
| Fighter | | | |
| Fighter, A-10C | A-10C | 34 | |
| Fighter, F-16C | F-16C | 29 | |
| Fighter, F-16D | F-16D | 29 | |
| Rescue | | | |
| Rescue, HC-130N | HC-130N | 46 | |
| Rescue, HC-130P | HC-130P | 50 | |
| Rescue, HH-60G | HH-60G | 25 | |

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of programmed equipment procurement as identified in the P-1R exhibit of the FY 2015 President's Budget Request. All values are costs in dollars and exclude ammunition procurements. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2015 are expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2016 or FY 2017.

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Modification of Inservice Aircraft | | | |
| B-52 | \$11,817,000 | \$26,014,000 | \$25,120,000 |
| C-5M | 254,320,000 | | |
| C-17A | 22,187,000 | 207,000 | 638,000 |
| C-40 | 1,200,000 | 300,000 | |
| C-130 | 2,550,000 | 2,675,000 | 8,142,000 |
| C-135 | 8,725,000 | 7,988,000 | 7,685,000 |
| H-60 | 1,164,000 | 1,581,000 | 941,000 |
| Vehicular Equipment | | | |
| Passenger Carrying Vehicles | 75,000 | 75,000 | 77,000 |
| Medium Tactical Vehicles | 3,633,000 | 1,742,000 | 1,794,000 |
| Security and Tactical Vehicles | 428,000 | 428,000 | 441,000 |
| Runway Snow Removal & Cleaning Equipment | 294,000 | 294,000 | 303,000 |
| Electronics and Telecommunications Equipment | | | |
| Air Traffic Control & Landing System | 2,025,000 | 2,025,000 | 2,086,000 |
| AF Global Command & Control System | 80,000 | 80,000 | 82,000 |
| Theater Battle Management C2 System | 145,000 | 145,000 | 145,000 |
| Air & Space Operations Center - Weapon System | 1,168,000 | 1,168,000 | 1,203,000 |
| Information Transport Systems | 9,251,000 | 3,545,000 | 3,651,000 |
| Tactical Communications-Electronics Equipment | 29,000 | 65,000 | 67,000 |
| Base Communications Infrastructure | 322,000 | 328,000 | 338,000 |
| Communications & Electronics Modifications | 1,416,000 | 1,416,000 | 1,458,000 |
| Other Base Maintenance and Support Equipment | | | |
| Night Vision Goggles | 1,168,000 | 473,000 | 487,000 |
| Total | \$321,997,000 | \$50,549,000 | \$54,658,000 |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of planned equipment procurements with the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA). These funds are available for a three-year period from the year of appropriation. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years from date of procurement before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2014 would be expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2015 or FY 2016. All values are costs in dollars.

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|---|-------------|--------------|----------------------|
| <u>FY 2012 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | |
| A-10/F-16 Cockpit Modernization (includes A-10/F-16 Center Display) | 12,943,417 | | |
| Simulators | 12,400,000 | | |
| Chief Information Officer (CIO) Board Project List | \$8,626,015 | | |
| F-16 Advanced Identification, Friend or Foe (IFF) | 8,082,668 | | |
| C-40 High Speed Data | 6,880,538 | | |
| A-10 Lightweight Airborne Recovery System (LARS) v12 | 4,859,785 | | |
| C-130 Secure Line-of-sight/Beyond Line-of-sight (SLOS/BLOS) Capability | 4,245,117 | | |
| C-17/C-130 Interphone for Loadmaster/Scanner | 3,175,984 | | |
| Vehicles | 3,033,752 | | |
| A-10 On Board Oxygen Generating System (OBOGS) | 2,769,684 | | |
| Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM) | 2,640,415 | | |
| F-16 Commercial Fire Control Computer (CFCC) | 2,085,518 | | |
| A-10/F-16 Day/Night Helmet-mounted Integrated Targeting (HIMIT) | 1,981,552 | | |
| Support Equipment | 825,555 | | |
| C-130 Virtual Electronic Combat Training System (VECTS) | 450,000 | | |
| <u>FY 2013 NGREA Equipment</u> | | | |
| LITENING Targeting Pod Procurement & Spiral Upgrades | | \$47,847,253 | |
| C-130 Electronic Propeller Control System (EPCS) | | 7,400,000 | |
| KC-135 Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM) | | 11,054,385 | |
| C-130 Modular Aerial Spray System (MASS) | | 8,000,000 | |
| HH-60 Communications Suite Upgrade | | 7,500,000 | |
| Simulators | | 15,300,000 | |
| C-130 Secure Line-of-sight/Beyond Line-of-sight (SLOS/BLOS) Capability | | 1,100,000 | |
| A-10/F-16 Helmet-mounted Integrated Targeting (HMIT) | | 5,499,999 | |
| A-10/F-16 Digital Intercom | | 3,350,000 | |
| B-52 Mission Data Recording System | | 800,000 | |
| F-16 ALR-69A Radar Warning Receiver (RWR) | | 3,602,000 | |
| F-16 2nd ARC-210 Digital Receiver-Transmitter | | 2,547,000 | |
| HH-60 Rotor Brake | | 3,000,000 | |
| A-10/F-16 Cockpit Modernization | | 2,300,000 | |
| F-16 Pylon Integrated Dispenser System plus Infrared Missile Warning System (PIDS+) | | 2,000,000 | |
| F-16 Advanced Identification, Friend or Foe (AIFF) | | 2,763,212 | |
| Guardian Angel Tactical Equipment | | 500,000 | |
| A-10 On Board Oxygen Generation System (OBOGS) | | 1,117,181 | |
| A-10 Parking Brake/Night Vision Imaging System (NVIS) Landing Light | | 1,786,000 | |

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 ¹ |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Vehicles | | 295,000 | |
| HH-60 Smart Multifunction Color Display (SMFCD)/Situational Awareness Data Link (SADL) | | 280,000 | |
| Support Equipment | | 927,969 | |
| Calculations | | 100,000 | |
| A-10 Lightweight Airborne Radio System (LARS) V12 | | 80,000 | |
| HC-130 Information Superiority | | 750,000 | |
| HC-130 AAQ-36 Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR) | | 100,000 | |
| Total | \$75,000,000 | \$130,000,000 | |
| 1. Service FY 2014 NGREA equipment list was not available in time for publication in the NGRER. Equipment list for FY 2014 will be provided in next year's NGRER. | | | |

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

NOTE: This table portrays the planned equipment transfers (Active to Reserve), withdrawals (-), and decommissioning (-). Transferred equipment is commonly called "cascaded equipment," or equipment that is provided to the RC once the AC receives more modern equipment. Although this table highlights a three-year period, many Services will not know exact quantities of transfers or withdrawals until year of execution, due to the uncertainty of the procurement/delivery cycle of new equipment.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Airlift | | | | | |
| Airlift, C-130H | C-130H | | -2 | | |
| Airlift, C-5A | C-5A | -2 | -6 | | Aircraft retirements |
| Airlift, C-5B | C-5B | -11 | -5 | | Conversions to C-5M model |
| Airlift, C-5M | C-5M | +10 | +6 | | Conversions from C-5A/B models |
| Rescue | | | | | |
| Rescue, HC-130J | HC-130J | | | +1 | |
| Rescue, HH-60G | HH-60G | +1 | | | |

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

NOTE: This table compares planned Service procurements and transfers to the RC in FY 2011 with actual procurements and transfers. FY 2011 is selected as these are the most recent funds to expire. Because the procurement cycle is normally one to two years from funding to delivery, this table identifies only deliveries through the end of FY 2013. Procurement and NGREA columns reflect cost values in dollars.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 ¹ NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| <u>FY 2011 Planned Transfers & Withdrawals</u> | | | | | | | |
| Airlift, C-9C | C-9C | -3 | +3 | | | | |
| Air Refueling, KC-135R | KC-135R | +3 | +3 | | | | |
| Airlift, C-5A | C--5A | -3 | -3 | | | | |
| Airlift, C-17A | C-17A | +4 | +4 | | | | |
| Fighter, F-16C | F-16 | -7 | -7 | | | | |
| <u>FY 2011 P-1R Equipment</u> | | | | | | | |
| Modification of In-service Aircraft | | | | | | | |
| B-52 | | | | \$8,761,000 | \$8,600,000 | | |
| A-10 | | | | 29,467,000 | 29,513,632 | | |
| F-16 | | | | 4,168,000 | 30,011,000 | | |
| C-5 | | | | 5,935,000 | 43,513,000 | | |
| C-17A | | | | 458,000 | 1,175,000 | | |
| C-130 | | | | 0 | 14,528,000 | | |
| C-130J Mods | | | | 2,805,000 | 0 | | |
| C-135 | | | | 904,000 | 68,000 | | |
| Aircraft Replacement Support Equipment | | | | 13,381,000 | 0 | | |
| Vehicular Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Passenger Carrying Vehicles | | | | 1,267,000 | 693,000 | | |
| Medium Tactical Vehicles | | | | 1,266,000 | 1,496,000 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M - Cargo & Utility Vehicles | | | | 1,166,000 | 1,166,000 | | |
| Security and Tactical Vehicles | | | | 1,823,000 | 60,000 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M - Special Purpose Vehicles | | | | 2,135,000 | 2,135,000 | | |
| Fire Fighting/Crash Rescue Vehicles | | | | 856,000 | 854,000 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M - Materials Handling Equipment | | | | 1,016,000 | 1,016,000 | | |
| Runway Snow Removal and Cleaning Equipment | | | | 465,000 | 0 | | |
| Items Less Than \$5M - Base Maintenance Support Vehicles | | | | 172,000 | 172,000 | | |
| Electronics and Telecommunications Equipment | | | | | | | |
| National Airspace System | | | | 6,544,000 | 7,131,000 | | |
| Mobility Command and Control | | | | 1,040,000 | 0 | | |
| Theater Battle Management C2 System | | | | 250,000 | 148,000 | | |
| Air & Space Operations Center Weapon System | | | | 3,657,000 | 2,465,000 | | |
| NAVSTAR GPS Space | | | | 154,000 | 0 | | |
| MILSATCOM Space | | | | 3,463,000 | 0 | | |

AFR

Table 6

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 ¹ NGREA (\$s) | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| Tactical C-E Equipment | | | | 3,407,000 | 7,855,000 | | |
| Base Communications Infrastructure | | | | 346,000 | 310,000 | | |
| Other Base Maintenance and Support Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Night Vision Goggles | | | | 256,000 | 496,000 | | |
| FY 2011 NGREA Equipment | | | | | | | |
| C-130 Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM) | | | | | | \$22,600,000 | \$22,201,486 |
| C-130 Secure Line-of-sight (SLOS)/Beyond Line-of-sight (BLOS) | | | | | | 8,100,000 | 3,542,182 |
| HC-130 Integrated Electronic Warfare Suite (ALQ-213) with VECTS | | | | | | 6,000,000 | 5,854,806 |
| Combat Search and Rescue (CSAR) Common Data Link (Microlite) | | | | | | 6,000,000 | 6,118,030 |
| C-130 Armor | | | | | | 5,800,000 | 0 |
| C-130 Modular Aerial Spray System (MASS) | | | | | | 4,500,000 | 0 |
| A-10/F-16 Helmet-mounted Integrated Targeting (HMIT) | | | | | | 4,400,000 | 7,321,864 |
| A-10/F-16 Center Display Unit | | | | | | 2,600,000 | 7,166,787 |
| Tactical Communication Headset | | | | | | 2,500,000 | 811,860 |
| Wireless Intercom | | | | | | 2,230,000 | 223,125 |
| Security Forces Weapons & Tactical Equipment | | | | | | 2,200,000 | 2,195,874 |
| MC-130 Integrated BLOS Situational Awareness (SA) Feed (T-1 Mod) | | | | | | 1,500,000 | 0 |
| R-12 Refuelers | | | | | | 900,000 | 769,854 |
| Support Equipment | | | | | | 570,000 | 2,177,394 |
| Vehicles | | | | | | 100,000 | 2,170,787 |
| HH-60 Smart Multi-Function Color Display (SMFCD)/Situational Awareness Data Link (SADL) | | | | | | 0 | 218,750 |
| F-16 2nd ARC-210 & Digital 3D Audio | | | | | | 0 | 5,172,908 |
| Simulators | | | | | | 0 | 1,028,927 |
| C-17 Palletized Seats | | | | | | 0 | 375,148 |
| LITENING Advanced Targeting Pod (ATP) Procurement & Spiral Upgrade | | | | | | 0 | 861,219 |
| Total | | | | \$95,162,000 | \$153,405,632 | \$70,000,000 | \$68,211,000 |
| 1. A decrement of \$1,789,000 was applied to AFR FY 2011 NGREA due to FY 2013 sequestration reduction allocation. | | | | | | | |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

NOTE: This table identifies equipment authorized by the Service to be used as a substitute for a primary item of equipment. The table also identifies whether or not the item is deployable in wartime. This data meets the Title 10 requirement to identify equipment that is not the most desired equipment item.

| Required Item Nomenclature | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

**Service Does Not Use Substitution to Satisfy Major Item
Equipment Requirements**

Significant Major Item Shortages

NOTE: This table provides a RC top ten prioritized (PR) shortage list for major equipment items required for wartime missions but which are currently not funded in the FYDP. It lists the total quantity required, the total unfunded requirement, the individual item cost, and the cost of the unfunded portion. This data is consistent with other unfunded equipment data submitted by the Service.

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|--|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--|
| 1 | C-130 Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM) | 62 | 10 | \$428,571 | \$6,000,000 | System provides C-130s an advanced infrared countermeasures system designed to protect aircraft against man-portable (shoulder-launched) infrared-guided surface-to-air missiles. Total shortage cost includes spares and Non-recurring Engineering costs. |
| 2 | C-130 Secure Line-of-sight/Beyond Line-of-sight (SLOS/BLOS) capability | 56 | 20 | \$400,000 | \$8,000,000 | Upgrades AFR C-130Hs with ARC-210 and Situational Awareness Data Link (SADL) Radios to provide aircrews with a Real Time Information in the Cockpit (RTIC). Installation for entire fleet and completion of kit buys. |
| 3 | A-10/F-16 Day/Night Helmet-mounted Integrated Targeting System (HMIT) | 81 | 36 | \$144,417 | \$5,199,012 | Funds HMIT for all AFR A-10 and F-16 aircraft. Helmet-mounted displays provide critical flight and weapons information directly to the pilot without looking at panel-mounted instruments. |
| 4 | Guardian Angel Personnel Recovery Mission Equipment | 3 Teams | 3 Teams | \$7,900,000 | \$7,900,000 | Capabilities shortfall mission equipment purchase and technical refresh of on-hand equipment used in the personnel recovery mission to include advanced rescue craft, sonar, environmental ensemble, parachute modernization, and mission data management |
| 5 | HH-60 Rotor Brake | 15 | 15 | \$333,000 | \$4,995,000 | Funds HH-60 rotor brakes required for safe ground operations by reducing rotor slow-down time. Operation Unified Protector and strategic guidance have demonstrated an increased propensity to operate off floating platforms with associated confined spaces and safety concerns. |
| 6 | HH-60 Improved Radar Warning Receiver (RWR)/Radio Frequency (RF) Jammer APR-39 DV2 | 15 | 15 | \$133,000 | \$2,000,000 | Purchases new radars, scopes, and radios for the new March Control Tower, relocating existing ancillary equipment as required. AFR cannot operate the airfield from the new control tower without this capability. |
| 7 | HH-60 Hostile Fire Indication System | 15 | 15 | \$266,667 | \$4,000,000 | Upgrade to ACC program of record to include acoustic sensors and software to alert aircrew subjected to hostile fire and provide the awareness to perform tactical procedures to ensure aircraft and aircrew survivability. |
| 8 | C-130 Next Gen Missile Warning System Upgrade | 58 | 58 | \$1,000,000 | \$58,000,000 | Upgrade of 1970's era or addition of digital Missile Warning System. Air Force Program of record is ALR-69A. This system is also being installed on the KC-46A and being looked at for the F-16C, A-10, and HC-130P/N aircraft. |

Significant Major Item Shortages

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|--|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--|
| 9 | C-130 Modular Aerial Spray System (MASS) | 6 | 5 | \$4,800,000 | \$24,000,000 | Replaces the current MASS with a newly designed system. The current MASS system is no longer in production and becoming increasingly more difficult and expensive to maintain. The new system is required to meet current and future aerial spray applications directed by the Center for Disease Control, homeland defense, and DoD requirements. |
| 10 | Electronic Propeller Control System (EPCS) | 62 | 62 | \$1,111,320 | \$69,000,000 | The EPCS replaces the obsolescent and increasingly unsupportable synchrophaser and would reduce maintenance down time, reduce sustainment cost, and increase aircraft availability. The EPCS will also allow unrestricted throttle movement, eliminating the possibility of inducing a propeller stall due to excessive throttle movement. |

Chapter 6 United States Coast Guard Reserve

I. Coast Guard Overview



Since 1790, the Coast Guard (CG) has conducted integrated and layered operations across vast geographic maritime areas of responsibility to meet the evolving threats and challenges facing the Nation. The CG operates in the maritime domain—an enormous and complex environment. The physical characteristics of the sea present varying, dynamic, and dangerous water conditions. Human activity on the water continues to expand in a domain that does not readily sustain it. The maritime industry continues to evolve as the world remains fully dependent on global maritime trade in an advancing technology and information age. Varied and overlapping international and sovereign legal and policy regimes governing the maritime domain pose practical operational challenges. The role of the CG is enduring, while at the same time never being more relevant or more in demand, with long-standing responsibilities accrued over more than two centuries of service. The CG is currently organized into six programs, which are critical to achieving specific Quadrennial Homeland Security Review goals and objectives and advancing national priorities that together define the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) missions. Table 6-1 below lists these six programs and their relationship to the Coast Guard’s eleven statutory missions.

Table 6-1. Coast Guard Programs and Statutory Missions

| DHS Programs | U.S. Coast Guard Statutory Missions |
|--|--|
| 1. Maritime Security Operations | Ports, Waterways and Coastal Security—Operational Activities |
| 2. Maritime Law Enforcement | Drug Interdiction |
| | Migrant Interdiction |
| | Living Marine Resources |
| | Other Law Enforcement |
| 3. Maritime Prevention | Ports, Waterways and Coastal Security—Prevention Activities |
| | Marine Safety |
| | Marine Environmental Protection—Prevention Activities |
| 4. Maritime Response | Search and Rescue |
| | Marine Environmental Protection—Response Activities |
| 5. Defense Operations | Defense Readiness |
| 6. Marine Transportation System Management | Aids to Navigation |
| | Ice Operations |

As described in the *United States Coast Guard 2013 Posture Statement*, the CG is unique in its status as both a federal law enforcement agency and at all times an armed force. The CG actively participates in defense operations and supports geographic combatant commanders (GCCs) by providing capabilities and resources in support of naval forces, including port operations and defense, maritime interception operations, and international training in support of theater security cooperation.

Throughout America's maritime communities and the Exclusive Economic Zone, risk reduction and prosperity are enabled by the CG's vigilant safety and security presence. With nearly 90 percent of all global trade transported by sea, the CG continuously deploys its highly-trained forces to both develop and strengthen partnerships across the entire maritime domain. These partnerships allow a rapid and organized mobilization of critical response assets to where and when the Nation requires. The CG ensures the restoration of commerce following natural and manmade disasters and assists partner agencies in emergency response, conducting damage surveys from air and sea. CG personnel, both Active Component (AC) and Reserve Component (RC), were among the first responders to large scale disasters such as Hurricane Katrina, the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, and Hurricane Sandy.



The CG's distinct blend of authorities, capabilities, competencies, and partnerships provide the capability to support a range of operations that ensure safety, security, and stewardship in the maritime domain. Representing the United States in the International Maritime Organization, the CG leads and advocates improvements to international maritime standards. The CG regularly participates in joint interoperability exercises and training to maintain international partnerships, along with those competencies required to execute the most challenging maritime security missions, including missions that support the requirements of the GCCs. Since September 2001, the CG has provided personnel and equipment domestically for contingency response operations and in support of Maritime Homeland Security requirements.



The CG also performs global missions in support of GCC operational plans, their primary expeditionary resources being eight CG Port Security Units (PSUs) that operate under the Navy Expeditionary Combat Command and are often embedded within the Navy's Coastal Riverine Force (CRF). These CG PSUs are unique because they are principally Reserve-staffed units, consisting of

only six AC personnel within a 150 total complement.

Another Reserve-staffed unit within the CG is the Mobile Support Unit (MSU), a Reserve unit managed by the CG Surface Forces Logistics Center, and comprised mainly of machinery technicians. This unit is specifically designed to provide for the logistical needs of patrol boats deployed to regions lacking any base of operations. The MSU is responsible for logistical support operations anywhere in the world where CG 110' Island Class cutters are involved, such as current operations with Patrol Forces Southwest Asia, Bahrain.

A. Coast Guard Planning Guidance

To meet the challenges of the dynamic maritime environment, the CG executes a layered, security-in-depth concept of operations, built upon a multi-dimensional framework of authorities, capabilities, competencies, and partnerships to apply its core operational concept of prevention and response. Through this approach, the Service seeks to prevent dangerous or illicit maritime activities and to rapidly and effectively respond to protect the Nation, minimize impacts, and recover.

Coast Guardsmen successfully conduct operations across the mission spectrum in the full range of environmental conditions. Throughout history, the CG has adapted to changing operational environments and new mission priorities.

B. Coast Guard Equipping Policy

Equipment used for CG domestic operations is provided through the DHS budget. The CG's AC owns and manages all equipment, including equipment that is allocated for the RC. The AC provides equipment for CG Reserve mobilizations or surge operations using existing unit inventories, supporting units, or through procurement procedures using the DHS budget.

Specific equipment for the CG to utilize while performing defense operations in support of overseas contingency operations (OCO) has been funded by DoD through the OCO budget allocation to the CG. This equipment includes boats, spare parts, communications gear, and other special purpose equipment (personnel protective equipment, ISU-90 shipping containers, uniforms, etc.) that are interoperable with the U.S. Navy and allied forces and meet DoD requirements. The CG Reserve primary end users of DoD OCO-funded equipment are the eight PSUs, which deploy in support of the GCCs on a rotating basis, or in response to major world events involving our Nation's Armed Forces.

C. Plan to Fill Mobilization Shortages in the RC

In FY 2013, approximately 935 Selected Reserve (SELRES) personnel were mobilized in support of OCO, compared to 955 in FY 2012. The majority of these mobilized personnel provided security for military outload operations within the continental United States (CONUS). Others served as members of PSUs operating outside CONUS in support of GCC operations.

In order for the CG Reserve to remain a ready operational force that can support and perform CG missions, the AC must fully fund and provide all necessary equipment for RC training and augmentation during daily operations, and mobilizations or surges. While the CG Reserve did not reduce total end strength in FY 2013, future budget predictions indicate that workforce reductions in FY 2014 and beyond may occur. Consequently, consideration must be taken in planning towards future budget years to preserve resources for sufficient training and to prevent a "hollow force" that could be ill prepared to respond to contingencies.



D. Initiatives Affecting RC Equipment

The PSUs and MSUs maintain a constant state of readiness to deploy for “all threats and all hazards” in support of the GCCs as well as CG port security missions—their ability to deploy is dependent on the availability of AC and DoD-funded training platforms and equipment for operations. For the CG to sustain and support DoD efforts, additional funding is required to secure additional Reserve training platforms.



DoD’s transition out of Afghanistan requires the CG’s Redeployment Assistance and Inspection Detachment (RAID) teams, comprised of RC and AC personnel. These RAID teams deploy overseas to prepare, inspect, and placard military equipment and shipping containers before they are shipped back to the U.S. or to other locations. The RAID teams are an important asset to DoD for meeting mission requirements entailing shipping equipment. In FY 2013, RAID teams completed 319 missions in Afghanistan, inspected 9,000 containers in Afghanistan and Kuwait, reviewed or generated 2,160 hazardous

material shipping documents, and trained more than 2,400 personnel on hazardous material shipping. The RAID teams work from three bases in Afghanistan and one base in Kuwait. They require funding for operations and equipment to sustain recovery of shipments.

Approximately 82 percent of the SELRES force is directly assigned to AC units. These Reservists train and perform their duties alongside AC personnel, executing daily operations to meet CG missions. The remaining 18 percent are assigned to CG Deployable Specialized Forces, e.g., PSUs or DoD units. The GCC contingency plans validate requirements for deployable CG units. These units include PSUs, RAID teams, Strike Teams, MSUs, and the Navy’s CRF.

II. Coast Guard Reserve Overview

A. Current Status of the Coast Guard Reserve

1. General Overview

The Coast Guard Reserve (CGR) supports three core strategic functions: maritime homeland security, national defense (domestic and expeditionary), and domestic disaster operations. As an integrated force multiplier, CGR personnel serve alongside AC members in support of DHS programs and CG statutory missions.

The Coast Guard Reserve provides the Service’s “in-garrison” surge workforce capability to effectively execute its missions and respond to natural disasters. To build a more proficient, ready force, the CGR developed two important initiatives: the Concept of Reserve Employment (CORE) and the Reserve Force Readiness System (RFRS).

CORE is doctrine developed to build Reserve force capabilities that support the CGR’s strategic functions and ensures that Reservists are ready to mobilize with critical competencies in boat operations, contingency planning and response, expeditionary warfare, marine safety, port security, law enforcement, and mission support. RFRS was designed to bridge readiness, training, and force employment gaps that emerged after Reserve integration occurred in 1995. RFRS assists with prudent strategic planning and defines requirements to ensure disciplined management of the Reserve force. Together, CORE and RFRS produce highly-trained and well-qualified personnel to respond to all threats and all hazards at all times.

The RC is comprised of 8,100 funded billets or positions, which is approximately 20 percent of the CG’s total force strength. The CG Reserve Training Appropriation for FY 2013 provided \$131.4M for necessary expenses as authorized by law, which include operations, administration and maintenance of the Reserve program, personnel and training costs, and services. The Reserve Training Appropriation does not provide funding for personal property equipment and machinery assets such as boats, vehicles, boat engines, and rescue equipment.

2. Status of Equipment

a. Equipment On-hand

Table 1 identifies the major equipment inventory for FY 2015–2017. All equipment is procured and accounted for by the AC.

The two main platforms used by the RC are the Transportable Port Security Boat (TPSB) and the Response Boat–Small (RB-S).

Top Coast Guard Reserve Equipping Challenges

- Recapitalization and sustainment of PSU communications/weapons:
 - PSU weapon standardization—alignment with NATO/DoD
 - PSU communications equipment—compatibility with DoD forces





25' TPSB, Generation III



32' TPSB, Generation IV

The TPSB is operated by Coast Guard PSUs for defense operations providing waterborne security and point defense operations. In FY 2013, the Coast Guard completed a transition from the 25' TPSB (Generation III) to the 32' TPSB (Generation IV). The new TPSB offers significant advantages over its predecessor, including increased sea keeping, shock-mitigated seating, diesel propulsion, and enhanced communication and navigation capabilities. The CG operates 52 TPSBs at the PSUs (6 TPSBs per PSU) and at the Special Missions Training Center (SMTC) in Camp LeJeune, North Carolina.



25' RB-S, Generation I



29' RB-S, Generation II

The RB-S serves as a mobilization platform for Reservists assigned to Coast Guard stations throughout the Nation and to domestic military outload security operations involving the protection of DoD high-value assets. The Coast Guard continues recapitalization of its RB-S fleet with production of the 29' RB-S (Generation II). There are 457 RB-S boats assigned to Boat Stations, Maritime Safety and Security Teams (MSSTs), and Marine Safety Units throughout the Coast Guard.

b. Average Age of Major Items of Equipment

Table 2 provides the projected average age of equipment at the start of FY 2014.

c. Compatibility of Current Equipment with AC

PSUs are primary inshore/harbor surface interdiction response assets that conduct the overseas Naval Coastal Warfare mission of harbor defense/port security operations. They also support domestic Ports, Waterways, and Coastal Security and contingency operations in response to natural disasters or national emergencies. Due to their unique mission requirements, TPSBs are

maintained mostly at PSUs. However, SMTC maintains four TPSBs used to fulfill training requirements. The communications and weapons systems, as well as navigation packages, are the same as those found in the AC and require periodic maintenance, upgrades, and repairs.

All other platforms and equipment used by the RC are shared with the AC.

d. Maintenance Issues

Units maintain an adequate preventative maintenance schedule but, in some cases, aged equipment such as high-mileage vehicles, tents, etc., require replacement, not maintenance.

e. Modernization Programs and Shortfalls

The CG continues to pursue replacement of its aging assets. As boat platforms, weapons, and other equipment are replaced, the RC will require additional training to become proficient on the new equipment and maintain operational readiness.

The CG Small Boat Product Line continues working toward fully integrated logistics support for the next generation of TPSB and RB-S boat platforms.

Reserve program staff and capability managers are working towards a major weapons transition for PSUs. PSUs are currently issued the M16A2 rifle, which is no longer used or supported by DoD and provides severe logistical challenges while deployed in support of GCCs for operations and joint DoD and host nation exercises. It's imperative that the CG align with the Joint Forces standard rifle, and subsequently, the DoD logistical infrastructure. Similarly, PSUs must transition to the 9mm pistol to meet NATO weapons requirements and align with DoD components while deployed overseas. PSUs are logistically constrained with their current use of the .40 caliber pistol due to restrictions for shipping and follow-on procurement of ammunition.

Modernization and upgrades to communications equipment will ensure interoperability with DoD. Secure and non-secure data in the field is a required capability in modern military operations, and PSUs require the organic capability to transmit, receive, and process both unclassified and classified data.

f. Overall Equipment Readiness

Recapitalization and sustainment of PSU equipment is a recurring challenge. Twelve years of high operating-tempo deployments and re-deployments for GCCs in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation Enduring Freedom, Operation Restore Hope, and several CONUS operations such as Operation Noble Eagle and contingencies such as Hurricane Katrina, have left the CG's eight PSUs with depleted consumables and other repair supplies and major equipment that have exceeded their scheduled operational life or have prematurely exceeded wear and tear thresholds. The sustained high operating tempo has yielded a wealth of data from casualty and mishap reports, and other operational reporting, which justify changes and refinements to the current PSU Table of Allowance. One example (cited in paragraph "e" above) is the apparent need to align small arms, ammunition, and digitally encrypted voice and data transmission resources to other DoD units that PSUs have either been attached to or operated alongside of within Joint Security Areas. Other feedback has demonstrated that original PSU equipment or operational logistics support has partially failed in a real world test of PSU readiness and



sustained operations in theater. Examples are the lack of required organic unit assets to support movement: an all terrain forklift, portable scales, suitable weatherproof containers, and other portable operational support resources. Finally, the PSU program recently began a critical small boat acquisition program (TPSB Generation IV) that is at the beginning of its lifecycle. The initial procurement phase ends when crews are properly trained to effectively operate the new boat. This acquisition program requires ongoing support to operation and

maintenance budgets to ensure operability of the new boat platforms on a routine basis. Maximum availability of operational boats for seamanship and gunnery training is imperative for RC personnel to attain required qualifications, especially due to the minimal number of training days allotted per month/year.

B. Changes since the Last NGRER

The Reserve Training Appropriation overall was reduced from \$134M in FY 2012 to \$131.4M in FY 2013. Appropriation funding decreases negatively impact RC training opportunities and mobilization readiness.

FY 2012 saw a 10 percent increase in the personal protective equipment (PPE) shortfall for mission execution required by billets. This shortfall continued through FY 2013, and PPE price increases raised the PPE shortfall from approximately \$549K in FY 2012 to \$620K in FY 2013. Twenty-four Generation III TPSBs were withdrawn from PSU inventories during FY 2013 because they were replaced with the Generation IV TPSBs.

In FY 2013, PSUs procured eight mobile field kitchens and eight water buffalos, and began replacing outdated forklifts with transportable all-terrain forklifts.

C. Future Years Program (FY 2015–FY 2017)

1. FY 2017 Equipment Requirements

Table 1 provides projected FY 2015–FY 2017 inventories and requirements for major equipment. All equipment is procured and accounted for by the AC.

2. Anticipated New Equipment Procurements

For PSUs to align with their DoD counterparts, all eight of the PSUs need to replace their current inventory of M16A2 rifles with M4 rifles, and replace the .40 caliber sidearm pistol with a 9mm DoD-compatible model pistol.

SMTC will procure three Environmental Control Units (ECUs) (HP-2C/388 IPT) at a cost of approximately \$392K in FY 2015.

3. Anticipated Withdrawals from RC Inventory

In FY 2015, two ECUs (T2-93040G) will be withdrawn from the SMTC inventory.

4. Remaining Equipment Shortages and Modernization Shortfalls at the End of FY 2017

Tables 1 and 8 provide RC equipment inventories, shortfalls, and modernization requirements.

CG unit operations and maintenance fund managers include PPE in annual budget requests. In recent years, budget constraints have created a gap between the amount of funding available and the amount required. Funding for PPE is based on a four-year cycle, which provides the unit enough funding to fully outfit each member with new/serviceable equipment at the end of a four-year period. The four-year cycle was developed in part based on the equipment service life and member assignments or transfers.

The AC provides PPE for both AC and RC personnel using its operations and maintenance funds. The Reserve Training Appropriation does not pay for PPE. Approximately 5,100 billets, or 65 percent, of the RC have mobilization requirements that require PPE to safely conduct CG operations. The annual shortfall in PPE for RC personnel is estimated to be approximately \$1.05M.

Table 6-2 provides the FY 2014 PPE funding shortfall. The absence of PPE funding impedes Reserve mobilization readiness. Reservists who are not properly outfitted are unable to safely perform CG operations, which renders them unable to achieve or maintain mobilization competencies. FY 2014 PPE funding is based on a four-year replacement cycle.

Table 6-2. Coast Guard FY 2014 PPE Funding for the RC

| Unit/PPE Type | Cost | # of Personnel | Total | Total/Year |
|--|---------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Ashore (Reserve) Basic Ensemble (Boat Station) | \$1,620 | 2,044 | \$3,311,280 | \$827,820 |
| Ashore (Reserve) Cold Ensemble (Boat Station) | \$1,490 | 1,493 | \$2,224,570 | \$556,143 |
| Ashore (Reserve) Basic Ensemble (Aids to Navigation Team) | \$1,620 | 11 | \$17,820 | \$4,455 |
| Ashore (Reserve) Cold Ensemble (Aids to Navigation Team) | \$1,490 | 9 | \$13,410 | \$3,353 |
| Sector Ops (Reserve) Basic Ensemble | \$1,620 | 740 | \$1,198,800 | \$299,700 |
| Sector Ops (Reserve) Cold Ensemble | \$1,490 | 416 | \$619,840 | \$154,960 |
| Tactical (Reserve) Basic/Cold Ensemble (Maritime Security Response Team) | \$3,110 | 124 | \$385,640 | \$96,410 |
| Tactical (Reserve) Basic/Cold Ensemble (PSU) | \$2,958 | 320 | \$946,560 | \$236,640 |
| PPE per Person Total | | 5,157 | \$8,717,920 | \$2,179,480 |
| Total | | | \$8,717,920 | |
| Total/Year | | | | \$2,179,480 |
| Total Available | | | | \$1,130,589 |
| | | | Annual Shortfall | |
| | | | (\$1,048,891) | |

D. Summary

The CGR is a flexible and responsive operational force that exists to support the CG roles of maritime homeland security, national defense (domestic and expeditionary), and domestic disaster operations. The CG depends on the Reserve force to be ready to mobilize with critical competencies in boat operations, contingency planning and response, expeditionary warfare, marine safety, port security, law enforcement, and mission support. Sustaining an effective operational reserve requires ongoing funding for training and equipment. Declining budgets ultimately impact training capacity and operational readiness. Since the RC is fully integrated with the AC (PSUs being the only exception), daily operational needs of both components limit the availability of platforms and equipment for Reserve training, qualification, and certification, which further impacts Reserve readiness and, thereby, overall Coast Guard readiness.

USCGR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

NOTE: This table provides a comprehensive list of selected major equipment items. It provides the projected inventory quantity on-hand (QTY O/H) at the beginning/end of the selected fiscal year (FY). It also provides the quantity required (QTY REQ) to meet the full wartime requirements of the Reserve Component. In accordance with Title 10, the QTY REQ number provides the recommendation as to the quantity and type of equipment that should be in the inventory of each Reserve Component. FY 2015 unit cost estimates are provided by the Military Departments.

| Nomenclature | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|--|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Port Security Units (PSUs) | | | | | | |
| Installation of AN/PRC-117G Wideband, Multiband, Multi-mission Tactical Boat Radio | \$18,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| Fly Away Kit (Portable Satellite Communications Kit) | \$5,329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| AN/PRC-152A Wideband Hand-held Networking Radio | \$15,392 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 |
| Power Amplifier RF-7800UL-V150 (1 per PRC-117G radio) | \$20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| M4-Variant Rifle | \$1,100 | 176 | 176 | 176 | 176 | 1,032 |
| SIG P229R DAK 9mm Pistol | \$660 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 528 |
| Deployable Medical Officer Kits | \$111,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| Portable Armory | \$75,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Portable Scales | \$9,380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| All-terrain Forklift | \$90,000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| Polytetrafluoroethylene 32' Transportable Port Security Boat (TPSB) covers | \$1,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Vehicle, F550 Stakebed (1 per unit) | \$56,000 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| Generators w/ Distribution Panel | \$500,000 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 32' Transportable Port Security Boat (TPSB) | \$495,000 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Vehicle, F450 Pickup (5 per unit) | \$46,000 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Vehicle, F350 Pickup (4 per unit) | \$45,000 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Palm Infrared, Thermal Imager | \$9,450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Utility Trailer (1 per unit) | \$7,000 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| Searchlight Set | \$7,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| XTS 5000 Hand-held Radio (1 per boat and 2 spares at each unit) | \$5,500 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| Counter, Frequency (DC to 500HHZCW) | \$4,461 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Analyzer, Communication | \$4,390 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Computer, Laptop | \$4,000 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 16 |
| Fuel Bladder 3K Gallons | \$3,885 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 88 |
| Fuel Containment Boom | \$3,395 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
| Vidmar, Storage Container | \$3,246 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 88 |
| Generator Digital Clock Pulse, Synthesizer (Part #98) | \$3,286 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Meter, Modulation (AM/FM Carrier Frequency 30 to 100 MHz) | \$3,001 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Voltmeter, Analog (5 Hz - 10 MHz, 0 DBM = 1MW/600 OHMS) | \$2,977 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Radio, VHF Motorola XTL-5000 Mobile | \$2,839 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Analysers, Distortion (10 Hz-100 KHz) | \$2,487 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Mobile Support Units (MSUs) | | | | | | |
| Trailers, Tools / Equipment | \$150,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Truck, Stakebed (2 per detachment) | \$126,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Generator, 240kW | \$120,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

USCGR

Table 1

Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements

| Nomenclature | Unit Cost | Begin FY 2015 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2016 QTY O/H | Begin FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY O/H | End FY 2017 QTY REQ |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Forklift, 10,000 lb. | \$90,000 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Trailer, Administrative Support (1 per detachment) | \$86,463 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Trailer, Maintenance Shop | \$83,688 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Trailer, Logistic Support Parts (3 per detachment) | \$58,462 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Trailer, Open Bulk Storage (3 per detachment) | \$49,600 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Truck, Pickup (1 per detachment) | \$45,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| A/C - H/P (Air Rover Units) w/25kW Generators | \$40,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Forklift, 6,000 lb. | \$40,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CONNEX Boxes, 40' X 8' | \$30,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Portable Welding/Cutting Shops (1 per detachment) | \$30,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Generator, Microsilent 20kW | \$20,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| CONNEX Boxes, 20' X 8' | \$20,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| CONNEX Boxes, 8' X 8' | \$15,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Power Distribution Center | \$12,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| AC&R Repair and Service Kits (1 per detachment) | \$10,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| DC Kit, Compressed Air & GenSet (1 per detachment) | \$8,000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Tents, General Purpose | \$7,000 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Gator, 6X6 Diesel Terrain Vehicle (1 per detachment) | \$6,500 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Generator, Light Tower | \$5,716 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Generator, Microsilent 10kW | \$3,500 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| General Purpose Tents, 18' X 18' (3 per detachment) | \$3,000 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Diesel Powered Welder | \$3,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Portable Water Tanks | \$1,150 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Grey Water Tanks | \$800 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Special Missions Training Center (SMTC) | | | | | | |
| 32' Transportable Port Security Boat (TPSB) | \$495,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Environmental Control Unit (ECU), HP-2C/338 IPT | \$130,497 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| ECU, 82-GET35KW8TN | \$103,185 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ECU, HP4-DL | \$94,259 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ECU, T2-93040G | \$82,922 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Drash Shelter (M) | \$28,000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base X Shelter (6D31) | \$27,966 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Base X Shelter (505) | \$24,190 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Base X Shelter (307) | \$18,445 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Drash Shelter (L) | \$18,331 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base X Shelter (305) | \$13,008 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 15kW Generator | \$16,160 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Trailer, Tank | \$12,955 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| Drash Shelter | \$9,237 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ISU 90 Shipping Container | \$8,600 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Base X Shelter (203) | \$8,392 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 5kW Generator | \$8,145 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| * The AC manages all equipment for the Coast Guard Total Force. | | | | | | |

USCGR

Table 2

Average Age of Equipment

NOTE: This table provides the average age of selected major equipment items. The average age provides a projected average age of the fleet at the start of FY 2014.

| Nomenclature | Average Age | Remarks |
|---|-------------|---------|
| Port Security Units (PSUs) | | |
| Radio Set AN/PRC-117G | 7 | |
| AN/PRC-117G Wideband-Multiband-Multimission Tactical Radio | 12 | |
| AN/PRC-152A Wideband Hand-held Networking Radio | <2 | |
| Portable Armory | 2 | |
| All-terrain Forklift | 6 | |
| Vehicle, F550 Stakebed (1 per unit) | 9 | |
| Generators with Distribution Panel | <2 | |
| 32' Transportable Port Security Boat (TPSB) | <2 | |
| Vehicle, F450 Pickup (5 per unit) | 2 | |
| Vehicle, F350 Pickup | 2 | |
| Utility Trailer (1 per unit) | 9 | |
| XTS 5000 Handheld Radio (1 per boat and 2 spares at each unit) | 8 | |
| Counter, Frequency (DC to 500HHZCW) | 10 | |
| Analyzer, Communication | 8 | |
| Fuel Bladder 3K Gallons | 8 | |
| Fuel Containment Boom | <2 | |
| Generator Digital Clock Pulse, Synthesizer (Part #98) | <2 | |
| Tents | 2 | |
| All Terrain Vehicle, Gator (1 per unit) | <2 | |
| Generator 15kW | 7 | |
| Water Buffalo (1 per unit) | 8 | |
| Generator, Signal Synthesizer, Frequency, MG3641N (500 KHZ to 1024 MHZ AM/FM) | 5 | |
| Generator 5kW (2 per unit) | 2 | |
| Meter, Modulation (AM/FM Carrier Frequency 30 to 100 MHZ) | 8 | |
| Voltmeter, Analog (5 HZ to 10 MHZ) | 8 | |
| Radio, VHF Motorola XTL-5000 Mobile | 8 | |
| Analysers, Distortion (10 Hz-100 KHz) | 7 | |
| Mobile Support Units (MSUs) | | |
| Truck, Stakebed Class 8 | 2 | |
| Truck, Stakebed | 10 | |
| Generator, 240kW | 7 | |
| Forklift, 10,000 lb. | 10 | |
| Trailer, Administrative Support | 6 | |
| Trailer, Maintenance Shop | 6 | |

USCGR Average Age of Equipment

Table 2

| Nomenclature | Average Age | Remarks |
|---|-------------|---------|
| Trailer, Logistic Support Parts | 6 | |
| Trailer, Open Bulk Storage | 6 | |
| Truck, Pickup | 9 | |
| A/C - H/P (Air Rover Units) w/25kW Generators | 7 | |
| CONNEX Boxes, 40' X 8' | 15 | |
| Portable Welding/Cutting Shops | 7 | |
| Generator, Microsilent 20kW | 8 | |
| CONNEX Boxes, 20' X 8' | 7 | |
| CONNEX Boxes, 8' X 8' | 11 | |
| Power Distribution Center | 3 | |
| AC&R Repair and Service Kits | 5 | |
| DC Kit, Compressed Air & GenSet | 6 | |
| Gator, 6X6 Diesel Terrain Vehicle | 7 | |
| Generator, Light Tower | 7 | |
| Generator, Microsilent 10kW | 10 | |
| General Purpose Tents, 18' X 18' | 7 | |
| Diesel Powered Welder | 7 | |
| Portable Water Tanks | <1 | |
| Grey Water Tanks | <1 | |
| Special Missions Training Center (SMTC) | | |
| 32' Transportable Port Security Boat (TPSB) | 2 | |
| Environmental Control Unit (ECU), HP-2C/338 IPT | 1 | |
| ECU (82-GET35kW8TN) | 6 | |
| ECU (HP4-DL) | 7 | |
| ECU (T2-93040G) | 8 | |
| Drash Shelter (M) | 8 | |
| Base X Shelter (6D31) | 6 | |
| Base X Shelter (505) | 6 | |
| Base X Shelter (307) | 7 | |
| Drash Shelter (L) | 9 | |
| Base X Shelter (305) | 6 | |
| 15kW Generator | 10 | |
| Trailer, Tank | 12 | |
| Drash Shelter (S) | 9 | |
| ISU 90 Shipping Container | 15 | |
| Base X Shelter (203) | 6 | |
| 5kW Generator | 10 | |

USCGR

Table 3

Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of programmed equipment procurement as identified in the P-1R exhibit of the FY 2015 President's Budget Request. All values are costs in dollars and exclude ammunition procurements. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2015 are expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2016 or FY 2017.

| Nomenclature | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Table 3 not applicable for USCGR

National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements

NOTE: This table identifies the dollar value of planned equipment procurements with the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA). These funds are available for a three-year period from the year of appropriation. Deliveries of procured equipment normally take one to two years from date of procurement before they arrive in the inventory; e.g., items procured in FY 2014 would be expected to arrive in RC inventories in FY 2015 or FY 2016. All values are costs in dollars.

| Nomenclature | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Table 4 not applicable for USCGR

Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities

NOTE: This table portrays the planned equipment transfers (Active to Reserve), withdrawals (-), and decommissioning (-). Transferred equipment is commonly called "cascaded equipment," or equipment that is provided to the RC once the AC receives more modern equipment. Although this table highlights a three-year period, many Services will not know exact quantities of transfers or withdrawals until year of execution, due to the uncertainty of the procurement/delivery cycle of new equipment.

| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | FY 2016 Qty | FY 2017 Qty | Remarks |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Service has no planned transfers or withdrawals for the years FY 2015 thru FY 2017.

USCGR

Table 6

FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers

| <p><i>NOTE: This table compares planned Service procurements and transfers to the RC in FY 2011 with actual procurements and transfers. FY 2011 is selected as these are the most recent funds to expire. Because the procurement cycle is normally one to two years from funding to delivery, this table identifies only deliveries through the end of FY 2013. Procurement and NGREA columns reflect cost values in dollars.</i></p> | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| Nomenclature | Equip No. | FY 2011 Transfers (# of items) | | FY 2011 Procurements (\$s) | | FY 2011 NGREA (\$s) | |
| | | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual | Plan | Actual |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| <p>USCGR had no planned or actual transfers or procurements of major equipment during FY 2011</p> | | | | | | | |

Major Item of Equipment Substitution List

NOTE: This table identifies equipment authorized by the Service to be used as a substitute for a primary item of equipment. The table also identifies whether or not the item is deployable in wartime. This data meets the Title 10 requirement to identify equipment that is not the most desired equipment item.

| Required Item Nomenclature | Reqd Item Equip No. | Substitute Item Nomenclature | Substitute Item Equip No. | FY 2015 Qty | Deployable? | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|----|
| | | | | | Yes | No |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Service Does Not Use Substitution to Satisfy Major Item Equipment Requirements

Significant Major Item Shortages

NOTE: This table provides a RC top ten prioritized (PR) shortage list for major equipment items required for wartime missions but which are currently not funded in the FYDP. It lists the total quantity required, the total unfunded requirement, the individual item cost, and the cost of the unfunded portion. This data is consistent with other unfunded equipment data submitted by the Service.

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items ¹ Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|----|---|-------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--|
| 1 | Installation of AN/PRC-117G Wideband, Multiband, Multi-mission Tactical Boat Radio (1 per boat and 4 at each unit / 10 radios per unit) | 80 | 80 | \$18,750 | \$1,500,000 | 10 required per Port Security Unit (PSU) for 8 PSUs total. These multi-mission boat radios are a PSU requirement of highest priority. Current versions are no longer supported and the newer version provides more operational capability, can be upgraded/supported, is more secure, and is compatible with DoD communications equipment – which is crucial given the joint operations with between PSUs and DoD. Initial funding was provided to purchase the upgraded radios but remains incomplete for radio installation. Without complete installation, these radios do not meet mission requirements. |
| 2 | Fly Away Kit (Portable Satellite Communications Kit) | 16 | 16 | \$5,329 | \$85,264 | 2 required per PSU for 8 PSUs total. PSU requirement for self sustainability / overseas contingency operations. These kits enable members to communicate via satellite in remote areas where other forms of communication are not available or reliable. |
| 3 | Power Amplifier RF-7800UL-V150 (1 per PRC-117G radio) | 80 | 80 | \$20,000 | \$1,600,000 | 10 required per PSU for 8 PSUs total. Required to increase the range of the wideband network between the Coast Guard (CG) unit and the DoD Tactical Operations Communications Center. |
| 4 | M4A3 (or variant) Rifle | 1,032 | 856 | \$1,100 | \$941,600 | Each of the 8 PSUs require 129 of the M4 rifles. PSUs must transition from the M16A2 rifle (which is no longer supported) to the M4A3 Rifle to align with the Joint Forces/DoD standard rifle. |
| 5 | SIG P229R DAK 9mm Pistol | 528 | 528 | \$660 | \$348,480 | 66 required per PSU for 8 PSUs total. PSU must transition to the 9mm Personal Defense Weapon to meet NATO weapons requirements and align with DoD components while deployed overseas. CG PSUs are logistically constrained with current use of the .40 cal pistol due to shipping restrictions and follow-on procurement of ammunition. |
| 6 | Deployable Medical Officer Kits | 8 | 6 | \$111,000 | \$666,000 | 1 required per PSU for 8 PSUs total. The medical officer kit allows PSUs to maintain self sustainability in a vulnerable joint security area where medical transportation for treatment by a CG medical officer incurs additional safety and security risks. |
| 7 | Portable Armory | 8 | 7 | \$75,000 | \$525,000 | 1 required per PSU for 8 PSUs total. PSUs must store small arms in compliance with the Joint Commander's requirements for weapons security. PSUs operate in locations often geographically remote from other joint units and cannot rely on joint unit resources for weapons storage capabilities. |

Significant Major Item Shortages

| PR | Nomenclature | Total Req'd | # Items ¹ Short | Item Cost | Total Shortage Cost | Rationale/Justification |
|--|--|-------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------|---|
| 8 | Portable Scales | 32 | 32 | \$9,380 | \$300,160 | 4 required per PSU for 8 PSUs total. PSUs are responsible for proper loading of all equipment needing air mobility transport on joint aircraft. Each individual equipment item must be properly loaded and weighed in accordance with DoD requirements. Portable scales provide the capability to properly weigh all PSU equipment prior to loading. |
| 9 | All-terrain Forklift | 8 | 5 | \$90,000 | \$450,000 | 1 required per PSU for 8 PSUs total. PSUs require an expeditionary, all-terrain forklift suitable for moving heavy equipment that weighs in excess of five hundred pounds. Currently, many of these heavy pieces of equipment are moved by as many as six CG personnel. This forklift would reduce the probability of personnel mishaps. |
| 10 | Polytetrafluoroethylene 32' Transportable Port Security Boat (TPSB) covers | 48 | 48 | \$1,200 | \$57,600 | 1 required per boat or 6 required per PSU for 8 PSUs total. The TPSB is used exclusively by all 8 PSUs for defense operations in support of COCOM operations. The boats have complex electronic systems, and these waterproof, air permeable, durable, and lightweight boat covers allow the boats to be moved and stored without damage from weather elements. |
| <p>1. Shortage items are required for AC recapitalization of outdated equipment. The AC manages all equipment for the Coast Guard Total Force.</p> | | | | | | |

Appendix A

Report Requirements, Terminology, and Definitions

I. Report Requirements

A. Overview of Statutory Requirement

The DoD Authorization Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-86), as amended, established the requirement for DoD to provide an annual report to the Congress, by March 15th of each year, on the status of National Guard and Reserve equipment; hereafter referred to as the NGRER. The Goldwater-Nichols DoD Reorganization Act of 1986 amended Title 10 of the United States Code (U.S.C.) placing the reporting requirement under Section 115(b). The Congress in Public Law 103-337 transferred reporting requirements to a new Subtitle E, Reserve Components, Part I, Chapter 1013, which was re-designated Section 10541. In compliance with the FY 1993 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA), Section 1134, Title XI, the NGRER was expanded to include a description of the current status of equipment incompatibility between the Active Component (AC) and Reserve Component (RC), the effect of that level of incompatibility, and the plan to achieve full compatibility. Finally, the FY 2008 NDAA, Sections 351(a), 351(c)(1), and 1826 added additional National Guard equipment reporting requirements to the NGRER. Sections 351(a) and 351(c)(1) added the requirement for an assessment of the extent to which the National Guard possesses the equipment required to suppress insurrections (10 U.S.C. §§ 331–333), provide assistance in cases of weapons of mass destruction or terrorist attacks (10 U.S.C. § 12304(b)), or to repel invasions, suppress rebellions, or execute the laws of the United States (10 U.S.C. § 12406) in an emergency or major disaster. Section 1826 required a statement of the accuracy of past National Guard equipment inventory projections, and a certification from the Chief of the National Guard Bureau setting forth the inventory of equipment items that were due to be procured in the preceding fiscal year, but were not received.

This report is prepared by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs with the assistance of the Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, the Department of the Air Force, and the Department of Homeland Security (United States Coast Guard).

B. Current Law

The section below is an excerpt from Section 10541, Title 10, U.S.C. Changes required by the FY 2008 NDAA are highlighted.

National Guard and Reserve Component Equipment: Annual Report to Congress

(a) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Congress each year, not later than March 15, a written report concerning the equipment of the National Guard and the reserve components of the armed forces for each of the three succeeding fiscal years.

(b) Each report under this section shall include the following:

(1) Recommendations as to the type and quantity of each major item of equipment which should be in the inventory of the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve of each reserve component of the armed forces.

(2) A statement of the quantity and average age of each type of major item of equipment which is expected to be physically available in the inventory of the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve of each reserve component as of the beginning of each fiscal year covered by the report.

(3) A statement of the quantity and cost of each type of major item of equipment which is expected to be procured for the Selective Reserve of the Ready Reserve of each reserve component from commercial sources or to be transferred to each such Selected Reserve from the active-duty components of the armed forces.

(4) A statement of the quantity of each type of major item of equipment which is expected to be retired, decommissioned, transferred, or otherwise removed from the physical inventory of the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve of each reserve component and the plans for replacement of that equipment.

(5) A listing of each major item of equipment required by the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve of each reserve component indicating -

(A) the full war-time requirement of that component for that item, shown in accordance with deployment schedules and requirements over successive 30-day periods following mobilization;

(B) the number of each such item in the inventory of the component;

(C) a separate listing of each such item in the inventory that is a deployable item and is not the most desired item;

(D) the number of each such item projected to be in the inventory at the end of the third succeeding fiscal year; and

(E) the number of non-deployable items in the inventory as a substitute for a required major item of equipment.

(6) A narrative explanation of the plan of the Secretary concerned to provide equipment needed to fill the war-time requirement for each major item of equipment to all units of the Selected Reserve, including an explanation of the plan to equip units of the Selected Reserve that are short of major items of equipment at the outset of war.

(7) For each item of major equipment reported under paragraph (3) in a report for one of the three previous years under this section as an item expected to be procured for the Selected Reserve or to be transferred to the Selected Reserve, the quantity of such equipment actually procured for or transferred to the Selected Reserve.

(8) A statement of the current status of the compatibility of equipment between the Army reserve components and active forces of the Army, the effect of that level of incompatibility on combat effectiveness, and a plan to achieve full equipment compatibility.

(9) (Added by FY 2008 NDAA, Sections 351(a) and 351(c)(1)) An assessment of the extent to which the National Guard possesses the equipment required to perform the responsibilities of the National Guard pursuant to sections 331, 332, 333, 12304(b) and 12406 of this title in response to an emergency or major disaster (as such terms are defined in section 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122)). Such assessment shall—

(A) identify any shortfall in equipment provided to the National Guard by the Department of Defense throughout the United States and the territories and possessions of the United States that is likely to affect the ability of the National Guard to perform such responsibilities;

(B) evaluate the effect of any shortfall on the capacity of the National Guard to perform such responsibilities in response to an emergency or major disaster that occurs in the United States or a territory or possession of the United States; and

(C) identify the requirements and investment strategies for equipment provided to the National Guard by the Department of Defense that are necessary to plan for a reduction or elimination of any such shortfall.

(c) Each report under this section shall be expressed in the same format and with the same level of detail as the information presented in the annual Future Years Defense Program Procurement Annex prepared by the Department of Defense.

(d) (Added by FY 2008 NDAA, Section 1826) Each report under this section concerning equipment of the National Guard shall also include the following:

(1) A statement of the accuracy of the projections required by subsection (b)(5)(D) contained in earlier reports under this section, and an explanation, if the projection was not met, of why the projection was not met.

(2) A certification from the Chief of the National Guard Bureau setting forth an inventory for the preceding fiscal year of each item of equipment—

(A) for which funds were appropriated;

(B) which was due to be procured for the National Guard during that fiscal year; and

(C) which has not been received by a National Guard unit as of the close of that fiscal year.

II. Report Objective

Based upon the law, the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs (Materiel & Facilities), with concurrence from all Services, has identified the following objectives:

- Provide the Services' plan to equip their Reserve forces in a time of constrained DoD budgets.
- Concentrate on FY 2015–FY 2017 RC requirements, procurements and changes.
- Provide an overview of current RC equipment from three perspectives:
 - current status of equipment on-hand.
 - future year equipment procurements for FY 2015–FY 2017
 - remaining shortfall for FY 2017 and beyond.
- Focus primarily on major items of equipment.

III. Report Contents

A. Overview (Chapter 1)

Chapter 1 presents a composite DoD perspective on National Guard and Reserve equipment and serves as the executive summary of the report.

B. Service Narratives and Data Tables (Chapters 2–6)

Chapters 2 through 6 present the status of each Service and their respective RC in terms of RC equipping policies and methodologies. Each chapter contains a Service and RC overview, and includes a discussion of current equipment status, future equipment procurements, and remaining shortfalls and unfunded requirements. Each chapter includes a review of the current status of equipment compatibility and interoperability between the AC and the RC of each Service, the effect of that level of compatibility/interoperability, and a plan to achieve full compatibility/interoperability.

RC data tables for each Service contain specific information on major items of equipment selected for review in this report and are placed at the end of each RC narrative section. The NGRER articulates data in eight tables (*Tables 1-8*) for each RC. In a situation where data tables are not applicable to a particular RC, a blank page has been inserted to note that table data is not applicable. The “Data Table Explanation” at the end of this section defines the data contained in *Tables 1-8*.

IV. Terminology and Definitions

Major Items of Equipment include aircraft, tanks, ships, trucks, engineer equipment and major items of support equipment. These items normally will include large dollar value requirements, critical RC shortages, Service and NGREA procured items, and any RC specific item which the Chief of the specific RC wishes to highlight.

Required Quantity is the total number of an item required to be on-hand or available to RC units to go to war and accomplish their missions. This includes requirements for war reserve and other stocks. The simplified term “requirement,” as used in this report, is synonymous with “full wartime requirement,” and satisfies the requirement in Title 10 to provide a “recommendation” as to the type and quantity of equipment needed in RC inventories.

On-hand Quantity is the equipment physically on-hand in RC or AC units or in war reserve and other stocks specifically designed for wartime use by the RC or AC.

Deployable Item is an item which, considering its suitability, operability, compatibility and supportability, will provide an expected degree of mission success sufficient to warrant its wartime operational employment.

Compatibility/Interoperability denotes the capability of two items of equipment to operate together in the same environment without interfering with one another and without degrading function or unit capability.

Substitute Item is not the most desired item but based upon its capability can be employed in wartime in lieu of a combat essential required item of equipment. It may not function at the same level of capability as the item in the AC for which it is the substitute.

Equipment Shortage (Shortfall) is the difference between the quantity required and the quantity on-hand, excluding substitute items and excess quantities beyond the required quantity.

Modernization Shortfall is the difference between the required quantity of the most modern item and the on-hand quantity of that item. Modernization shortfalls are not necessarily equipment shortages as most Services substitute older versions of an item for the most modern item. Therefore, modernization shortfalls are shortages of the most modern item only, and can have a significant effect upon compatibility and interoperability.

V. Data Tables

A. Table Contents

A separate set of Data Tables (*Tables 1-8*) is provided in Chapters 2 through 6 for each RC. These tables contain the required information relative to major items of equipment identified in the report. The following list identifies the separate data tables that are included in the report for each RC.

- Table 1: Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements (This is an all-inclusive table while other tables are subsets of *Table 1*.)
- Table 2: Average Age of Equipment
- Table 3: Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R)
- Table 4: National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements
- Table 5: Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities
- Table 6: FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers
- Table 7: Major Item of Equipment Substitution List
- Table 8: Significant Major Item Shortages

B. Table Explanations

The following paragraphs provide an explanation of the data table columns and data criteria by Table.

Table 1: Consolidated Major Item Inventory and Requirements. This table provides a comprehensive list of selected major items of equipment the RC chooses to highlight, by providing key administrative data, on-hand inventories and wartime requirements.

RC is the specific Reserve or National Guard entity, i.e., ARNG, USAR, USMCR, ANG, AFR, USNR, or USCGR.

Nomenclature is the description or common name of the item of equipment.

Equipment Number is the individual Service equipment identification code: Line Item Number for the Army; Table of Authorized Materiel Control Number for the Marine Corps; Equipment Cost Code for Navy engineering items; and National Stock Number for the Air Force.

Cost is the FY 2015 procurement cost per unit. If an item is no longer being procured, the inflation adjusted cost from the last procurement is shown. If an item is programmed for initial procurement beyond FY 2015, the data table depicts the projected unit cost at the time of procurement.

Quantity On-hand (QTY O/H) is the actual/projected item count for a particular item of equipment at a specified time.

Quantity Required (QTY REQ) is the authorized wartime requirement for a given item of equipment.

Table 2: Average Age of Equipment. This table is a subset of *Table 1* and highlights the average age of selected items of equipment.

Average Age is the calculated age of a given item of equipment. Since equipment is normally procured over several years, this figure provides an average age of the fleet at the start of FY 2014.

Table 3: Service Procurement Program - Reserve (P-1R). This table highlights items of equipment, which the Service intends to procure for their RC. The source of this data is the P-1R exhibit to the President's Budget.

Table 4: National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) Procurements. This table highlights the items, which the RC plan on procuring with miscellaneous NGREA funds. Since these funds are available for three years, this table highlights those items in the current procurement cycle.

Table 5: Projected Equipment Transfer/Withdrawal Quantities. This table portrays the planned equipment transfers (AC to RC), withdrawals, and decommissioning. Transfers are commonly called "cascaded" equipment or equipment that is provided to the RC once the AC receives more modern equipment items. Although this table highlights a three-year period, many Services do not know exact quantities of transfers or withdrawals until year of execution due to the uncertainty of the procurement/delivery cycle of new equipment.

Table 6: FY 2011 Planned vs Actual Procurements and Transfers. This table compares what the Service planned to procure and transfer to the RC in FY 2011 with actual procurements and transfers. Since the procurement cycle is normally one to three years from funding to delivery, this table identifies only what has been delivered through the end of FY 2013.

Planned Quantity is the item quantity the Service programmed to deliver to the RC as part of the budgeting process.

Actual Quantity is the item quantity the Service actually delivered or has in the procurement cycle to deliver to the RC.

Table 7: Major Item of Equipment Substitution List. A list of equipment authorized by the Service to be used as a substitute for a primary item of equipment. This table also identifies whether this substitute item is suitable for deployment in time of war.

Nomenclature (Required Item/Substitute Item), see *Table 1* description for nomenclature.

Equipment Number (Required Item/Substitute Item), see *Table 1* description for equipment number.

Table 8: Significant Major Item Shortages. The top ten items of equipment and modernization/upgrades, which are not funded in the FY 2015–FY 2017 Future Years Defense Program, are listed in this table in priority order. If additional funds were to become available, the RC would apply those funds to the highest priority item on this list.

Appendix B

National Guard Readiness for Emergencies and Major Disasters

I. FY 2008 National Defense Authorization Act Changes to the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Report (NGRER)

The *Fiscal Year (FY) 2008 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)*, Sections 351(a), 351(c)(1), and 1826, added new reporting requirements for the status of National Guard (NG) equipment. This appendix provides the National Guard Bureau (NGB) response to each of the requirements of the NDAA.

The Chief, National Guard Bureau (CNGB) must provide a statement of the accuracy of previous National Guard equipment inventory projections and an explanation of projections not met. Additionally, the FY 2008 NDAA requires the CNGB to certify the inventory of equipment items that were due to be procured for the NG in the preceding year, but were not received.

The Vice Chief, NGB memorandum in Figure B-1 addresses the CNGB certification required by Section 1826 of the FY 2008 NDAA.



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU
1636 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1636

JAN 03 2014

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR RESERVE AFFAIRS (MATERIEL AND FACILITIES)

SUBJECT: Certification and Statement of Accuracy to Accompany the Annual National Guard and Reserve Equipment Report

References: (a) 10 U.S.C. § 10541(d)
(b) National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008

In combination with the attached Fiscal 2015 National Guard and Reserve Equipment Report, I submit this certification and statement of accuracy as called for in reference a.

To meet specific requirements contained in section 1826 of reference b, the Secretary of Defense directed the Services to provide increased transparency, increased visibility and accountability of National Guard and Reserve equipment. This tracking initiative, the Equipment Transparency Report, was standardized for the Services and is provided to the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs semiannually. At present, the Services have not achieved the transparency required to fully account for equipment delivery and inventory projections. To that end, the Army intends to achieve full transparency through the implementation of Item Unique Identification (IUID) as part of Global Combat Support System-Army, projected to reach full operability in FY 2017. The Air Force intends to achieve full transparency through the incorporation of Defense Readiness Reporting System as well as IUID, projected to reach full operability in FY 2018.

The point of contact for this issue is Colonel Anthony Johnson, NG-J4 Logistics and Engineering Deputy Director, at (703) 607-1082.


Joseph L. LeMay
Lieutenant General, USAF
Vice Chief, National Guard Bureau

Attachment:
As stated

cc:
ASA (M&RA)
ASAF (M&RA)
DARNG
DANG

Figure B-1. Vice Chief, NGB Memorandum

A. 2008 NDAA, Sections 351(a) and 351(c)(1), “Reports on National Guard Readiness for Emergencies and Major Disasters,” requires an assessment of the extent to which the National Guard possesses the equipment required to perform the responsibilities of the National Guard pursuant to sections 331, 332, 333, 12304(b), and 12406 of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), in response to an emergency or major disaster.

1. Overview

The equipment used by the National Guard to suppress insurrections (10 U.S.C. §§ 331–333), provide assistance in cases of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) or terrorist attacks (10 U.S.C. § 12304(b)), or to repel invasions, suppress rebellions, or execute the laws of the United States (10 U.S.C. § 12406) in an emergency or major disaster comes from three broad sources: dual-use equipment provided by the Army, dual-use equipment provided by the Air Force, and special government off-the-shelf (GOTS) or commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) equipment acquired via a variety of sources to meet unique tasks, conditions, or standards for disaster operations in the homeland. The latter are planned for and integrated by the NGB Joint Staff, but purchased by the Army National Guard (ARNG) and Air National Guard (ANG).

It is DoD policy that, to the extent practicable, emergency or major disaster functions are performed using dual-use equipment.

Following the 2012 full-operation-capability achievements for the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) region-based 17 chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) and High-yield Explosives (CBRNE) Enhanced Response Force Packages (CERFPs) and 10 Homeland Response Forces (HRFs), the NG CBRN response organizations maintained their training and response readiness operating tempo throughout 2013 in completing their required two annual collective training events with integrated local incident commanders and first responders, designated commanders completing the required mission and logistics planning for participation in United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM) and NGB-level tiered-response CBRN Response Exercises, and responding to State Emergency Management Agency support requests.

The core capabilities of each HRF CBRN Task Force and the 17 CERFPs were enhanced in FY 2013 through the fielding of the Joint Chemical Agent Detector (JCAD). The JCAD is a point detector that will enable the mass decontamination element commanders to detect toxic industrial chemicals/materials and chemical warfare agent hazards at lower levels than the replaced Automatic Chemical Agent Detector Alarm (ACADA) at personnel monitoring stations following wash/rinse operations within the decontamination site. CERFP and HRF commanders were also fielded the AN/PDR-75 Radiac Set employing Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) technology. The OSL dosimeters will replace the dose-of-record thermoluminescent-dosimeters planning and augment the fielded AN/UDR-13 Radiac Set dosimeters.

NG CERFP and HRF dual-use mission equipment was used to respond to Hurricane Sandy by West Virginia and New York CERFP elements; conduct wide-area search operations in support of law enforcement by the Hawaii CERFP; and perform collapsed-building and structural-assessment missions by the West Virginia CERFP after heavy winter snowfalls.

The National Guard has fielded, and is currently sustaining, the Joint Incident Site Communications Capability (JISCC) package. This system provides standardized communications within the 10 HRFs and the 17 CERFPs. The JISCC package provides capabilities, such as radio cross-banding, commercial internet access, public switched telephone network, Nonsecure Internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNET), and Secret Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNET). These capabilities are needed to be interoperable with other government and civilian entities. The National Guard also uses the JISCC package to support the NG's other Defense Support of Civil Authorities (DSCA) missions and state missions.

NG CBRN response forces are equipped first with dual-use equipment, and then augmented, as necessary, with special GOTS/COTS equipment. The WMD Civil Support Teams (WMD-CSTs), which are required by law, are the notable exception to this unit resourcing approach.

The National Guard WMD-CSTs were established in 1998, with the initial 10 WMD-CSTs certified by the Secretary of Defense (SecDef) to Congress in August 2001. As required by section 1403 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-314), DoD established a total of 55 WMD-CSTs, one in every state and territory and two in California. In 2006, starting with the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2007 (Public Law 109-289), Congress funded the addition of a second WMD-CST in Florida and New York. The FY 2013 President's Budget discontinued these two WMD-CSTs. However, the SecDef reconsidered and decided to retain these two units; therefore, 57 WMD-CSTs will remain operational. By statute and SecDef directive, these units perform duties, at the direction of the governor, in support of emergency preparedness programs to prepare for or respond to an emergency involving the use of a weapon of mass destruction in the United States. The WMD-CSTs are also authorized to provide a rapid response to the intentional or unintentional release of nuclear, biological, radiological, toxic, or poisonous chemical materials and respond to a natural or manmade disaster in the United States that results in, or could result in, catastrophic loss of life or property. The mission of WMD-CSTs is to support civil authorities at the known or suspected domestic CBRN site by identifying CBRN agents/substances, assessing current and projected consequences, advising on response measures, and assisting with requests for additional state support.

In FY 2013, WMD-CSTs conducted 126 response missions and 615 standby events. These operational numbers have steadily increased in each fiscal year. Response missions are defined as WMD-CST deployments in response to validated requests for support from local, state, or federal agencies, other than NGB. Response missions included 85 responses to suspected CBRN substances, 34 manmade incidents, and 7 natural disasters. Standby missions are those missions in which a WMD-CST deploys to provide WMD-CST expertise at an event where the WMD-CST may be the only asset or is staged with other state assets to conduct operations, including events identified by the state chain of command, VIP Protective Details (including presidential and gubernatorial protective details), or special events. In FY 2013, WMD-CSTs supported the October 2012 Presidential debates, the January 2013 Presidential Inauguration, the February 2013 Super Bowl XLVII, the February 2013 State of the Union, the August 2013 Dr. Martin Luther King 50th Anniversary of the March on Washington, and numerous other state and local events. These standby events included pre-staging at event sites, CBRN monitoring and

detection, reach-back services for local first responders, and joint training operations with the other components of the CBRN enterprise.

In addition to the FY 2013 response and standby missions, WMD-CSTs conducted 1,054 assist missions. Assist missions include contingency operations liaison, capabilities briefs, technical assistance, mission reconnaissance, mutual support on how to minimize vulnerability to a CBRN incident, or WMD-CST personnel provide advice and assistance as part of a national, state, or local WMD Command Post Exercise or Field Training Exercise where unit capabilities are planned and demonstrated in accordance with scenario changes. In sum, WMD-CSTs conducted a total of 2,383 events in the first ten months of FY 2013. Additionally, WMD-CSTs conducted 669 collective training events to have operational skills for mission success.

DSCA is a critical mission in the NG support to civil authorities. NGB is committed to the objective that every state and territory have access to 10 core capabilities to respond to emergencies and major disasters in the United States. These National Guard “Essential 10” capabilities are command and control (including Joint Force Headquarters), CBRN consequence management, engineering assets, communications, transportation (surface), aviation/airlift, medical, security, logistics, and maintenance.

The National Guard Reaction Forces (NGRFs) are a trained and ready force able to provide governors or combatant commanders with quick reaction and rapid response capabilities in each state or territory. The NGRFs are capable of responding and assisting in the protection of critical infrastructure, other state or federal assets, and any other missions as directed to promote stability and security in a state, territory, or the Nation. The NGRFs are equipped with non-lethal capabilities to enhance their ability to respond to homeland defense (HD) and homeland security missions and provide force protection measures and capabilities for NGRF personnel.

The NGRF possesses non-lethal capabilities to assist civilian authorities within the United States. Each state’s adjutant general, in conjunction with the state’s governor and attorney general, must ultimately determine if state National Guard forces will utilize non-lethal capabilities during state operations. Non-lethal capabilities are employed with the intent to compel or deter adversaries by acting on human capabilities or materiel while minimizing fatalities and damage to equipment or facilities. Non-lethal capabilities are intended to have reversible effects on personnel and materiel to provide commanders with flexible options, both in time and range, to the diverse and challenging threats military forces face.

2. Army National Guard Equipment

a. ARNG Equipment Shortfalls

The ARNG’s Top Equipment Modernization/Shortfall Category List, Figure B-2, is developed annually and identifies systems or capabilities required by the ARNG for modernization and/or filling of shortfalls of equipment in support of domestic and Federal missions. The list includes 18 categories that contain critical dual use equipment that the ARNG continues to focus filling equipment shortages and/or modernization efforts.



ARNG Top Equipment Modernization/Shortfall Category List**

- **Aviation Support Equipment***
(AGPU; Hoist, Ground Support)
- **Aviation Systems***
(UH-60M Modernization)
- **Chemical Protection Systems***
(CBPS)
- **Combat Mobility Systems***
(Boats; Motors; Bridge Erection Boat)
- **Communications Security***
(SKL)
- **Construction Engineering Equipment**
(Rollers)
- **Domestic Operations Equipment**
(PPE; PAPER)
- **Field Feeding Systems***
(MTRCS; Assault Kitchen)
- **General Engineering Equipment***
(HEPPOES; Urban OPS Kit)

- **Heavy Tactical Vehicle***
(Truck, Polletized (LHS))
- **Information Systems Equipment**
(GuardNet; AADS)
- **Intelligence Equipment**
(Sensitive Compartment Equipment)
- **Light Tactical Vehicles***
(HMMWV Ambulance)
- **Liquid Logistics Equipment***
(HIPPO; CAMEL)
- **Maintenance Equipment***
(MSDv3)
- **Material Handling Equipment**
(Truck, Fork Lift)
- **Medical Communications for Combat Casualty Care (MC4)***
(Servers; Laptops)
- **Medical Field Systems***
(MES, Laboratory; MES, Combat Medic)

- **Medium Tactical Vehicle***
(Truck, Cargo ST Modernization)
- **RADARS**
(AN/TPO-50)
- **Satellite Systems***
(AN/TSR-8)
- **Tactical Electrical Power Equipment***
(5KW; 10KW; 30KW; 60KW)
- **Tactical Radios***
(SINGARS Modernization)
- **Tactical Trailers***
(Semi-Trailer, Flat-Bed 34T; Semi-Trailer, Low-Bed 25T)
- **Training Devices/Simulators**
(RWS-TT; Universal Mission Simulator)

BLACK = Carryover
GREEN = Add/Updated
*CDU Equipment

****LIST IS NOT PRIORITIZED**

As of 5 December 2013

WILLIAM E. INGRAM, JR.
Lieutenant General, USA
Director, Army National Guard

DEC 5 2013

Figure B-2. ARNG Top Equipment Modernization / Shortfall Category List

Table 8 Significant Major Item Shortages in the ARNG data tables section provides a top ten prioritized shortage list for major items of equipment. Significant major item shortages are in aviation modernization, transportation, sustainment, and construction engineering equipment.

i. Aviation Modernization

The overall health of the aviation portfolio remains good. The H-60 Blackhawk modernization efforts (M-model procurement, L-model cascades, and A-A-L modernization) are still ARNG's top priority. FY 2015 equipment on-hand (EOH) quantities comprise a mixed fleet of new build, cascaded, and retiring legacy aircraft. At the current H-60 Blackhawk procurement, conversion, and cascade rate (from the A model to the L and M models), it will take until FY 2027 to fully divest the H-60A fleet. The UH-72A Lakota is scheduled to be fully fielded by FY 2015, an acceleration of one year since our last report.

ii. Transportation

The ARNG is participating in the ongoing Tactical Wheel Vehicle reduction studies. Upon completion, the ARNG will be fully aligned with the Army's future force structure transportation requirement capabilities. The ARNG expects to field 1,134 Joint Light Tactical Vehicles (JLTVs) by 2020. Overall, the ARNG expects to have over 20,000 JLTVs by FY 2040. The ARNG's plan to purchase 500 HMMWV ambulances was delayed due to contracting and parts availability. At completion, the ARNG will increase the HMMWV ambulance EOH to 100 percent by third quarter FY 2015.

iii. Sustainment

This equipment category consists of medical, fuel, water, maintenance, and food systems. Technology innovations in water storage, water distribution, and material handling equipment will improve the Army sustainment capabilities. The ARNG continues to field new and improved capabilities such as the Load Handling System Compatible Water Tank Rack (HIPPO) and the future Light Capability Rough Terrain Forklift.

iv. Construction Engineering Equipment

This equipment category includes heavy/light horizontal construction, vertical construction, diving, and firefighting equipment critically under filled or past its useful life cycle. These items support DSCA and combat missions. The ARNG has used National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation (NGREA) funding over the last few years to supplement base funding for this equipment, which is still a priority shortage category for the ARNG.

b. Effects of ARNG Shortfalls

ARNG's historically high EOH and modernization level comes at a time when the Army faces an historic convergence of strategic challenges. Preserving and improving upon the ARNG's EOH of 91 percent and modernization level of 85 percent is a top priority. However, these modernization shortfalls result in the continued use of the legacy systems and an HD/DSCA capability gap.

Shortfalls of ARNG equipment also impact ARNG's ability to achieve full interoperability with the AC. In today's operations, the Army relies on a robust network to share sensitive mission command information. ARNG shortfalls in communication equipment reduce the ability of the ARNG to provide optimal mission command capabilities during both combat and homeland defense operations. Communications and collaboration between incident site, local responders, emergency managers and other key players are critical. In addition, having a common operating picture facilitates collaborative planning and assists all echelons to achieve situational awareness.

The ARNG is short both 34-ton Semitrailers and 25-ton Semitrailers. Not having adequate hauling and material handling capabilities significantly reduces the ARNG's domestic response capabilities. The addition of HMMWV ambulances will improve the ARNG's ability to provide tactical ambulances capable of reaching the last mile in rugged terrain while conducting operations in support of civil authorities. The ARNG's ability to provide clean water will remain at risk until the HIPPO is fully restored in FY 2017.

Given the ARNG's EOH of 91 percent and modernization level of 85 percent, the ARNG is able to perform its national defense and DSCA missions.

c. ARNG Investment Strategies

The ARNG has used NGREA funding to successfully mitigate key ARNG shortfalls in equipment and modernization efforts. FY 2013 ARNG NGREA funding has allowed the investment of more than \$92M in aviation, engineering, and logistics systems. \$69M of NGREA funding was used to procure systems that support HD and DSCA missions. Additionally, the ARNG has invested \$196M of NGREA funding to support individual and collective training through the procurement of simulator systems like the Individual Gunnery Trainer and the

Virtual Convoy Operations Trainer. Recent NGREA funding has focused on the procurement of high-priority Critical Dual Use (CDU) items that have a projected shortfall and adversely impact overall readiness.

The past ten years have brought vast improvements in the overall training, equipping, and readiness of the operational ARNG. Equipping and modernizing the ARNG comparably with the AC will ensure readiness, support an operational force, and promote interoperability. Future procurement and sustainment programs must ensure that units are trained, ready, and modernized concurrent with the AC. The overarching tenet of the Army National Guard Equipping Strategy is to ensure that Soldiers and units always have the required equipment to execute assigned missions. Shortfalls not filled through new procurement will be mitigated through cross-leveling, improved transparency, life-cycle management, and ensuring authorization documents are in place prior to fielding of equipment.

3. Air National Guard Equipment

The Air National Guard continues to work towards recapitalization and modernization of our support equipment and vehicles to optimize utility. To facilitate this objective, the ANG continues to work closely with other major commands and NGB, along with Headquarters Air Force on budgeting and execution of funds for equipment and vehicles. DoD procures ANG support equipment for executing national defense missions, utilizing authorizations that are aligned to Tables of Allowances (TAs). The preponderance of this equipment can be used to perform both national defense and DSCA missions and is classified as “dual use.” Current equipment tracking methods show, even though there has been a reduction in authorized equipment due to mission changes and associations, the majority of all authorized ANG support equipment (371,472 pieces) have valid uses in both national defense and DSCA missions. The Total Force relationship between the Air Force (AF) and the ANG has resulted in excellent support for these dual-use items. Currently, the ANG has 96 percent (357,693 pieces) of authorized support equipment and vehicles on-hand within the categories of the Essential 10 Capabilities (see Table B-1).

Table B-1. ANG Support Equipment (SE) and Vehicles

| August 2013 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| CABABILITY | AUTH QTY | INU SE QTY | FILL RATE | AUTH COST | INU SE COST | NEEDED QTY | NEEDED COST |
| Aviation SE | 59,248 | 57,763 | 97% | \$4,180,558,964 | \$3,651,647,123 | 1,485 | \$528,911,841 |
| Civil Support & Force Protection | 2,788 | 2,581 | 93% | \$906,436,715 | \$839,136,715 | 207 | \$67,300,000 |
| Command & Control | 10,164 | 10,074 | 99% | \$586,924,425 | \$585,664,923 | 90 | \$1,259,502 |
| Communication | 5,726 | 5,754 | 100% | \$47,290,570 | \$30,758,563 | 0 | \$0 |
| Engineering | 25,400 | 24,024 | 95% | \$211,388,335 | \$168,657,355 | 1,376 | \$42,730,980 |
| Logistics | 85,757 | 81,289 | 95% | \$82,479,760 | \$67,559,286 | 4,468 | \$14,920,474 |
| Maintenance | 108,483 | 104,624 | 96% | \$2,366,331,380 | \$1,957,171,023 | 3,859 | \$409,160,357 |
| Medical | 8,553 | 9,036 | 106% | \$3,267,135 | \$2,702,734 | 0 | \$0 |
| Security | 65,353 | 62,548 | 96% | \$129,850,141 | \$114,465,656 | 2,805 | \$15,384,485 |
| TOTAL SE | 371,472 | 357,693 | 96% | \$8,514,527,425 | \$7,417,763,378 | 14,290 | \$1,079,667,639 |
| VEHICLES | 16,207.00 | 14894 | 92% | \$1,238,676,205 | \$883,305,932 | 1,313 | \$375,370,273 |
| TOTAL SE & VEHICLES | 387,679 | 372,587 | 96% | \$9,753,203,630 | \$8,281,069,310 | 15,603 | \$1,455,037,912 |

Currently, less than 1 percent of ANG equipment is deployed in support of overseas contingencies.

a. ANG Equipment Shortfalls

A more detailed review of the ANG equipment health is described in the following five categories of the Essential 10 capabilities.

i. Logistics

The overall ANG logistics fill rate status is good at 95 percent. There has been improvement in the support equipment availability and vehicle “in commission rates.” However, shortfalls exist in equipment and vehicles designed for transporting people and equipment to areas requiring rescue and recovery. Additionally, aging support equipment, such as hydraulic lifts, fork lifts, test equipment, and refueling trucks are plagued by availability of parts because spares are no longer manufactured and replacements are slow to arrive. Without spare parts, these equipment and vehicles cannot be maintained in operational condition, and, if funding is not available to replace the equipment or vehicles, they are used far beyond their life expectancy, and reliability suffers.

ii. Engineering

The overall engineering fill rate status is excellent at 95 percent. However, prime power, route clearance, search and rescue, and firefighting equipment shortages are inhibiting the ANG’s ability to concurrently perform home station and overseas deployments, or provide support to civil authorities. For example, power generation capability used to provide stable, reliable electrical power in deployed environments either abroad or during NG DSCA operations needs an investment of over \$18M to redress shortfalls. During DSCA operations, this power could be a life-saving capability for an affected community. The equipment will be capable of increasing and maintaining emergency power for an extended period to a hospital center, shelter, or other facility deemed critical to a community. These teams and equipment could power entire facilities or areas of the community. Additionally, the prime power makes possible the “open the base” capability, either expeditionary or contingency, for the ANG. Currently, insufficient capacity exists in the FEMA regions. The ANG is working diligently through the Joint Domestic Operations Equipment Requirements (JDOERs), NGREA, and central AF procurement processes to acquire prime power capability to ensure safe, reliable, and effective power is available for Federal and civil support requirements. For example, the ANG acquired, through NGREA funding, power generation capability for the 150th Civil Engineering Squadron, which is the pilot unit for this capability.

iii. Transportation

Vehicle on-hand status is excellent at 92 percent. Additionally, with the new vehicle life-cycle management targets, the impact of utilization for supporting civil authorities is minimized for most of the fleet. The average age of the vehicles in the ANG fleet is ten years and has a health or in-commission rate of 86 percent. With shrinking budgets and competing priorities, the resources applied to these vehicles are also diminishing. For example, the average age of 20.7 years in the medium tactical, low density, and high demand vehicles is impacted directly by these shrinking budgets, competing priorities, and available resources. The result affects the ANG’s capability to perform DSCA and state missions requiring high water and massive debris removal.

iv. Security

The overall security fill rate status is excellent at about 96 percent with shortfalls in explosive detection, less-than-lethal kits, and mobility bags totaling approximately \$16M, a reduction of \$100M over last year.

Security Forces (SF) require outfitting with the most modern equipment available due to their extremely high operations tempo, air expeditionary force deployments, and DSCA missions. Explosive device threats/incidents overseas are increasing in numbers and complexity, and ANG SF has limited capability to detect this threat, resulting in a major vulnerability. The ANG SF has no K-9 support, and the procurement of K-9 resources is not an option. Handheld explosive detection equipment is an alternative solution. The ability to detect explosives at base entry control points can significantly improve installation security and provide a higher level of safety and security for all Airmen. ANG SF currently has a \$5M shortfall of 150 explosive detection devices.

Additionally, the ANG SF is not equipped to effectively respond with less-than-lethal force to any given scenario, creating a liability and putting the safety of ANG Airmen at risk. AF instructions for using force mandate options for less-than-lethal actions short of using lethal force. Lack of less-than-lethal capabilities and equipment greatly hinders our SF ability to secure an area effectively, particularly when performing DSCA missions, without resorting to lethal force. Additional capabilities for taking less-than-lethal action would align ANG SF with its AC counterparts. ANG SF currently has an \$8M shortfall for 150 less-than-lethal force kits.

SF Airmen deploy at more frequent rates and for extended periods compared to most other Airmen, which causes more rapid degradation of equipment in SF mobility bags. ANG SF forecast a shortfall of approximately \$3M for replacement and sustainment

The identified shortages are limiting the ANG SF ability to concurrently provide the public safety and security at home station, during overseas contingencies and when performing DSCA missions. These shortages have been identified previously, and the ANG is attempting to fill the requirements through central AF procurement processes or through other funding sources such as NAREA.

v. Communications

The fill rate for communications equipment is approximately 100 percent. However, there are several systems essential to operations that are operating in a degraded state, have exceeded their economically useful life, or have not kept pace with the technological advancements, causing this equipment to become questionable for both national defense and DSCA missions.

Additionally, due to national defense and DSCA needs, the ANG continues to require sustaining communications equipment interoperable with civil authorities that are compliant with the National Incident Management System. These important communications systems have been assigned a lower priority for replacement and sustainment, which may affect support to DoD and state command authorities. System life-cycle maintenance, modernization requirements, and integrated security controls remain a challenge as these systems have not been integrated into AF requirements as expected.

b. Effects of ANG Shortfalls

Overall, the ANG has adequate dual-use equipment for both the national defense and DSCA missions. However, there are equipment shortfalls in areas that are key to supporting state operations. Lack of communications and personal protective equipment could hamper the ANG's ability to support a worst-case natural disaster, and the rapidly-aging ANG vehicle fleet of general purpose and special purpose vehicles could be a concern if funding levels do not change to match requirements. Additionally, classic associations following the Total Force Enterprise construct have the potential for slowing a state's response to natural disasters and other events because ANG units in a particular state do not possess the equipment but use equipment for training owned and possessed by the AC. To utilize equipment for an operation under state control, a governor must first seek DoD approval to utilize DoD equipment necessary for the operation. To support civil authorities in a timely manner, governors need agreements for more timely access to AC equipment in classic associate units, or have separate accountability, thereby making equipment owned and operated by the ANG available for a domestic response as it is at traditional ANG units.

Other shortfalls in support equipment and vehicles are caused primarily by assignment of new missions and changes to missions, such as units flying remotely piloted aircraft, expansion of missions for C-130 units, and accession of new aircraft, such as the KC-46. However, the ANG will eventually realize an increase in the ANG equipment inventory from these changes, which will lead to improved capability to train on mission-essential equipment used for both national defense and DSCA missions.

Additionally, planned insertions of technology and upgrades to ANG's current weapon systems require additional test equipment for the maintenance community, which evaluates upgrades or, in some cases, performs the upgrades.

See Chapter 5, Section II, for additional information on ANG shortfalls in equipment and modernization.

c. ANG Requirements and Acquisition Strategies

The ANG acquisition strategy is focused on peacetime and wartime readiness capability requirements and ensuring support considerations are an integral part of an assessment of life-cycle costs of any procurement. Gaps in capabilities critical to wartime and peacetime needs are identified and vetted in an open and rigorous forum of warfighters, who are experts in their respective weapons systems or fields. One venue is the annual Weapons and Tactics Conference and its results are approved by the Director, ANG. A similar process is conducted at the annual JDOERs conference, which will be held in April 2014. The capability requirements derived and vetted at these conferences are translated into specific COTS or GOTS solutions, and require only non-developmental integration into a weapons system. These capabilities and associated programs are documented in the annual *Air National Guard Weapons Systems Modernization Priorities* book and JDOERs book.

Once valid DoD requirements are established, they are filled based on the mission priority of the unit and weapon system. The ANG uses all available funding sources to fill equipment needs. Most funding comes from the annual DoD planning, programming, budgeting, and execution

process, with other funding coming from AF central agencies for support items that are interchangeable across the AF enterprise; such as personal protective equipment, communications equipment, and some vehicles. The ANG has also been aggressive in seeking other funding sources to replace items that have been expended while performing national defense and DSCA missions. Lastly, the ANG takes full advantage of NGREA funding to procure authorized dual-use support equipment, which increases a unit's ability to support state missions, or to modernize equipment to ensure its reliability, relevancy, and responsiveness to future national defense or DSCA missions.

4. Specialized Equipment

Specialized equipment is unique equipment that is specific to the DSCA mission, is not considered dual-use, and is specifically authorized by Congress. Funding, management, and accounting procedures may differ from the procedures used to manage equipment authorized to support Federal missions. Much of this equipment is procured from COTS vendors and does not have organic sustainment support.

a. Specialized Equipment Shortfalls

The WMD-CSTs continue to have a limiting factor of non-redundant commercial CBRN equipment for monitoring, detection, and analysis of field incidents and modernization of specialized vehicles. Some critical COTS equipment is fielded to the WMD-CSTs without spares, such as generators and specialized vehicles. The result is likely a single point of failure for a WMD-CST mission, lessening the team's capability until replacements are obtained, or suitable substitutes are repositioned from other WMD-CST units. As noted above, adequate funding for mission critical equipment modernization, life-cycle management of legacy COTS and GOTS equipment, and a rapid acquisition process to support the procurement of leading edge technologies is paramount to both relevance and reliability of unit capabilities.

The HRF and CERFP lifesaving missions have not changed, and the equipment is being sustained. Within the NG CBRN Enterprise (10 HRFs and 17 CERFPs), 10 have received new, tailored medical assemblage upgrades with the remainder to receive tailored medical assemblages via NGREA funding. During FY 2013, Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) dosimeters and readers were fielded to the HRFs and CERFPs concurrent with USNORTHCOM adopting OSL technology.

b. Effects of Shortfalls of Specialized Equipment

The WMD-CST and CERFP equipment issues are limiting factors, with no specific effects unless personnel protection (Occupational Safety and Health Administration, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, National Fire Protection Association) and hazardous material protection standards change or equipment failure occurs.

While HRF and CERFP missions have not changed, some equipment items were replaced or upgraded to ensure maximum capability for CBRN medical response. The ANG Consolidated Storage and Deployment Centers (CSDCs) located in Topeka, Kansas; Horsham, Pennsylvania; and Fairchild AFB, Washington, provide logistical support and a growing capability to provide preventative maintenance on equipment for the 10 HRF and 17 CERFP units. The CSDCs provide limited calibration of CERFP medical equipment, and deploy Expeditionary Medical

Support assemblages and HRF/CERFP medical resupply assemblages. Currently, the CSCDs do not have dedicated fork-lift capability in their warehouses to support the rapid deployment of medical supplies, but must rely on support from the local Logistics Readiness Squadrons. This dependence could potentially delay critical logistical support to a lifesaving DSCA mission.

c. Requirements and Acquisition Strategies for Specialized Equipment

Specialized GOTS/COTS equipment for emergencies or response to a major disaster is funded using a combination of Army, ARNG, AF, and ANG appropriations, along with DoD-wide appropriations (e.g., the Chemical and Biological Defense Program [CBDP] funds), as well as ANG and ARNG NGREAs. NGB continues to work with DoD to pursue modernization for equipment used by WMD-CSTs as technology evolves. The CBDP has programmed increases for research, development, test, and evaluation procurement; and life-cycle management for WMD-CST equipment, although unfunded requirements remain. One objective for the CBDP will be to mitigate or eliminate the single failure points in CBDP equipment mentioned above.

B. FY 2008 NDAA, Section 1826, “Additional Reporting Requirements Relating to National Guard Equipment,” added the requirements for a statement of the accuracy of past NG equipment inventory projections and a certification from the Chief, National Guard Bureau setting forth the inventory of equipment items that were due to be procured for the National Guard in the preceding fiscal year, but were not received.

1. Army National Guard

The Army has shown steady improvement toward achieving transparency. With regard to financial traceability, the ARNG has confidence in the level of fidelity the Army has provided to date. However, this effort has not provided the capability to certify delivery of equipment. The certification of materiel delivery requires 100 percent confidence that an item was received by a unit and can be traced back to an appropriation.

The Army will continue to oversee proposed changes, and improve business processes and data collection through web-based applications. The intent is to simplify the transparency process and to achieve full transparency through the incorporation of Item Unique Identification (IUID) as part of Global Combat Support System-Army (GCSS-A), which is projected to reach full operability in FY 2017. It is believed that once IUID is fully implemented, it and GCSS-A capabilities will allow the Army to attain full auditable traceability as required by the 2008 NDAA.

Despite the significant progress, the ARNG remains unable to assess delivered quantities against those that were due in, as specified in the NDAA reporting requirement. The ARNG must have the ability to systematically audit and validate delivery data by year of appropriation.

2. Air National Guard

To meet the equipment transparency requirements in NDAA 2008, the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Acquisition Integration (SAF/AQX) developed guidelines for crafting the RC President’s Budget exhibits. The AF and ANG are tying into several Air Force systems to attain the transparency required by FY 2008 NDAA, Section 1826.

a. Asset Marking and Tracking (AMT)

Consistent marking and tracking of assets supports Air Force logistics planning by enabling the asset visibility needed to effectively manage supply, repair, and demand planning across the Air Force. AMT enables total asset visibility by providing the ability to view inventory, whether static or in motion and link to asset quality and condition information. This is accomplished by creating a timely and consistent way to automatically identify and track assets throughout the Air Force supply chain.

b. Equipment and Vehicles Transformation Initiative (EVTI)

EVTI will enable the Air Force centralized management of Class of Supply VII (support equipment and vehicles). To work collaboratively toward the goal of enterprise management for Class of Supply VII, EVTI will group the following five process owners: Headquarters Air Force, Lead Command Equipment Management Office, Major Command Vehicle Fleet Management offices, Air Logistics Centers, and the Air Force Global Logistic Support Center. Specifically, EVTI will focus its efforts on the centralized management of support equipment and vehicle commodities to exercise planning, aggregation, and execution authority over Class of Supply VII.

c. Logistics, Installations, and Mission Support–Enterprise View (LIMS-EV)

The LIMS-EV is the Air Force’s gateway and foundation for the warfighter to meet strategic, operational, tactical, and historical information needs of the 21st century Air Force. The LIMS-EV domains are diverse and complex entities. They encompass the maintenance of aerospace equipment, supply chain management, civil engineering, and force security.

3. Procurement Transparency and Certification

The National Guard continues to implement mission and programmatic changes to meet transparency requirements through focused leadership, equipping strategies, and modernization. The RCs have transitioned from a strategic reserve to an operational force while sustaining their HD, DSCA, and state missions. Although equipment transparency and visibility are now much better than in years past, supporting automation systems currently in use for data collection do not yet generate the data necessary to fully meet requirements. Major end item asset visibility and redistribution have proven to be complex, multilayered tasks. The National Guard, working together with the Army and Air Force, has aggressively pursued methods to build cooperation and create capabilities, such as establishing automated reporting linkages to procurement appropriations. Further improvements will be put in place to achieve a balance between requirements and resources.

In recent years, the Military Services have improved their processes and automation systems to facilitate the procurement and distribution of equipment and, to some extent, the tracking of these resources throughout the processes. While it is still not possible for the CNGB to verify that all funding intended for the NG is resulting in the delivery of equipment to our units, the Army, along with the SAF/AQX reports, offers the first valid attempts to meet that requirement. In the near future, the maturation of these reports and modernization of the AF logistics system should combine to provide the transparency needed for all Air Force equipment procurement processes.

Appendix C Points of Contact

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

**Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs
ATTN: OASD/RA (M&F)
1500 Defense Pentagon, Room 2E586
Washington, DC 20301-1500**

Mr. Matthew P. Dubois
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs
(Materiel & Facilities)
(703) 695-1677

COL Denise L. Loring
OASD/RA (M&F)
Deputy Director, Equipment Resources and Evaluation
(703) 695-1677
Denise.Loring@osd.mil

UNITED STATES ARMY

**Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Manpower and Reserve Affairs
ATTN: SAMR-FMMR
111 Army Pentagon, Room 2E485
Washington, DC 20301-0500**

COL Michael J. Trombley (ASA/M&RA)
(703) 697-1437
Michael.J.Trombley4.mil@mail.mil

MAJ Timothy C. Friedrich (HQDA G-8)
(703) 614-5936
Timothy.C.Friedrich.mil@mail.mil

LTC Allen R. Horner (ARNG-G8-RMQ)
(703) 607-5668
Allen.R.Horner.mil@mail.mil

LTC Paul Grant (OCAR-SED)
(703) 806-7291
Paul.E.Grant.mil@mail.mil

UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

**Headquarters, United States Marine Corps
Office of Marine Forces Reserve
Naval Support Facility Arlington
701 South Courthouse Rd
Bldg. 12, Suite 2R125
Arlington, VA 22204-2463**

Col Theodore Silvester III
(703) 604-4538
Theodore.Silvester@usmc.mil

UNITED STATES NAVY

**Headquarters, United States Navy
Office: Chief of Naval Operations
ATTN: CNO-N0958E
2000 Navy Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350-2000**

CDR Robert T. Guy (OCNR)
(703) 614-1613
Robert.T.Guy@navy.mil

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

**Headquarters, United States Air Force
ATTN: SAF/MRR (Reserve Affairs)
1660 Air Force Pentagon, Room 5D742
Washington, DC 20330-1660**

Col Michelle N. Barrett (SAF/MRR)
(703) 697 6431
Michelle.N.Barrett.mil@mail.mil

Mr. Andrew Kruse (NGB/A5)
(240) 612-8525
Andrew.Kruse@ang.af.mil

Lt Col Michael H. Phan (AF/REX)
(703) 697-4752
Michael.H.Phan.mil@mail.mil

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD

Commandant (CG-13)

ATTN: Director Reserve & Military Personnel

United States Coast Guard

2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Ave, S.E. Stop 7907

Washington, DC 20593-7801

Mr. Grafton "Chip" Chase

(202) 475-5436

Grafton.D.Chase@uscg.mil

Appendix D

Acronym Glossary

| Acronym | Nomenclature |
|----------------|---|
| AAO | Approved Acquisition Objective (Marine Corps) |
| AAO | Army Acquisition Objective |
| ABCT | Armor Brigade Combat Team |
| AC | Active Component(s) |
| ACADA | Automatic Chemical Agent Detector Alarm |
| ACC | Air Combat Command |
| ACS | Agile Combat Support |
| ACV | Amphibious Combat Vehicle |
| ADAM | Air Defense Airspace Management System |
| ADOS | active duty operational support |
| ADT | active duty for training |
| AEA | airborne electronic attack |
| AEF | air and space expeditionary force |
| AESA | Active Electronically Scanned Array |
| AF | Air Force |
| AFB | Air Force base |
| AFMC | Air Force Materiel Command |
| AFR | Air Force Reserve |
| AFRC | Air Force Reserve Command |
| AFSOC | Air Force Special Operations Command |
| AGR | Active Guard and Reserve |
| AGSE | aviation ground support equipment |
| AIFF | advanced identification, friend or foe |
| AIP | antisurface warfare improvement program |
| AM | amplitude modulation |
| AMC | Air Mobility Command (Air Force) |
| AMCM | airborne mine countermeasures |
| AMD | Air and Missile Defense |
| AMDPCS | AMD Planning and Control System |
| AMP | Avionics Modernization Program |
| AMRAAM | advanced medium-range air-to-air missile |
| AMT | Asset Marking and Tracking |
| ANG | Air National Guard |
| AOC | air and space operations center |
| AOR | area of responsibility |
| AOU | Avionics Obsolescence Upgrade |
| AR | Army Reserve |
| ARB | Air Reserve Base |
| ARFORGEN | Army Force Generation |
| ARG | amphibious ready group |
| ARI | Automatic Reset Induction |
| ARNG | Army National Guard |
| ARS | Air Reserve Station (Air Force) |
| ASW | antisubmarine warfare |
| AT | annual training |
| ATM | Air Traffic Management |
| ATP | advanced targeting pod |

Acronym**Nomenclature**

| | |
|-------------|--|
| ATP-SE | Advanced Targeting Pod-Sensor Enhanced |
| AVLB | Armored Vehicle Launched Bridge |
| BA | Battlefield Airman |
| BAO | Battlefield Airman Operations |
| BCT | brigade combat team |
| BLOS | beyond line-of-sight |
| BMUP | block modification upgrade program |
| BOL | back of launcher |
| BOS | Budget Operating System |
| BSERV | Bomb Squad Emergency Response Vehicle |
| BUMED | Bureau of Medicine and Surgery |
| C2 | command and control |
| C2CRE-Bravo | C2 CBRN Response Element-Bravo |
| C4 | command, control, communications, and computer |
| CA | civil affairs |
| CAF | combat air forces |
| CART | cargo afloat rig team |
| CBDP | Chemical and Biological Defense Program |
| CBPS | chemical/biological protective shelter |
| CBRN | chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear |
| CBRNE | chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high-yield explosives |
| CCDR | combatant commander |
| CCIR | Cyberspace and Critical Infrastructure Range |
| CDU | Critical Dual Use |
| CERFP | CBRNE Emergency Response Force Package |
| CG | Coast Guard |
| CGR | Coast Guard Reserve |
| CHINFO | Chief of Navy Information |
| CLS | Contracted Logistics Support |
| CNGB | Chief, National Guard Bureau |
| CNO | Chief of Naval Operations |
| CNR | Chief of Navy Reserve |
| CNS | Communication, Navigation, Surveillance |
| COCOM | combatant command |
| CONUS | continental United States |
| CORE | Concept of Reserve Employment |
| COTS | commercial off-the-shelf |
| CRC | control and reporting center |
| CRE | CBRN Response Enterprise |
| CRF | Coastal Riverine Force |
| CS | combat support |
| CSAF | Chief of Staff, United States Air Force |
| CSDC | Consolidated Storage and Deployment Center |
| CSS | combat service support |
| CST | Civil Support Team |
| CW | cyber warfare |
| DARPL | Dynamic Army Resourcing Priorities List |
| DART | Domestic All-Hazards Response Team |

| Acronym | Nomenclature |
|----------------|---|
| DCC | DART Coordination Cells |
| DCGS | distributed common ground system(s) |
| DET | Displaced Equipment Training |
| DHS | Department of Homeland Security |
| DMO | Distributed Mission Operations |
| DoD | Department of Defense |
| DoDD | Department of Defense Directive |
| DoDI | Department of Defense Instruction |
| DOER | Domestic Operations Equipment Requirements |
| DON | Department of the Navy |
| D-RAPCON | Deployable Radar Approach Control (RAPCON) |
| DSCA | Defense Support of Civil Authorities |
| DSG | Defense Strategic Guidance |
| | |
| EA | electronic attack |
| ECU | environmental control unit |
| EMEDS | expeditionary medical support |
| EMF | expeditionary medical facility |
| EO | electro-optical |
| EOD | explosive ordnance disposal |
| EOH | equipment on-hand |
| EPAWSS | Eagle Passive Active Warning and Survivability System |
| EPCS | Electronic Propeller Control System |
| ESA | Enhanced Situational Awareness |
| ETR | Equipment Transparency Report |
| EVTI | Equipment and Vehicles Transformation Initiative |
| EXPCOMBATCAM | Expeditionary Combat Camera |
| | |
| FAA | Federal Aviation Administration |
| FABS | Fly-Away Broadcast System |
| FAWPSS | Forward Area Water Point Supply System |
| FEMA | Federal Emergency Management Agency |
| FFG | guided-missile frigate |
| FLSW | Fleet Logistics Support Wing |
| FM | frequency modulation |
| FMT | Full Mission Trainer |
| FMTV | Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles |
| FRP | Fleet Response Plan |
| FRS | Fleet Replacement Squadron |
| FSRG | Force Structure Review Group |
| FTD | field training detachment (Air Force) |
| FTD | Flight Training Device (Marine Corps) |
| FTM | full-time manning |
| FTS | full-time support |
| FTU | formal training unit |
| FY | fiscal year |
| FYDP | Future Years Defense Program |
| | |
| G/ATOR | Ground/Air Task Oriented Radar |
| G4 | Generation Four (LITENING) |
| GA | Guardian Angel |

| Acronym | Nomenclature |
|----------------|--|
| GCC | geographic combatant commanders |
| GCSS-A | Global Combat Support System-Army |
| GFMAP | Global Force Management Allocation Plan |
| GOSC | General Officer Steering Committee |
| GOTS | government off-the-shelf |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| HD | homeland defense |
| HEMTT | heavy expanded mobility tactical truck |
| HH | Hospital Helicopter |
| HIPPO | Load Handling System Compatible Water Tank Rack |
| HITS | Home-station Instrumentation Training System |
| HM | helicopter mine countermeasures squadron (Navy) |
| HMIT | helmet-mounted integrated targeting |
| HMM | Marine medium helicopter squadron |
| HMMWV | high mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicle |
| HQDA | Headquarters, Department of the Army |
| HRF | Homeland Response Force |
| HRT | Health Response Team |
| HSC | helicopter sea combat squadron (Navy) |
| HSL | helicopter antisubmarine squadron light (Navy) |
| HTV | Heavy Tactical Vehicle |
| IAP | International Airport |
| IDA | Institute for Defense Analyses |
| IDT | inactive duty training |
| IEW | intelligence and electronic warfare |
| IP | Internet protocol |
| IPT | Integrated Product Team |
| IR | infrared |
| ISR | intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance |
| IUID | Item Unique Identification |
| JCAD | Joint Chemical Agent Detector |
| JCR-BFT | Joint Capabilities Release-Blue Force Tracker |
| JDOER | Joint Domestic Operations Equipment Requirements |
| JHMCS | joint helmet-mounted cueing system |
| JISCC | Joint Incident Site Communications Capability |
| JLTV | Joint Light Tactical Vehicle |
| JRB | joint reserve base |
| JSF | Joint Strike Fighter |
| JSTARS | Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System |
| kHz | kilohertz |
| kW | kilowatt |
| LAIRCM | Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures |
| LARS | Lightweight Airborne Radio System |
| LAV | light armored vehicle |
| lb | pound |
| LCM | landing craft mechanized |

Acronym**Nomenclature**

| | |
|--------------|---|
| LCS | littoral combat ship |
| LCU | landing craft utility |
| LIMS-EV | Logistics, Installations, and Mission Support–Enterprise View |
| LMTV | Light Medium Tactical Vehicle |
| LSRS | littoral surveillance radar system |
| LTV | Light Tactical Vehicle |
| LUH | Light Utility Helicopter |
| LVC | Live, Virtual, Constructive |
| LVC/G | Live, Virtual, Constructive, and Gaming |
| MAF | mobility air forces |
| MAFFS | Modular Airborne Fire Fighting System |
| MAGTF | Marine air-ground task force |
| MARCORSYSCOM | Marine Corps Systems Command |
| MARFORRES | Marine Forces Reserve |
| MASS | Modular Aerial Spray System (Air Force) |
| MAST | mobile ashore support terminal |
| MATS | Multiple Amputee Training Simulator |
| MCTUAS | Marine Corps Tactical Unmanned Aircraft System |
| MEDEVAC | medical evacuation |
| MEU | Marine expeditionary unit |
| MFGI | Mobilization Force Generation Installation |
| MH | multimission helicopter |
| MISO | military information support operations |
| MMPV | Medium Mine Protected Vehicle |
| MPFUB | Maritime Prepositioning Force Utility Boats |
| MPRA | maritime patrol and reconnaissance aircraft |
| MSC | Military Sealift Command |
| MSST | maritime safety and security team |
| MSU | mobile support unit |
| MTOE | modified table of organization and equipment |
| MTRCS | Multi-Temperature Refrigerated Container System |
| MTT | Multi-Task Trainer (Air Force) |
| MTV | Medium Tactical Vehicle |
| NAS | naval air station |
| NATO | North Atlantic Treaty Organization |
| NAVELSG | Navy Expeditionary Logistics Support Group |
| NBC | nuclear, biological, and chemical |
| NBCRV | NBC Reconnaissance Vehicle |
| NCF | naval construction force |
| NDAA | National Defense Authorization Act |
| NECC | Navy Expeditionary Combat Command |
| NEIC | Navy Expeditionary Intelligence Command |
| NEPLO | Navy emergency preparedness liaison officer |
| NET | New Equipment Training |
| NG | National Guard |
| NGB | National Guard Bureau |
| NGREA | National Guard and Reserve Equipment Appropriation |
| NGRER | National Guard and Reserve Equipment Report |
| NGRF | National Guard Reaction Force |

| Acronym | Nomenclature |
|----------------|---|
| NIPRNET | Nonsecure Internet Protocol Router Network |
| NSW | naval special warfare |
| NSWG | naval special warfare group |
| NUFEA | Navy-unique fleet-essential airlift |
| O&M | Operation and Maintenance |
| OASD/RA | Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs |
| OCCM | on-condition cyclic maintenance |
| OCO | overseas contingency operations |
| OEF | Operation Enduring Freedom |
| OPNAV | Office of the Chief of Naval Operations |
| OSL | optically stimulated luminescence |
| P-1R | Service Procurement Program - Reserve |
| PB | President's Budget |
| PDTE | Pre-deployment Training Equipment |
| PIRL | Prioritized Integrated Requirements List |
| PLS | palletized load system |
| PPE | personal protective equipment |
| PQAS-E | Petroleum Quality Analysis System–Enhanced |
| PSU | port security unit |
| RAID | Redeployment Assistance and Inspection Detachment |
| RAMZ | rigged alternate method zodiac |
| RAPCON | Radar Approach Control |
| RB-S | Response Boat-Small |
| RC | Reserve Component(s) |
| RFRS | Reserve Force Readiness System |
| RPA | remotely piloted aircraft |
| RSSC | radar-sonar surveillance center |
| RTIC | Real Time Information in the Cockpit |
| RWR | radar warning receiver |
| SABIR | Special Airborne Mission Installation and Response |
| SADL | situational awareness data link |
| SAF/AQX | Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Acquisition Integration |
| SAMS | Special Operations Forces Air Mission Suite |
| SATCOM | satellite communications |
| SCU 8 | Software Capability Upgrade 8.0 |
| SE | support equipment |
| SEAL | sea-air-land |
| SecDef | Secretary of Defense |
| SELRES | Selected Reserve |
| SF | security forces |
| SIGINT | signals intelligence |
| SIPRNET | Secret Internet Protocol Router Network |
| SLEP | service life extension program |
| SLOS | secure line-of-sight |
| SMFCD | smart multi-function color display |
| SMTc | Special Missions Training Center |
| SOC | squadron operations center |

| Acronym | Nomenclature |
|----------------|--|
| SOF | special operations forces |
| SPAWAR | Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command |
| SPP | state partnership program |
| SSGN | guided-missile submarine (nuclear propulsion) |
| STUAS | Small Tactical Unmanned Aircraft System |
| SWCC | special warfare combatant-craft crewman |
| | |
| T/A | Training Allowance (Marine Corps) |
| TA | Table of Allowances (Air Force) |
| TCV | Total Containment Vessel |
| TDA | Table of Distribution and Allowances (Army) |
| TDL | tactical data link |
| TO&E | table of organization and equipment (Marine Corps) |
| TOA | table of allowance (Navy) |
| TPE | Theater Provided Equipment |
| TPSB | transportable port security boat |
| TSW | Tactical Support Wing |
| TWV | tactical wheeled vehicle |
| | |
| U.S. | United States |
| U.S.C. | United States Code |
| UAS | unmanned aircraft system |
| UH | Utility Helicopter |
| UHF | ultrahigh frequency |
| USAR | United States Army Reserve |
| USCENTCOM | United States Central Command |
| USCG | United States Coast Guard |
| USCGR | United States Coast Guard Reserve |
| USMCR | United States Marine Corps Reserve |
| USNORTHCOM | United States Northern Command |
| USNR | United States Navy Reserve |
| USS | United States ship |
| USSOCOM | United States Special Operations Command |
| USSOUTHCOM | United States Southern Command |
| | |
| VAQ | tactical electronic warfare squadron (Navy) |
| VAW | Navy Carrier Airborne Early Warning Squadron |
| VHF | very high frequency |
| VIP | very important person |
| VP | patrol squadron (Navy) |
| VR | Fleet Logistics Support Squadron |
| VTUAV | vertical takeoff and landing tactical unmanned aircraft system |
| | |
| WIN-T | Warfighter Information Network-Tactical |
| WMD | weapons of mass destruction |
| WMD-CST | Weapons of Mass Destruction Civil Support Team |
| WR-ALC | Warner Robins Air Logistics Center |
| WRMS | war reserve materiel stock |
| WST | Weapons System Trainer |